

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE, LITERACY AND LEARNING IN STEM EDUCATION



OPEN-ACCESS PEER-REVIEWED

www.grnjournal.us

American Journal of Language, Literacy and Learning in STEM Education

Table of Content - Volume 2 | Issue 2 (Feb 2024)

No	Paper Title	Author Name	Page No
73	Actions Speak Louder than Words	Torakulova Shahrizoda Mirkomil kyzy	323-326
74	Professional Competencies as a Key Factor in Higher Education	Komila Eshmakhmatovna Turaboeva	327-332
75	Essence, Goals and Forms of Differentiated Learning	Yulduz Tashmuradovna Niyozava	333-338
76	The Role of Dialogic Speech in Teaching a Foreign Language in Technical Universities	Zukhra Allaberdievna Zulfiqorova	339-345
77	Teaching Speaking Through Shadowing Method	Utepbergenova Damegul Najimatdinovna, Tajenova Sayora Bayronovna	346-348
78	A healthy lifestyle in educational institutions: an investment in the future of students	Qo'ziyeva Feruza Ismoilovna	349-352
79	Analysis of the Portrayal of the Human Inner World in the Narratives of Shukur Kholmiraev	Nargiza Aminova Istamovna	353-358
80	Contemporary Approaches to Translating English Publicistic Texts	Tukhlieva Gavkhar	359-362
81	Concerning the Problem of Formation of Compound Nouns (In the Example of Mirzoolim Mushrif's Work "The History of the Kokon Khanate")	Usmonova Muhabbat Abdullayevna	363-366
82	Definition of Words in the Work "Khamasa" by Alisher Navoi	Quldashev Nizomiddin Ahmadaliyevich, Kaxramonova Parizoda Sherzod qizi	367-370
83	Contemporary Usage of Archaic Phraseological Units Expressing "Historical Memory" In Uzbek and French Languages	Djafarova Dildora Ilhomovna, Bobokalonov Odilshoh Ostonovich, Yaxshiboyeva Nodira Ergashovna	371-377
84	Systematic-Structural, Linguodidactic and Comparative Analysis of French-Uzbek Sentences in Canonical Models	Bobokalonov Pulotshoh Ramazonovich	378-382
85	System of Development of Socio-Cultural and Educational Sphere in General Education Schools	Kurbanova Aziza, Buriyeva Fayoza	383-386
86	The Word is a Factor in Prolonging Human Life	Mahfuzulla Rahmonov	387-389
87	Ways of Applying the Competence of Developing Aesthetic Culture and Creativity in the Development of Musical Knowledge in Primary Grades	M. Zoirova	391-393
88	Exploring the Intricacies of Lexicology: A Comprehensive Study of Vocabulary, Word Relationships, and Language Evolution	Dildora Mukhammadjonova	394-395
89	Elegy is a Pattern of Western Literature, In Uzbek Literature this Genre is Marsiya	Karamatova Zarina Fatillovna	396-401
90	Linguoculturology as the New Branch of Linguistics	Rasulova Nigina Alisherovna, Bokiyeva Marhabojon Toiraliyevna	402-406
91	About Problem Approach in Teaching Non-Native Languages	Niyazova Nasiba Zhurabekovna	407-410
92	Forms of Reflexivity and the Importance of Using them in Pedagogical Practice	Donayeva Shakhnoza Abduraimovna	411-414
93	KNOWLEDGE, SKILLS AND SKILLS OF STUDENTS METHODS OF FORMATION	ALISHER ERGASHEVICH ERNAZAROV	415-418

Linguoculturology as the New Branch of Linguistics

Rasulova Nigina Alisherovna
Foreign Languages Department

Bokiyeva Marhabojon Toiraliyevna
The student of foreign languages faculty

Abstract: The following article describes the facts concerning the formation and characteristics of linguoculture, as well as the viewpoints of many specialists on the field and the way it has developed within Uzbek linguistics.

Keywords: Linguistics/ culture/ Linguoculturology / communication / features / scientists / modern / cultural factor / relationship.

Language is a medium that helps in the sending of thoughts and feelings of two individuals. At the same time language allows us to express our feelings and thoughts via sounds, symbols, written or spoken words, posture, gestures or signs. Consequently, the study aimed at research of relationship between language and culture is Linguoculturology.

Labels like linguoculturology and linguoculturological are unlikely to be singled out for closer examination in "Western Cultural Linguistics" [Mizin & Korostenski, 2019]. Constructed upon evident Greek and Latin foundations, they are widely believed to defy definition. Even in situations where if no additional explanation is given, readers will assume they have a good idea of the nature of the scientific endeavor that is intended to be conveyed by the two terms. How could one fail to notice, after all, that they are discussing the linguistically informed investigation of the complex relationships across languages, civilizations, and ideas?

In a recent publication on "Linguoculturological aspects of the study of Samkhruli (Southern) floronymic language," Maia Kikvadze [Kikvadze, 2018] provides a nice example of this "superficial" method that takes formal transparency for granted.

According to B.Sainte-Marie "Language and culture cannot be separated. Language is vital to understand our unique cultural perspectives. Language is a tool that is used to explore and experience our cultures and the perspectives that are embedded in our cultures".

Certainly, language is unique to our species because it is the only way to express our unparalleled ideas and customs within different cultures and societies, and it represents a country's culture.

Linguoculturology as associate subject actively developing field if linguistics. Linguoculturology as an independent direction in linguistics arose in the 90s of the XX century. The term linguoculturology came into use in the scientific works by V.V.Vorobyev, N.D.Arutyunova, Y.S.Stepanov, V.T.Kolokov, V.A. Maslova, V.I.Karasik, V.N.Telia, V.Avramova, V.V.Krasnykh considers linguoculturology to be "a discipline studying manifestation, reflection and fixation of culture in the language and discourse". It represents certain unity of knowledge regarding national-cultural peculiarities of nation and their reflection in language. The aim of linguoculturology is to review the ways that the language embodies in its units to keep and to transmit culture.

Numerous studies have pointed out that linguoculture is a discipline that examines language as a cultural phenomena, with a focus on the interdependent nature of language and culture. V. N. Telia, for instance, describes linguistics as a discipline that "studies the individual and cultural factor as a whole." G. G. Slisshkin states that "Linguoculturology is concentrated on the human element, or more specifically, the individual's cultural component. Because the phenomena of culture lies at the core of linguoculturology, it may be inferred that the study of man is an anthropological phenomenon. Even though opinions on the subject of linguoculture are generally agreed upon, there are still certain points of contention.

In particular, V.N.Telia writes : “ Linguoculturology is a science that studies the human, more precisely, the cultural factor in man “.The fact that center of linguoculturology consists of a cultural phenomenon indicates that the science of man belongs to an anthropological paradigm.

Although, there is a consensus on the object of study of linguoculturology, some controversial views are suggested by V.N.Telia and V.A.Maslova. According to V.N.Telia, linguoculturology studies only the synchronous connection of language and culture, whereas V.A.Maslova believes that this field studies both synchronously and diachronically.

Personally I agree with V.N.Telia because the main task of linguoculturology is to study and describe language and culture in their interaction. In spite of the fact that there are several references on the sphere of linguoculturology, linguists admit that the most famous of them is the textbook created by V.A.Maslova. This textbook describes the methods, object and subject, directions in the field of linguoculturology, examples of linguocultural analysis of a particular language unit.

The linguocultural analysis of language in our linguistics began in the second decade of the XXI century. For example, Z.I.Soliyeva's dissertation is devoted to the study of sentiment in the Uzbek and French languages, national and cultural features of moral and educational texts. Professor N.Mahmudov's article can be considered as the first work in Uzbek linguistics, which contains serious comments on linguoculturology. The article is very based on the factors that contributed to the formation of linguoculturological theory, the basic concepts in it,the differences in their interpretation.

1. Several directions have taken shape in cultural linguistics by now.
2. Culturology linguistics of a particular social group, ethnic group in some culturally bright period, ie, the study of a specific linguocultural situation.
3. Diachronic cultural linguistics, i.e. study of changes in the linguocultural state of an ethnic group over a certain period of time.
4. Comparative cultural linguistics, which investigates the linguistic and cultural manifestations of different, but interrelated ethnic groups.
5. Comparative cultural linguistics. It is just beginning to develop. Today it is represented by only a few works, the most interesting of which is the work of M. K. Golovanivskaya "The French mentality from the point of view of a native speaker of the Russian language", in which the peculiarities of the French mentality are studied from the standpoint of a native speaker of the Russian language and culture. The material for the analysis was abstract nouns in Russian and French - fate, danger, luck, soul, mind, conscience, thought, idea, etc.
6. Linguocultural lexicography, engaged in the compilation of linguistic and cultural dictionaries.

Currently, linguoculturology is one of the leading areas of linguistic research, its rapid formation and development is observed. explains this phenomenon for several reasons.

- Firstly, it is the rapid globalization of world problems, the need to take into account the universal and specific characteristics of the behavior and communication of various peoples in solving a wide variety of issues, the need to know in advance those situations in which

there is a high probability of intercultural misunderstanding, the importance of identifying and accurately designating those cultural values that underlie communication.

- Secondly, this is an objective integrative trend in the development of the humanities, the need for linguists to master the results obtained by representatives of related branches of knowledge (psychology, sociology, ethnography, cultural studies, political science, etc.).
- Thirdly, this is the applied side of linguistic knowledge, the understanding of language as a means of concentrated comprehension of collective experience, which is encoded in all the richness of the meanings of words, phraseological units, well-known texts, etc., and this experience is the essence of the studied foreign language.

As noted by S.A. Pitin and L.A. Shkatov, “linguoculturological field appears as the knowledge of a researcher, and linguoculturemes are used as a metalanguage, which have a common classification character and potential capabilities in compared languages (archiculturemes).

In his article “Linguocultural studies” V.A. Fedosov distinguishes two approaches to linguocultural research: from language to culture and from culture to language. The author argues that the first approach is related to scientific linguoculturology, and the second approach is related to linguoculturological studies. The author considers these approaches in reference to the description of linguoculturology and raises a question if one should start with culturology or with linguistics — with the language. Both approaches are admissible: “...the subject of linguistics (language)..., expresses culturological concepts. Culturology, therefore, precedes linguistics”.

In Ye.N. Luchinina’s work linguoculturology is defined as the study of «individual objects conceptual picture of the world and their understanding of public consciousness and language from the point of view of the object of reflection, one of which is the ethnic group».

According to Y.A. Belchikov, one of the topical problems of modern linguoculturology is connected with the search for mechanisms of interaction between language and culture, because “language and culture have a common humanistic basis”.

EI Zinovieva and EE Yurkov defined cultural linguistics as a philological science, the main task of which is to study the diverse ways of representing knowledge about the world of speakers of a particular language.

The Russian philologist V.V. Krasnykh considers cultural linguistics as a discipline that studies how culture manifests itself, is reflected and fixed in language and discourse. At the same time, the interrelation of this discipline with the study of the national picture of the world, linguistic consciousness, features of the mental-lingual complex is noted.

As discussed above linguoculturology is described as “ a complex scientific discipline of synthesizing type that studies the interrelation of culture and language in its functioning and reflects this process as an integral structure of units in the unity of their linguistic and extra-lingual (cultural) content “.

To sum up, Linguoculturology is a branch of linguistics that views language as a cultural phenomenon and a manifestation of rational thought. It is aimed at scrutinizing linguistic units in connection with the historical and social development of the country at different periods and thus ensures general broad comprehension of the language as a complex system. Linguoculturology plays a significant part in Linguistics since it is an interdisciplinary field in which the data gathered as a result of study may be implemented in practice. As a result, we’ve come to the conclusion that Linguoculturology is a brandnew subject of Linguistics in active development, might be a fruitful topic for linguistic research.

References:

1. Akramov, I. (2021). WHAT IS THE IMPORTANCE OF USING APHORISM IN OUR SPEECH?. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz), 8(8).

2. Alisherovna, R. N. (2023). KOGNITIV TILSHUNOSLIKDA LISONIY QARASHLARNING SHAKLLANISH JARAYONLARI. SUSTAINABILITY OF EDUCATION, SOCIO-ECONOMIC SCIENCE THEORY, 1(12), 85-88.
3. Alisherovna, R. N., & Shaxina, M. (2023). LISONIY SHAXS NUTQIDA HISSIY BELGILARNING IFODALANISHI. FORMATION OF PSYCHOLOGY AND PEDAGOGY AS INTERDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES, 2(20), 107-109.
4. Fayzieva, M. (2021). THE IMPACT OF BILINGUALISM AND MULTILINGUALISM IN FLT. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.uz), 8(8).
5. Hikmatovna, A. S. (2023, January). Human Helpers in English and Uzbek Fairytales. In Proceedings of International Conference on Educational Discoveries and Humanities (Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 194-196).
6. Rasulova, N. (2024). KOGNITIV TILSHUNOSLIKDA LISONIY SHAXS MUAMMOSI. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 45(45). извлечено от https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals_buxdu/article/view/11719
7. Rasulova, N. A. (2023). OMMAVIY AXBOROT VOSITALARI MATERIALLARI TARJIMASIDA LISONIY SHAXS TUSHUNCHASINING AHAMIYATI. INTELLECTUAL EDUCATION TECHNOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS AND INNOVATIVE DIGITAL TOOLS, 2(18), 267-269.
8. Rasulova, N. (2023). THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FUNCTIONAL APPROACH IN LINGUISTICS. Scientific journal of the Fergana State University, (1), 357-361.
9. MalikaErgasheva – EUROPEAN SCHOLAR JOURNAL –“The term linguoculturology and its introduction into science” - 2022-P56,57
10. Niluzar Axmedova “Linguoculturology and its features” – San Francisco, USA -2021-P8,9
11. KODIROVA, H. (2023). Insincerity and False Discourse in Media Linguistics. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 35(35). извлечено от https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals_buxdu/article/view/9863
12. Orzubek Anvarbayev, Nargiza Yakhshilikova- INTELLECTUAL EDUCATION TECHNOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS AND INNOVATIVE DIGITAL TOOLS- “Linguoculturology _-mirror of ethnic cultures” P- 112,113,114,115.
13. Yadgarova Zebiniso Tolibovna. (2023). IMPORTANCE OF TEACHING THE PRONUNCIATION OF SUPRASEGMENTAL FEATURES OF ENGLISH. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE & INTERDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH ISSN: 2277-3630 Impact Factor: 7.429, 12(05), 32–35. Retrieved from <https://www.gejournal.net/index.php/IJSSIR/article/view/1745>
14. Yuldasheva Feruza Erkinovna. (2023). Cross-Cultural Variation and Distribution of Politeness Strategies. American Journal of Language, Literacy and Learning in STEM Education (2993-2769), 1(8), 31–34. Retrieved from <http://grnjournal.us/index.php/STEM/article/view/864>
15. Zarina Khabibovna Usmonova. (2023). The Main Peculiarities of Translation Studies: Tranlator’s Skill. Conference on Applied and Practical Sciences, 13–14. Retrieved from <https://openconference.us/index.php/applied/article/view/748>
16. Zulhumor Samatova “ Learning any language with linguoculturology enriches our knowledge and broadens our horizon “- Texas Journal of multidisciplinary studies -2022-P 12,13
17. Zarifovna, R. N.. (2023). ENVIRONMENTAL EUPHEMISMS IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE. Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional

Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes, 51–53. Retrieved from <https://www.conferenceseries.info/index.php/online/article/view/96>

18. Zulhumor Samatova “ Learning any language with linguoculturology enriches our knowledge and broadens our horizon “- Texas Journal of multidisciplinary studies -2022-P 12,13
19. Джумаева, Н. (2023). THE ESSENCE OF CONCEPT IN COGNITIVE LINGUISTICS. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 44(44). извлечено от https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals_buxdu/article/view/11449