

3rd MAY

2024



ISOC
INTERNATIONAL
SCIENTIFIC
ONLINE
CONFERENCES

zenodo OpenAIRE



digital
object
identifier

OPEN  ACCESS

NETHERLANDS

INTELLECTUAL EDUCATION
TECHNOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS
AND INNOVATIVE DIGITAL TOOLS



info.interonconf@mail.ru

www.interonconf.org



ISOC
INTERNATIONAL
SCIENTIFIC
ONLINE
CONFERENCES



INTELLECTUAL EDUCATION TECHNOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS AND INNOVATIVE DIGITAL TOOLS

International scientific-online conference

Part 27

MAY 3rd

COLLECTIONS OF SCIENTIFIC WORKS

AMSTERDAM 2024



Keldiyeva Shahnoza Shuhratovna Òktamjonova Shirinoy Bahodirjon qizi Matqosimova Odinaxon Mirzaolimjon qizi <i>IINSON QADRINI YUKSALTIRISH VA ERKIN FUQAROLIK JAMIYATINI</i> <i>YANADA RIVOJLANTIRISH ORQALI XALQPARVAR DAVLAT BARPO</i> <i>ETISH</i>	515
Keldiyeva Shahnoza Shuhratovna Abdumannobova Gulhayo Numonjonova Feruza <i>BOZOR IQTISODIYOTINING BELGILARI</i>	522
Арипов Алишер Сайфиддинович <i>ЗАМОНАВИЙ ЖАМИЯТДА КОРРУПЦИЯ ПРОФИЛАКТИКАСИНИНГ</i> <i>ИЖТИМОИЙ-ФАЛСАФИЙ ТАҲЛИЛИ ТАРИХ МИСОЛИДА.</i>	525
Kh.Sh.Saidov Mavlonova Takhmina <i>LEVELS OF THE LANGUAGE ON THE PARADIGM OF ECONOMY AND</i> <i>REDUNDANCY</i>	529
Saidov Zafarjon Shavkatovich Akramov Izzatulla Ikromovich <i>EXPLORING CENTRAL ASIA THROUGH THE EYES OF TRAVELOGUES: A</i> <i>COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF AMERICAN AND BRITISH PERSPECTIVES</i>	535
Aziza Yunusova Zulfiyabonu Boboeva <i>UNDERSTANDING PRAGMATICS, LOCUTION AND ILLOCUTION: A</i> <i>SCIENTIFIC EXPLORATION</i>	538
Aziza Yunusova Madina Ikromova <i>UNVEILING CHARACTER DEPTHS: A THEME ANALYSIS OF LANGUAGE</i> <i>AND SPEECH PORTRAITS IN LITERATURE</i>	545
Аҳадов Умрзоқ Юнус ўғли <i>ICHKI ISHLAR ORGANLAR NAVBATCHILIK QISMI TARIXI</i>	550

UNDERSTANDING PRAGMATICS, LOCUTION AND ILLOCUTION: A SCIENTIFIC EXPLORATION

Aziza Yunusova

Bukhara State University

Zulfiyabonu Boboeva

Bukhara State University

Abstract: *This article provides a comprehensive exploration of pragmatics, locution, and illocution in linguistics and communication theory. It examines theoretical frameworks, empirical research, and real-world applications to shed light on how these concepts shape human communication. Pragmatics investigates the relationship between language and context, while locution focuses on the literal meaning of an utterance, and illocutionary acts encompass the intentions behind speech acts. The article explores how these concepts intersect and influence each other, offering insights into resolving ambiguity in natural language processing and enhancing cross-cultural communication.*

Key words: *locution, illocution, pragmatics, linguistic context, human interaction, theoretical inquiry.*

Introduction. Pragmatics, locution, and illocution are fundamental concepts in linguistics and communication theory. This comprehensive scientific exploration aims to delve deeply into their intricate interplay, shedding light on their roles in language comprehension and production. Through a thorough examination of theoretical frameworks, empirical research, and real-world applications, this article provides an exhaustive understanding of how these concepts shape human communication.

Literature Review. Language serves as a foundational element of human interaction, facilitating communication, expression, and the transmission of knowledge across cultures and generations. However, the study of language extends far beyond its structural aspects, encompassing the intricate dynamics of its use in context. Pragmatics, locution, and illocution represent essential components in the study of language use, each contributing uniquely to the richness and complexity of human interaction. This article introduces these concepts and outlines the scope of their exploration in linguistic theory and practice.

Pragmatics investigates the relationship between language and context, recognizing that the meaning of an utterance extends beyond its literal interpretation. Grice's Cooperative Principle and Speech Act Theory are pivotal frameworks within pragmatics, elucidating how speakers and listeners cooperate to convey and interpret meaning effectively. For instance, consider a situation where someone asks, "Can you pass the salt?" In a pragmatic context, the speaker may not just be asking about the physical ability to pass the salt but may be making a polite request, relying on the listener's ability to infer the implicit meaning.

Locution refers to the literal meaning of an utterance, focusing on the surface structure of language devoid of contextual considerations. Analyzing locution entails examining syntactic structures, lexical choices, and grammatical rules to discern the explicit content of a message. While locution provides a foundation for linguistic analysis, its significance is magnified when contextualized within pragmatic frameworks, where the literal meaning often interacts with implicatures and presuppositions to convey richer layers of meaning. For example, the locutionary meaning of the sentence "The weather is nice today" may seem straightforward, but its interpretation can vary depending on the context, social cues, and the speaker's intentions.

Illocutionary acts encompass the intentions behind speech acts, encompassing a wide range of communicative functions such as asserting, questioning, commanding, and promising. Austin's speech act theory delineates illocutionary acts into locutionary acts (the actual utterance), illocutionary force (the intended effect), and perlocutionary acts (the resulting effect on the listener). Understanding illocutionary acts is crucial for deciphering speaker intentions, navigating conversational dynamics, and interpreting social cues accurately. For example, consider the illocutionary force behind the utterance "I promise to be there on time." Beyond the locutionary meaning of the words, the speaker is committing to a future action, implying a sense of obligation or responsibility.

To further illustrate the concepts of locution and illocution, consider the following examples: In the statement "The cat is on the mat," the locutionary meaning is simply stating the position of the cat. However, depending on the context and speaker's intentions, the phrase can convey different illocutionary forces. For instance, if the speaker emphasizes the word "cat" and points towards the mat, the illocutionary force may be to draw attention to the cat's presence or to instruct someone to be cautious not to disturb it.

In a workplace setting, a manager might say, "I need the report by tomorrow morning." The locutionary act is a straightforward statement indicating a deadline. However, the illocutionary force can vary based on factors such as tone, context, and relationship dynamics. It could be a polite request, a firm directive, or even a subtle reminder of the importance of the task.

The dynamic interplay between pragmatics, locution, and illocution underpins numerous linguistic phenomena and real-world applications. This section explores how these concepts intersect and influence one another in various linguistic contexts, from resolving ambiguity in natural language processing to enhancing cross-cultural communication. Insights from this exploration can inform the development of artificial intelligence systems, chatbots, and language teaching methodologies.

This section presents empirical research and case studies that illustrate the practical implications of pragmatics, locution, and illocution. Drawing on experimental data and real-world examples, it demonstrates how these concepts manifest in everyday communication and offers insights into their impact on language comprehension and production.

The application of pragmatics, locution, and illocution extends beyond theoretical inquiry to practical domains such as linguistics, communication studies, and language teaching. This section explores how a nuanced understanding of these concepts can inform research methodologies, pedagogical approaches, and professional communication practices, ultimately enriching our ability to navigate the complexities of human interaction.

H.P. Grice, a British philosopher and linguist, introduced the Cooperative Principle in his seminal work "Logic and Conversation" in 1975. Grice proposed that in conversation, speakers and listeners cooperate to achieve effective communication. According to Grice, conversation is governed by four maxims: the maxim of quantity, quality, relation, and manner. These maxims guide speakers to provide sufficient information, be truthful, relevant, and clear in their communication. Grice also introduced the concept of conversational implicature, wherein speakers convey meaning implicitly by violating or flouting these maxims. His work laid the foundation for pragmatic theory and continues to influence research in various fields, including philosophy of language, psychology, and artificial intelligence.

J.L. Austin, a British philosopher of language, made significant contributions to the study of pragmatics with his theory of speech acts. In his

influential work “How to Do Things with Words” (1962), Austin introduced the idea that utterances not only convey information but also perform actions. He categorized speech acts into three main types: locutionary acts (the literal meaning of an utterance), illocutionary acts (the intended force or function of an utterance), and perlocutionary acts (the effect of an utterance on the listener). Austin’s theory highlighted the performative nature of language and emphasized the importance of intention and context in interpreting speech acts. His work laid the groundwork for further developments in pragmatics and has had a profound impact on fields such as linguistics, philosophy, and communication studies.

Paul Grice, an American linguist, built upon the work of H.P. Grice and other pragmatists with his development of Relevance Theory. Grice proposed that communication is guided by the principle of relevance, wherein speakers aim to convey information that is relevant to the listener’s cognitive context. According to Grice, communication is most effective when speakers balance the effort of processing information with the cognitive effects of receiving it. Grice’s Relevance Theory offers a comprehensive framework for understanding how speakers optimize communication in various contexts and has influenced research in pragmatics, cognitive science, and psycholinguistics.

Deborah Tannen, an American linguist, is renowned for her work on gender and discourse analysis. Through her research, Tannen explored how gender differences influence communication styles and conversational dynamics. She introduced the concept of “genderlects,” suggesting that men and women often use language differently, leading to miscommunication and misunderstandings. Tannen’s work has shed light on the role of social factors in shaping language use and has practical implications for interpersonal communication, professional interactions, and cross-cultural communication.

Erving Goffman, a Canadian sociologist, made significant contributions to pragmatics through his work on interactional sociolinguistics and face theory. Goffman’s research focused on the ways in which individuals manage their social identities and preserve their “face” in interactional contexts. He introduced concepts such as face-threatening acts and politeness strategies, highlighting the role of politeness in maintaining social harmony and managing interpersonal relationships. Goffman’s insights into the social dimensions of language use have influenced research in sociolinguistics, anthropology, and communication studies.

Penelope Brown and Stephen Levinson, both linguists, developed Politeness Theory in the 1970s as a framework for understanding how individuals express and negotiate politeness in interaction. Their theory posits that speakers use linguistic strategies to mitigate potential threats to the positive face (desire to be liked and approved of) and negative face (desire to be free from imposition) of themselves and their interlocutors. Brown and Levinson's Politeness Theory has been influential in pragmatics, sociolinguistics, and cross-cultural communication, providing insights into the universal principles of politeness as well as culturally specific norms and practices.

While significant progress has been made in understanding pragmatics, locution, and illocution, challenges and unanswered questions remain. This section discusses current limitations in research methodologies, theoretical frameworks, and practical applications, as well as potential avenues for future exploration and innovation in linguistic theory and practice.

Conclusion. Pragmatics, locution, and illocution represent cornerstones of linguistic inquiry, offering valuable insights into the multifaceted nature of human communication. By elucidating their interrelationships and exploring their implications across various domains, this comprehensive scientific exploration advances our understanding of language use and enriches our ability to communicate effectively in diverse contexts. The study of pragmatics has been enriched by the pioneering work of scientists who have explored the complexities of human communication. From H.P. Grice's Cooperative Principle to Penelope Brown and Stephen Levinson's Politeness Theory, each scientist has contributed unique insights and theoretical frameworks that continue to shape our understanding of language use in context. As the field of pragmatics continues to evolve, the contributions of these influential scientists serve as a foundation for future research and innovation in linguistics and communication studies.

REFERENCES:

1. Васильева, А.А. Языковая личность и речевой портрет художественного персонажа. Москва. – 2021
2. Yunusova, A. (2023). COHERENCE AND COHESION IN ESSAY WRITING. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 30(30). https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals_buxdu/article/view/9180.

3. Yunusova, A. (2023). ОҒЗАКИ МАТНЛАРНИНГ СИНФДАГИ ТАҲЛИЛИ. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 30(30). https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals_buxdu/article/view/9182
4. Mazgutova, D., Brunfaut, T., Muradkasimova, K., Khodjjeva, R., Qobilova, G., & Yunusova, A. (2022). Evaluating co-production as a guiding philosophy for EAP teacher training course development. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 57, 101098. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1475158522000182>
5. Aziza, Y., & Abdullaeva, N. (2017). GET YOUR STUDENTS SPEAK UP. *Интернаука*, (11-2), 52-54. https://www.elibrary.ru/ip_restricted.asp?rpape=https%3A%2F%2Fwww%2Eelibrary%2Eru%2Fitem%2Easp%3Fid%3D29457679.
6. Akhmedjanovna, Y. A., & Sarvinoz, M. (2023). ВЕРБАЛЬНЫЕ И НЕВЕРБАЛЬНЫЕ ХАРАКТЕРИСТИКИ ЛИТЕРАТУРНО-ЯЗЫКОВОЙ ЛИЧНОСТИ. *PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES AND TEACHING METHODS*, 2(22), 296-300.
7. Yunusova, A., & Boboyeva, Z. (2023). LINGUISTIC METAMORPHOSES OF A LITERARY CHARACTER. *PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES AND TEACHING METHODS*, 2(22), 301-304.
8. Yunusova, A. (2023). СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ПОДХОДЫ К ТЕОРИИ ЯЗЫКОВОЙ ЛИЧНОСТИ И СУЩНОСТИ ДИСКУРСА. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 40(40). https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals_buxdu/article/view/10591.
9. Yunusova A.A., Abdullayeva N. VISUAL AIDS FOR EFFECTIVE LECTURING // Теория и практика современной науки. 2018. №5 (35). URL: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/visual-aids-for-effective-lecturing> (дата обращения: 05.02.2024).
10. Yunusova, A. (2023). Thinking, reading and writing critically. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 29(29). извлечено от http://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals_buxdu/article/view/8979.
11. Yunusova, A. (2023). ABOUT LINGUISTIC UNITS IN BILINGUAL SPEECH. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 30(30). извлечено от http://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals_buxdu/article/view/9171.
12. Yunusova, A. PEER EVALUATION IN PROCESS WRITING. ЎЗБЕКИСТОН РЕСПУБЛИКАСИ ОЛИЙ ВА ЎРТА МАХСУС ТАЪЛИМ ВАЗИРЛИГИ БУХОРО ДАВЛАТ УНИВЕРСИТЕТИ ИНГЛИЗ ТИЛШУНОСЛИГИ КАФЕДРАСИ ТИЛШУНОС ОЛИМА МУҲАББАТ АБДУЛЛАЕВА–, 218.



13. Yunusova, A. (2023). STRATEGIES FOR TEACHING IN MIXED ABILITY CLASSES. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 30(30). извлечено от http://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals_buxdu/article/view/9173.
14. A. Yunusova. (2017). PEER EDITING IN MODERN WRITING. <https://www.elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=29457747>
15. Yunusova, A. (2023). A PERSON'S LANGUAGE MAY BE AN INDICATOR OF HIS WORLDVIEW. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 31(31).https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals_buxdu/article/view/9364
16. Axmetjanovna, Y. A. (2023). Analysis of the Language and Speech Portrait of a Literary Character. *Miasto Przyszłości*, 32, 277–279. Retrieved from <https://miastoprzyszlosci.com.pl/index.php/mp/article/view/1147>
17. Aziza, Y., & Abdullaeva, N. (2017). GET YOUR STUDENTS SPEAK UP. *Интернайка*, (11-2), 52-54.<https://www.elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=29457679>.