



www.bjisrd.com

Exploring Connotation in Linguistics

N. X. Navruzova

Teacher of English Linguistics Department, BSU

***Abstract:** The article discusses connotative meaning as an integral component of a word's semantic structure, alongside its denotative and grammatical elements. It explores the distinction between speech connotation and language connotation, as well as the concept of linguistic personality, in its examination of connotation.*

***Key words:** Connotation, Semantic Structure of the Word, Linguistic Personality, Motivation, Inner Form of a Word.*

The exploration of connotation's essence and importance is a key focus in modern semasiology. Connotative meanings enhance a word's semantic structure by introducing underlying or implied meanings beyond its literal definition. Various scholars, including V.V. Vinogradov, M.A. Krongauz, and V. N. Telia, have presented differing perspectives on connotation's interplay with denotation, its structural aspects, typology, functions, and its comparative and cognitive exploration. Modern semasiology focuses on the importance of connotation and its significance in understanding the full semantic structure of a word. Connotative meanings go beyond the literal definition, introducing implied or suggested layers of meaning. Various linguists, both local and foreign, have explored the relationship between connotation and denotation, typology, structure, functions, and comparative and cognitive aspects of word connotation, including V.V. Vinogradov, M.A. Krongauz, V. N. Telia, I. V. Arnold, V. I. Shakhovsky, E. S. Aznaurova, A. V. Filippov, Z. D. Popova, I. A. Sternin, L. A. Sergeeva, V. I. Goverdovsky, V. N. Manakin, A. N. Prikhodko, among others.

Connotation enhances the meaning of a word by introducing additional emotional, cultural, or societal associations that can impact how the word is interpreted beyond its literal definition. This extra layer of meaning helps to convey subtleties, tone, and nuances in communication.

However, there are notable discrepancies among esteemed researchers on key aspects of this topic. For example, regarding the role of connotation in the meaning structure of a word, there are starkly contrasting views. N. F. Alefirenko observes that there are prevailing disagreements among semasiologists on the linguistic nature of connotation. One example he provides is the opposing perspectives on whether connotation is an integral part of the "semantic content of nominative units"

(E. S. Aznaurova, I. V. Arnold, V. N. Telia, V. I. Shakhovsky) or if connotation is not a fundamental component of linguistic semantics (Yu. D. Apresyan, N. G. Komlev, D. N. Shmelev).

Enriching the semantic structure of words, connotation adds emotional, cultural, or social layers that can impact how the word is interpreted beyond its basic meaning. Despite differences among esteemed researchers' viewpoints, connotation's role within the meaning structure of words remains a topic of ongoing debate. This lack of a comprehensive theory on connotative meaning highlights the significance of further research in this area.

The absence of a comprehensive theory on the connotative meaning of words highlights the importance of our research. Determining the linguistic status of connotation poses a significant problem, the resolution of which would contribute to advancing various fields such as general and comparative linguistics, translation studies, psychosemantics, cultural linguistics, intercultural communication, cognitive linguistics, and other related disciplines. Before examining how connotative meaning interacts with other facets of a word's meaning, it is essential to define the boundaries of the term "semantic structure of a word."

Despite the longstanding usage of the term "semantic structure of a word" in linguistics, it is crucial to acknowledge the existence of multiple interpretations of this concept. The infiltration of terms from fields like logic, psychology, and philosophy has resulted in a dilution of the original linguistic terminology system. Consequently, there are now several parallel terms or differing definitions for the same term, leading to variability in the representation of the "semantic structure of the word."

M.A. Krongauz employs the term to refer to a network of meanings associated with a polysemous word. When discussing the relationships between the various meanings of a lexeme, known as lexical-semantic variants, the author identifies three primary types of connections: radial, chain, and mixed. Krongauz emphasizes that word meanings form a complex structure and distinguishes between a "prototypical" meaning and "derived" meanings, a classification that aligns with A. A. Potebnya's theory of immediate and further word meanings.

Similarly, V. V. Levitsky offers his perspective on the semantic makeup of a word, describing it as a multi-layered structure composed of several hierarchically linked substructures. These substructures include semantics, which pertains to information regarding the denotation of objects and phenomena in the external world; pragmatics, which deals with knowledge about communication conditions; and syntactics, which involves information on the rules governing sign usage.

Amidst the varying interpretations of the term "semantic structure of a word," scholars like M.A. Krongauz and V. V. Levitsky offer insights into the intricate organization of word meanings. They highlight the connections between different meanings of a word and the hierarchical relationships within its semantic structure.

The concept of the "semantic structure of a word" can also refer to the internal arrangement of the meanings of a polysemous word and the connections between the elements of the meaning of derived words. The aim of our research is to ascertain the role of connotative meaning within the semantic framework of a word.

In our investigation, we interpret this term as a complex structure that mirrors the essence of a linguistic symbol's meaning. Within the framework of the meaning of a word, we identify grammatical and subject-logical constituents as the primary elements. Considering their significance in shaping a word's meaning and their potential for dissection into individual elements, we will refer to them as macrocomponents in future discussions. These two macrocomponents form the core of the semantic

structure of the word, with each capable of being further divided into denotative and significative components: grammatical denotation and signification, along with denotative and significative elements of the subject-logical part of a word's meaning, respectively.

Defining connotation as additional nuanced information attached to words, experts like O.S. Akhmanova and I.A. Sternin shed light on the various dimensions of connotative meaning, encompassing expressive, emotional, evaluative, and stylistic elements. The complexity of connotation is evident in the divergent approaches taken by scholars in understanding this linguistic phenomenon.

Recognizing connotation as a vital macrocomponent intertwined with lexical and phraseological meanings, researchers emphasize its interaction with significative-denotative components. The evaluative aspect of connotation, explored by scholars such as A.V. Kunin and L.E. Kruglikova, underscores the diverse facets of connotative meanings in language.

I.A. Sternin, in his publication "Issues in Analyzing Word Meaning Structures," offers the following definition of evaluation: "The evaluative aspect of a linguistic symbol's meaning involves a positive or negative judgment inherent in the word's meaning. During analysis, it is crucial not to equate the evaluative aspect of a word with its accuracy or truthfulness in usage; the judgment implied by the word may not align with reality." Sternin highlights the subjective nature of evaluation, emphasizing that an individual, as an evaluating "subject," possesses a unique worldview and socio-cultural values that may differ from those of society at large.

Simultaneously, various scholars argue for the objective nature of the evaluative component, which draws on the collective social experiences of a linguistic community and the established norms within it. This duality underscores the complexity of evaluation. In our research, we will align with E.F. Arsentyeva's perspective, which posits that "evaluateness can be defined as the individual's stance toward the object being named as encoded in language."

Here are a few instances illustrating connotative implications in various settings:

1. "Returning to my cozy home after a long day at work feels like a warm hug." In this scenario, the word "home" can symbolize warmth, security, and comfort, eliciting emotions of safety and belonging.
2. "I can't trust him; he's always been a snake in the grass." Here, the term "snake" typically implies deceit or betrayal, indicating a negative or untrustworthy nature.
3. "The firefighter's heroic actions in rescuing the family from the burning building were truly brave." Describing someone as "brave" can connote courage, boldness, or valor, reflecting admiration for their deeds.
4. "As I gazed at the serene blue ocean, I felt a deep sense of peace and tranquility wash over me." The color blue can denote calmness, tranquility, or sadness, varying based on the context of its usage. These connotations enhance the complexity and depth of understanding conveyed by words and concepts.

In analyzing connotation within different contexts, the subtle yet powerful associations attached to words like "home," "snake," "brave," and colors like "blue" showcase how connotations contribute to nuanced interpretations and emotional depth in communication.

Overall, connotation within nominative units pertains to the additional meanings, emotions, and associations linked with individual expressions or linguistic structures beyond their literal

interpretations. Comprehending these connotations is essential for effective communication and deciphering language across diverse cultural and societal contexts.

Understanding the connotative dimensions of words is essential for effective communication across diverse cultural and social settings, facilitating a more profound comprehension of language nuances and subtleties.

The list of used literature

1. Khamidovna, N. N. (2022, January). The importance of denotation and connotation. In Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes (pp. 119-120).
2. Navruzova, N. (2022). ВЫРАЖЕНИЕ КОННОТАТИВНОГО ЗНАЧЕНИЯ В ЛИТЕРАТУРНЫХ ПРОИЗВЕДЕНИЯХ. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. Uz), 24 (24). ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. Uz), 24, 24.
3. Navruzova, N. (2022). ВЫРАЖЕНИЕ ЭМОЦИОНАЛЬНО-ЭКСПРЕССИВНОСТИ В ЕДИНИЦАХ РЕЧИ. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. Uz), 8 (8).
4. Navruzova Nigina Khamidovna, & Juraeva Gulmira. (2023). INGLIZ VA O'ZBEK TILLARIDA FREZELOGIZMLARNING LINGVOMADANIY XUSUSIYATLARI. Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes, 61–64.
Retrieved from <https://www.conferenceseries.info/index.php/online/article/view/740>
5. Navruzova Nigina Khamidovna, & Kodirova Dilfuza. (2023). THE STYLISTIC FEATURES OF PHRASEOLOGICAL SYNONYMS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES. Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes, 65–67.
Retrieved from <https://conferenceseries.info/index.php/online/article/view/741>
6. Navruzova Nigina Khamidovna. (2023). THE EXPRESSION OF CONNOTATIVE MEANINGS IN THE STRUCTURE OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE. Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes, 76–81.
Retrieved from <https://www.conferenceseries.info/index.php/online/article/view/744>
7. Navruzova Nigina Xamidovna. (2023). NOMINATIV BIRLIKLARNING KONNOTATIV ASPEKTI. Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes, 106–110.
Retrieved from <https://www.conferenceseries.info/index.php/online/article/view/1002>
8. Khamidovna, N. N., & Nozigul, G. (2023). LINGUISTIC FEATURES OF TOPONYMS. FORMATION OF PSYCHOLOGY AND PEDAGOGY AS INTERDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES, 2(20), 259-266.
9. Khamidovna, N. N., & Dilfuza, K. (2023, April). THE STYLISTIC FEATURES OF PHRASEOLOGICAL SYNONYMS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES. In Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes (pp. 65-67).
10. Khamidovna, N. N. (2023, April). THE EXPRESSION OF CONNOTATIVE MEANINGS IN THE STRUCTURE OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE. In Integration Conference on Integration

- of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes (pp. 76-81).
11. Xamidovna, N. N. (2024, February). DENOTATIVE AND CONNOTATIVE MEANING OF A WORD. In INTERDISCIPLINE INNOVATION AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CONFERENCE (Vol. 2, No. 17, pp. 72-76).
 12. Xamidovna, N. N., & Nilufar, R. (2023). FRAZEOLOGIZMLARNING LINGVOKULTUROLOGIK JIHATLARINI TAHLIL QILISHDA TIL VA MADANIYATNING O'ZARO BOG'LIKLI. FORMATION OF PSYCHOLOGY AND PEDAGOGY AS INTERDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES, 2(20), 31-37.
 13. Xamidovna, N. N. (2023, May). NOMINATIV BIRLIKLARNING KONNOTATIV ASPEKTI. In Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes (pp. 106-110).
 14. Khamidovna, N. N. (2022, January). The importance of denotation and connotation. In Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes (pp. 119-120).
 15. Navruzova, N. (2022). KONNOTATIV MA'NONING BADIY ASARLARDA IFODALANISHI. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz), 24(24).
 16. Navruzova, N. (2021). EMOTSIONAL–EKSPRESSIVLIKNING NUTQ BIRLIKLARIDA IFODALANISHI. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz), 8(8).
 17. Khamidovna, N. N., & Dilfuza, K. (2023, April). THE STYLISTIC FEATURES OF PHRASEOLOGICAL SYNONYMS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES. In Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes (pp. 65-67).
 18. Kilichev, B. E., Zaripov, B., & Kholmukhammedov, B. (2021). Lingvoculturological characteristics of anthroponyms used in artistic works. *ResearchJet Journal of Analysis and Inventions*, 2(5).
 19. Kilichev, B. E. A way of making words on proper nouns. *International Journal on Integrated Education*, 3(2), 96-98..
<https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/333098-a-way-of-making-words-on-proper-nouns-08e83e0e.pdf>.
 20. Bayramali, K., & Timur, K. Adizova Nodira Classification of Oykons (On the Example of Bukhara Region) *International Journal of Culture and Modernity* ISSN 2697-2131, 2022.
 21. Kilichev, B. E., & Sh, R. (2021). Uzbek national folk tales and the nature of anthroponyms in live communication. *Middle European Scientific Bulletin*, 12.
 22. Kilichev, B., & Rustamova, M. (2023). METAFORAGA XOS BA'ZI BIR XUSUSIYATLAR (SIROJIDDIN SAYYID SHE'RIYATI MISOLIDA). *Евразийский журнал социальных наук, философии и культуры*, 3(1 Part 1), 59-64.
 23. Kilichev, B., & Shabonova, G. (2023). “BOBURNOMA” ASARIDAGI AYRIM TOPONIMLARNING ETIMOLOGIYASI HAQIDA. *Talqin Va Tadqiqotlar*, 1(18).
извлечено от <https://talqinvatadqiqotlar.uz/index.php/tvt/article/view/8>.

24. Askarovich, H. A., & Zarifovna, R. N. (2021). SEMANTICS OF EUPHEMISM IN BUSINESS LANGUAGE. *Conferencious Online*, 19–22.
25. Haydarov Anvar Askarovich. (2022). Phonostylistic Repetition. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation Studies*, 18. <https://doi.org/10.21070/ijins.v18i.618>
26. Askarovich, H. A. (2022, January). Soʻz Maʼnolari Intensivligi. In *Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes* (pp. 13-15).
27. Navruzova, N., & Haydarov, A. (2022). КОННОТАТИВНЫЕ ЗНАЧЕНИЯ, СВЯЗАННЫЕ С ЗВУКОВЫМИ ИЗМЕНЕНИЯМИ. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. Uz), 8 (8). *ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ*.
28. Khaydarov, A. A. (2020). Expression of connotative meaning in onomatopoeia. *Scientific reports of Bukhara State University*, 4(5), 76-80.
29. Askarovich, H. A. (2021). EXPRESSION OF CONNOTATIVE MEANING IN GRAPHIC MEANS. *International Engineering Journal For Research & Development*, 6 (TITFL), 91–94.
30. Haydarov, A. A. (2023). KOMBINATOR VA POZITSION FONETIK OʻZGARISHLARNING OʻZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI (INGLIZ VA OʻZBEK TILLARI MISOLIDA). *SUSTAINABILITY OF EDUCATION, SOCIO-ECONOMIC SCIENCE THEORY*, 1(6), 172-175.
31. Haydarov Anvar Askarovich, & Ruziyeva Nafisa Zarifovna. (2021). SEMANTICS OF EUPHEMISM IN BUSINESS LANGUAGE. *Conferencious Online*, 19–22. Retrieved from <https://conferencious.com/index.php/conferences/article/view/52>
32. Haydarov, A. (2020). Methodological features of graphic tools. *Middle European Scientific Bulletin*, 5.
33. Askarovich, H. A., & Nilufar, R. (2023). DENOTATIVE AND CONNOTATIVE MEANINGS IN WORD SEMANTICS. *Finland International Scientific Journal of Education. Social Science & Humanities*, 11(2), 393-399.
34. Askarovich, H. A. (2022). SOME COMMENTS ON THE STYLISTIC REPETITION. *JournalNX- A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal*, 8 (1), 87–91.
35. Саидова, З. Х. (2016). Использование новых технологий на уроках английского языка. *Молодой ученый*, (7), 703-706. <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=25863422>.
36. Саидова, З. Х. (2016). Обучение в сотрудничестве. *Молодой ученый*, (7), 701-703. <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=25863421>.
37. Khudoyberdievna, S. Z. (2022). The main features of translation of phraseology from english into uzbek. *Scientific Impulse*, 1(3), 523-526. <https://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/ni/article/view/1024>.
38. Saidova Zulfizar Khudoyberdievna Psychological bases Of the development moral and aethetic outlook of future vocational teachers // Научный журнал. 2017. №3 (16). URL: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/psychological-bases-of-the-development-moral-and-aethetic-outlook-of-future-vocational-teachers>.
39. Saidova Zulfizar Khudoyberdievna Psychological bases Of the development moral and aethetic outlook of future vocational teachers // Научный журнал. 2017. №3 (16). URL:

<https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/psychological-bases-of-the-development-moral-and-aesthetic-outlook-of-future-vocational-teachers>.

40. Khudoyberdievna, S. Z. (1871). THE STUDY OF PHRASEOLOGY AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PHRASEOLOGISMS REFLECTING THE SPIRITUAL STATE OF HUMAN. *International Engineering Journal For Research & Development*, 6, 222-225.
41. Khudoyberdievna, S. Z. (2021). Analysis of the concepts of emotions in Russian and English phraseological picture of the world. *Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT*, 2, 11-18.
42. Saidova Zulfizar Khudoyberdievna Teaching English through games // Научный журнал. 2017. №3 (16). URL: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/teaching-english-through-games>.
43. Khudoyberdievna, S. Z. (2021). Language Expressing Psychoemotional State of Human. In *International conference on multidisciplinary research and innovative technologies* (Vol. 2, pp. 108-113).