



Doi Journal 10.26739/2181-

# СЎЗ САНЪАТИ ХАЛҚАРО ЖУРНАЛИ

1 МАХСУС СОН

### МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ ИСКУССТВО СЛОВА СПЕЦИАЛЬНЫЙ НОМЕР 1

## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF WORD ART SPECIAL ISSUE 1





### СЎЗ САНЪАТИ ХАЛКАРО ЖУРНАЛИ

МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ ИСКУССТВО СЛОВА | INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF WORD ART №SI-1 (2025) DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.26739/2181-9297-2025-SI-1

Бош мухаррир: Тўхтасинов Илхом

п.ф.д., профессор (Ўзбекистон)

Бош мухаррир ўринбосари:

Главный редактор: Тухтасинов Илхом

д.п.н., профессор (Узбекистан)

Заместитель главного редактора:

Editor in Chief: Tuhtasinov Ilhom

DSc. Professor (Uzbekistan)

**Deputy Chief Editor** 

### ТАХ РИРИЙ МАСЛАХ АТ

Назаров Бахтиёр академик. (Ўзбекистон)

**Якуб Умарў**ғл**и** ф.ф.д., профессор (Туркия)

**Алмаз Улви Биннатова** ф.ф.д., профессор (Озарбайжон)

**Бокиева Гуландом** ф.ф.д., профессор (Ўзбекистон)

**Миннуллин Ким** ф.ф.д., профессор (Татаристон)

**Махмудов Низомиддин** ф.ф.д., профессор (Ўзбекистон)

**Керимов Исмаил** ф.ф.д., профессор (Россия)

**Жўраев Маматкул** ф.ф.д., профессор (Ўзбекистон)

**Куренов Рахиммамед** к.ф.н. (Туркманистон)

**Кристофер Жеймс Форт** Мичиган университети (АҚШ)

**Умархўжаев Мухтор** ф.ф.д., профессор (Ўзбекистон)

**Мирзаев Ибодулло** ф.ф.д., профессор (Ўзбекистон)

**Болтабоев Хамидулла** ф.ф.д., профессор (Ўзбекистон)

**Лиходзиевский А.С.** ф.ф.д., профессор (Ўзбекистон)

Сиддикова Ирода ф.ф.д., профессор (Ўзбекистон)

Шиукашвили Тамар ф.ф.д. (Грузия)

Джамалова Дилдора доцент, пед.ф.н., Ўзбекистонда хизмат кўрсатган маданият ходими

**Туробов Бекпулат** масьул котиб, PhD, доцент

#### РЕДАКЦИОННЫЙ СОВЕТ

Назаров Бахтиёр академик. (Узбекистан)

Якуб Умар оглы д.ф.н., профессор (Туркия)

**Алмаз Улви Биннатова** д.ф.н., профессор (Азербайджан)

**Бакиева Гуландом** д.ф.н., профессор (Узбекистан)

**Миннуллин Ким** д.ф.н., профессор (Татарстан)

**Махмудов Низомиддин** д.ф.н., профессор (Узбекистан)

**Керимов Исмаил** д.ф.н., профессор (Россия)

**Джураев Маматкул** д.ф.н., профессор (Узбекистан)

**Куренов Рахыммамед** к.ф.н. (Туркменистан)

**Кристофер** Джеймс Форт Университет Мичигана (США)

**Умархаджаев Мухтар** д.ф.н., профессор (Узбекистан)

**Мирзаев Ибодулло** д.ф.н., профессор (Узбекистан)

**Балтабаев Хамидулла** д.ф.н., профессор (Узбекистан)

**Лиходзиевский А.С.** д.ф.н., профессор (Узбекистан)

**Сиддикова Ирода** д.ф.н., профессор (Узбекистан)

Шиукашвили Тамар д.ф.н. (Грузия)

Джамалова Дилдора доцент, к.пед.н, заслуженный работник культуры Узбекистана

**Туробов Бекпулат** отв. секретарь, PhD, доцент

#### **EDITORIAL BOARD**

Bakhtiyor Nazarov academician. (Uzbekistan)

Yakub Umarogli Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Turkey)

Almaz Ulvi Binnatova Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Azerbaijan)

Bakieva Gulandom
Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Uzbekistan)

Minnulin Kim
Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Tatarstan)

Mahmudov Nizomiddin

Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Uzbekistan)

Kerimov Ismail

Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Russia)

Juraev Mamatkul

Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Uzbekistan)

**Kurenov Rakhimmamed** Ph.D. Ass. Prof. (Turkmenistan)

**Christopher James Fort** University of Michigan (USA)

Umarkhodjaev Mukhtar Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Uzbekistan)

Mirzaev Ibodulla
Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Uzbekistan)

**Boltaboev Hamidulla**Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Uzbekistan)

Lixodzievsky A.S.

Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Uzbekistan)

**Siddiqova Iroda**Doc. of philol. scien., prof. (Uzbekistan)

**Shiukashvili Tamar**Doc. of philol. scien. (Georgia)

**Djamalova Dildora** Ass.Prof., Cand.Ped.Sc, Honored Cultural Worker of Uzbekistan

> **Turobov Bekpulat** PhD Ass. prof. Senior Secretary

Контакт редакций журналов. www.tadqiqot.uz ООО Tadqiqot город Ташкент, улица Амира Темура пр.1, дом-2. Web: http://www.tadqiqot.uz/; E-mail: info@tadqiqot.uz Тел: (+998-94) 404-0000 Editorial staff of the journals of www.tadqiqot.uz
Tadqiqot LLC The city of Tashkent,
Amir Temur Street pr.1, House 2.
Web: http://www.tadqiqot.uz/; E-mail: info@tadqiqot.uz
Phone: (+998-94) 404-0000

## СЎЗ САНЪАТИ ХАЛҚАРО ЖУРНАЛИ | МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ЖУРНАЛ ИСКУССТВО СЛОВА | INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF WORD ART №SI-1 | 2025

### МУНДАРИЖА | СОДЕРЖАНИЕ | CONTENT

Хулкар Хамроева	
БУХОРО РАҚС МАКТАБИ: ФАЛСАФИЙ – ТАРИХИЙ ТАЛҚИН ВА	
БАДИИЙ – ЭСТЕТИК ТАМОЙИЛЛАР УЙГУНЛИГИ	.3
Гавхар Матёкубова	
"ЛАЗГИ" РАКС ТУРКУМИНИНГ ИФОДА УСЛУБЛАРИ ВА	
СЕМАНТИКАСИ2	0
Дурдона Убайдуллаева	
"ДОИРА" БОЛАЛАР ПРЕДМЕТЛИ РАҚСИНИНГ МИЛЛИЙ - БАДИИЙ	
ХУСУСИЯТЛАРИ	31
Sanam Ostonova Nematovna	
NATIONAL AND CULTURAL IDENTITY A PROBLEM FOR CULTURAL	
STUDIES	12
A.X.O'tayev	
O'ZBEKISTON TASVIRIY SAN'ATIDA KITOB GRAFIKASINING O'RNI	
VA AHAMIYATI	18

### National and Cultural Identity a Problem for Cultural Studies Sanam Ostonova Nematovna

Teacher (PhD) of English linguistics department of Bukhara state university osta1968teacher@gmail.com

**Abstract:** The inherent complexities of these concepts necessitate a nuanced approach, acknowledging the fluidity and contested nature of identity claims, particularly in the context of rapid social and technological change. However, this perspective, increasingly challenged over time, often overlooked the internal diversity and complexities of national identities, failing to account for power dynamics, social hierarchies, and the experiences of marginalized groups with intentions, cohesive and unified entity characterized by a shared culture and history.

**Keywords**: Cultural indentity, national, concepts, analyse, formation, social, ethnicity, religion, language, framework.

### Национальная и культурная идентичность как проблема культурологии

### Санам Остонова Нематовна

Преподаватель (кандидат наук) кафедры английского языкознания Бухарского государственного университета osta1968teacher@gmail.com

**Аннотатция**: Сложность, присущая этим концепциям, требует тонкого подхода, признающего изменчивость и спорный характер претензий на идентичность, особенно в контексте быстрых социальных и технологических изменений. Однако эта точка зрения, которая со временем все больше оспаривалась, часто упускала из виду внутреннее разнообразие и сложность национальных идентичностей, не принимая во внимание динамику власти, социальные иерархии и опыт маргинализированных групп с намерениями. Ранние подходы: нация как единое целое, часто изображая нацию как сплоченное и единое образование, характеризующееся общей культурой и историей.

**Ключевые слова**: Культурная идентичность, национальный, концепции, анализ, формирование, социальный, этническая принадлежность, религия, язык, рамки.

## Milliy va madaniy madaniyatshunoslikning o'ziga xosligi va muammolari

Ostonova Sanam Nematovna Buxoro davlat universiteti Ingliz tilshunosligi kafedrasi (PhD)oʻqituvchisi

osta1968teacher@gmail.com

Annotatsiya: Ushbu kontseptsiyalarning o'ziga xos murakkabligi, ayniqsa tez ijtimoiy va texnologik o'zgarishlar kontekstida identifikatsiya da'volarining ravonligi va bahsli tabiatini tan olgan holda nozik yondashuvni talab qiladi. Biroq, vaqt o'tishi bilan tobora kuchayib borayotgan bu istiqbol ko'pincha milliy o'ziga xosliklarning ichki xilma-xilligi va murakkabligini e'tibordan chetda qoldirib, kuch dinamikasini, ijtimoiy ierarxiyani va niyatlari bo'lgan marginal guruhlarning tajribasini hisobga olmadi, ko'pincha millatni umumiy madaniyat va tarixga ega bo'lgan yaxlit va birlashgan shaxs sifatida tasvirlaydi..

**Kalit so'zlar:** Madaniy o'ziga xoslik, milliy, tushunchalar, tahlil, shakllanish, ijtimoiy, etnik, din, til, ramka.

This paper examines national and cultural identity as a central, yet perpetually evolving, problem within cultural studies. It will explore the multifaceted and often contradictory nature of identity, tracing its conceptual development within the field and highlighting key debates, challenges, and ongoing shifts in understanding. The analysis will draw upon diverse perspectives from various scholarly works, examining how globalization, postcolonialism, neoliberalism, and other sociopolitical forces have profoundly reshaped understandings of identity, its formation, and its expression. [1] [2] [3] The inherent complexities of these concepts necessitate a nuanced approach, acknowledging the fluidity and contested nature of identity claims, particularly in the context of rapid social and technological change. The paper will argue that while national and cultural identities remain powerful forces shaping individual and collective experiences, their very definition and significance are subject to continuous negotiation and reinterpretation.

Cultural Identity: A Shifting Terrain Defining Defining National and "national" and "cultural" identity presents significant challenges. While often used interchangeably, they represent distinct, yet overlapping, concepts. National identity frequently hinges on shared citizenship, a common history, and a sense of belonging to a specific nation-state. [4] It often involves a sense of collective belonging tied to political structures and legal frameworks. However, the boundaries of national identity are not static; they are frequently contested and redefined through political processes, social movements, and evolving historical narratives. [2] Cultural identity, on the other hand, is broader, encompassing shared values, beliefs, customs, traditions, and practices that define a particular group. [1] It can be based on ethnicity, religion, language, or other shared characteristics, and it often transcends national boundaries. The relationship between national and cultural identity is complex; they can reinforce each other, but they can also be sources of tension and conflict. [2] For instance, a nation-state may encompass multiple cultural groups, each with its own distinct identity, leading to challenges of inclusion and integration.

Furthermore, the very concept of "culture" itself is contested, with varying interpretations across disciplines and theoretical frameworks. [3] Therefore, understanding national and cultural identity requires a critical awareness of the inherent complexities and ambiguities associated with these terms, acknowledging their fluidity, contested nature, and the power dynamics involved in their construction

and maintenance. Historical Context: The Rise of National Identity in Cultural Studies The emergence of national identity as a significant area of inquiry within cultural studies is intrinsically linked to the historical development of the nation-state itself. Early approaches to national identity often reflected the prevailing nation-centric perspectives of the time, viewing the nation as a unified and homogeneous entity. [5] However, this perspective, increasingly challenged over time, often overlooked the internal diversity and complexities of national identities, failing to account for power dynamics, social hierarchies, and the experiences of marginalized groups with intentions. Early Approaches: Nation as a Unified Entity Early cultural studies often adopted a relatively uncritical stance towards national identity, frequently portraying the nation as a cohesive and unified entity characterized by a shared culture and history. [6]

This perspective often served to reinforce dominant narratives and legitimize existing power structures. Studies focusing on national traditions, cultural icons, and shared historical narratives often underpinned this approach. [5] For example, analyses of national art, literature, and music were frequently employed to illustrate the essence of a nation's character and cultural distinctiveness. This approach, while valuable in some respects, often neglected the internal diversity and contradictions within national societies, overlooking internal conflicts, social inequalities, and the experiences of marginalized communities. [7] The limitations of this approach became increasingly evident as scholars began to critically examine the construction of national identities and their role in maintaining social hierarchies and political power. The Critical Turn: Challenging Essentialism. The latter half of the 20th century witnessed a significant shift in cultural studies, marked by a "critical turn" that challenged essentialist notions of national identity. [3] Influenced by postcolonial theory, postmodernism, and other critical perspectives, scholars began to deconstruct the notion of a fixed and stable national identity, revealing it instead as a dynamic and contested construct shaped by power relations, historical contingencies, and ongoing social processes. [2]

The influence of postcolonial theory was particularly significant, highlighting the ways in which colonial histories and power structures have profoundly shaped national identities in postcolonial societies. [7] Postmodern thought further challenged the notion of a singular, unified national identity, emphasizing the multiplicity of identities and the fluidity of identity formation in a globalized world. [2] This critical shift led to a greater emphasis on understanding the diverse and often conflicting experiences within national communities, including the perspectives of marginalized groups and the ways in which national identities are negotiated and contested. The focus shifted from celebrating national unity to critically examining the processes by which national identities are constructed, maintained, and contested. Globalization and its Impact on Identity Formation Globalization has profoundly impacted the formation and expression of national and cultural identities. Increased interconnectedness, facilitated by advancements in communication and transportation technologies, has led to unprecedented levels of

cultural exchange and interaction. [3] This has resulted in both the emergence of new hybrid identities and the challenges posed by cultural homogenization

The increased interconnectedness fostered by globalization has led to the emergence of hybrid and transnational identities. [3] Individuals and groups increasingly negotiate multiple cultural affiliations and allegiances, drawing upon diverse sources to construct their identities. [1] This fluidity of identity challenges traditional notions of national belonging, as individuals may identify with multiple cultures, nations, or communities simultaneously. [5] The concept of hybridity, referring to the blending of different cultural elements, has become a central theme in understanding identity formation in a globalized world. The creation of new cultural forms, expressions, and communities that transcend national boundaries reflects this hybridity. The challenges associated with this fluidity include navigating multiple cultural expectations, managing conflicting values, and potentially experiencing a sense of belonging to multiple, sometimes competing, communities. However, this fluidity also presents opportunities for creativity, innovation, and the fostering of intercultural understanding and exchange.

While globalization fosters cultural exchange and hybridity, it also poses the risk of cultural homogenization. [3] The dominance of certain cultures, particularly Western cultures, in global media and markets can lead to the marginalization or even suppression of other cultures. [8] This trend can threaten the preservation of national and cultural identities, particularly those of smaller or less powerful groups. [9] The spread of global brands, consumer culture, and dominant linguistic forms can lead to the erosion of local traditions and cultural practices, potentially resulting in a loss of cultural diversity and a sense of shared identity. The challenge lies in finding ways to celebrate cultural diversity while acknowledging and managing the potential for homogenization. Strategies for cultural preservation, promoting intercultural dialogue, and fostering a sense of belonging that acknowledges both local and global influences are crucial in navigating these challenges. Postcolonial theory has made significant contributions to the understanding of national and cultural identity, particularly by highlighting the lasting impact of colonialism on identity formations in postcolonial societies. [3] It challenges the centrality of the nation-state as the primary frame for understanding identity and emphasizes the complexities of identity formation in the aftermath of colonial rule.

Colonialism has left a profound and enduring legacy on national and cultural identities in many parts of the world. [3] Colonial power structures and practices often resulted in the suppression of indigenous cultures and the imposition of colonial languages, values, and social systems. [6] This legacy continues to shape social cultural practices, and identity formations postcolonial relations, in societies. [10] The effects of colonial rule include the disruption of traditional social structures, the erosion of indigenous languages and cultural practices, and the imposition of colonial identities that often conflict with pre-existing cultural Understanding the lasting impact of colonialism is crucial for comprehending the complexities of national identity in postcolonial contexts, as it helps illuminate the historical roots of contemporary social inequalities, political

conflicts, and cultural hybridity. Postcolonial theory also emphasizes the importance of giving voice to subaltern groups, those marginalized and silenced under colonial rule. [3]

These groups often develop alternative identities and forms of cultural expression that challenge dominant narratives of national identity. [2] The study of subaltern voices offers critical insights into the complexities of national identity, revealing the ways in which national identities are contested and redefined from the margins. [10] This includes examining forms of cultural resistance, such as the reclamation of indigenous languages and cultural practices, the creation of alternative artistic expressions, and the development of counter-narratives that challenge colonial legacies and dominant power structures. Analyzing these forms of resistance provides a crucial understanding of the ongoing struggle for cultural autonomy and the negotiation of identity in postcolonial contexts. Language and cultural practices play pivotal roles in shaping and expressing national and cultural identities.

#### REFERENCE

- Young Yun. 2007. "Ideology, Identity, 1.Kim, and Intercultural Differing Communication: An **Analysis** of Academic Conceptions of CulturalIdentity". Taylor&Francis. https://doi.org/10.1080/17475750701737181
- 2.Snegur, M.. 2024. "The concept of performative national identity: the theoretical methodological framework". Vestnik Majkopskogo Gosudarstvennogo Tehnologiceskogo Universiteta. <a href="https://doi.org/10.47370/2078-1024-2024-16-1-132-140">https://doi.org/10.47370/2078-1024-2024-16-1-132-140</a>
- 3.Saraeva, N. V., Pachin, G. R., Pachina, N. N., and Shchebzukhova, T. A.. NaN. "National identity: research approaches". Sovremennaya nauka i innovatsii. https://doi.org/10.37493/2307-910x.2023.4.20
- 4.Gasparov, B.. 2004. "National Identity in Russian Culture: Identity in language?". None. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511720116.014">https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511720116.014</a>
- 5.Manuel, Nicolau Nkiawete. NaN. "Language ideology, representation, and nationalism: the discursive construction of identity in postcolonial Angola". Journal of the British Academy. <a href="https://doi.org/10.5871/jba/010s6.031">https://doi.org/10.5871/jba/010s6.031</a>
- 6.Popkov, Y. and Tyugashev, E.. 2019. "Ethno-cultural neo-traditionalism as a resource of identity formation in the contemporary social and cultural transformations". Siberian Socium. <a href="https://doi.org/10.21684/2587-8484-2019-3-3-53-64">https://doi.org/10.21684/2587-8484-2019-3-3-53-64</a>
- 7. Matkerim, Dana, Zhaxylykov, Meryert, Kussainov, Daurenbek, Karabayeva, A., and Sanchai, Choygan. NaN. "IMAGE OF A HORSE AS A SYMBOL OF ETHNIC IDENTITY (on the example of Kazakh and Tuva theatres)". Journal of Philosophy Culture and Political Science. <a href="https://doi.org/10.26577/jpcp.2024.v88-i2-06">https://doi.org/10.26577/jpcp.2024.v88-i2-06</a>
- 8. Moghimi, A. and Amini, M.. 2015. "GLOBALIZATION: RELATION BETWEEN LOCAL IDENTITY AND CULTURAL ATTITUDES AMONG ACADEMIC YOUNG PERSONS OF YAZD". None. https://doi.org/None

- 9. Pei, Milena. NaN. "National identity in the light of its discursive construction". Nacionalni interes. https://doi.org/10.5937/nint46-47584
- 10. Tokarska, A. and Blikhar, V.. 2024. "Diminutives as Markers in Ukrainian Identity". Visnik Nacional"nogo universitetu Lvivska politehnika Seria Uridicni nauki. <a href="https://doi.org/10.23939/law2024.44.283">https://doi.org/10.23939/law2024.44.283</a>
- 11. Hattatoglu, Pelin and Yakushko, Oksana. NaN. "Hybrid Cultural Identity: Understanding Identity in a Global Community". None. <a href="https://doi.org/None">https://doi.org/None</a>
- 12. Rahmawati, Y., Ridwan, A., Cahyana, U., and Wuryaningsih, Tyaswati. 2020. "The Integration of Ethnopedagogy in Science Learning to Improve Student Engagement and Cultural Awareness". None. <a href="https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.080239">https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.080239</a>
- 13. Mpondi, Douglas. NaN. "Educational change and cultural politics: national identity-formation in Zimbabwe". None. <a href="https://doi.org/None">https://doi.org/None</a>
- 14. Semenova, O. and Herasko, Maryna. 2020. "National and Cultural Identity of the Ukrainian Society in the Context of Modern Humanitarian Challenges". None. https://doi.org/10.30840/2413-7065.2(75).2020.205608
  - 15.Ostonova, Sanam Negmatovna Bakayev Najmiddin Bakayevich, Khafizova Theoretical & Applied Science, 162-166

SHAKESPEARS LEXICON: REASON WORD AS A DESIGN OF THE CONCEPT OF THE ABILITY OF THE HUMAN MIND TO ABSTRACTION, CONCLUSION.

- 16. Ostonova, Sanam Nematovna; Sadullaev, Denis Bakhtiyorovich; Shodiev, Shahob Sharofitdinovich:"Interpretation of philosophical terms and concepts as an essential subject for linguistic researches of xix-xx centuries, held in Russia and Europe"
- 17. С.Н Остонова Молодой ученый, 199-203 <u>National traditions and rituals in modern Uzbekistan (basing on the analysis of Uzbek traditional meal «Palov»)</u>