ISSN: 2181-4147

Scientific Journal

Scholar

Volume 2, Issue 2







2024/2 January



ISSN 2181-4147 VOLUME 2, ISSUE 2 JANUARY 2024



https://researchedu.org/index.php/openscholar

36

Markova, Y. S., & Ruziyeva, N. X. (2024). REQUEST LENGTH AS POLITENESS MATTERS. SCHOLAR, 2(2), 227–231.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10543034

37

Адахамжонов, Д., & Убайдуллаев, А. (2024). КОМПАРАТИВНЫЙ АНАЛИЗ БЕЛОРУССКОГО И УКРАИНСКОГО ЯЗЫКОВ. SCHOLAR, 2(2), 232–235. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10543037

38

Исмаилова, С. Р. (2024). ҚАНДЛИ ДИАБЕТ ВА ЙОД ТАНҚИСЛИГИ ҚОЛАТЛАРИНИ БИРЛАМЧИ ПРОФИЛАКТИКА ҚИЛИШ, АҚОЛИНИНГ СОҒЛОМ ТУРМУШ ТАРЗИ МАДАНИЯТИНИ ОШИРИШ. SCHOLAR, 2(2), 236–238. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10543043

39

Достанова, У. У., & Бободжонова, Г. Б. (2024). БУЙРАК ТРАНСПЛАНТАЦИЯСИ. SCHOLAR, 2(2), 239–242. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10543045

40

Akbarova, R. A. (2024). CHALLENGES IN TEACHING SPEAKING TO YOUNG LEARNERS BY ROLE-PLAY ACTIVITY. SCHOLAR, 2(2), 243–248. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10543055

41

Sharipova, G. A. qizi ., & Xalikova, M. A. qizi . (2024). ILMIY TARJIMA ADABIYOTLARINING TA'LIM SIFATIGA TA'SIRI. SCHOLAR, 2(2), 249–252. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10543057

42

Radjabquliyeva, M. A. qizi . (2024). REKLAMA MATNLARIDA PSIXOLOGIK TA'SIR MASALASI. SCHOLAR, 2(2), 253–257. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10543061

43

Khamrakulova, K. G. (2024). THE DISTINCTIVE FEATURES OF EXPLANATORY ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT AND FIGHT AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE. SCHOLAR, 2(2), 258–266.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10543071

44

Orziyev, S. N. o'g'li ., & Ahmadov, O. S. (2024). MILLIY MAFKURANING YOSHLAR ONGIGA SINGDIRISHNING ASOSI DINIY KOMPETENSIYASINI SHAKLLANTIRISHNING PEDAGOGIK ASOSLARI. SCHOLAR, 2(2), 267–270. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10543097

REQUEST LENGTH AS POLITENESS MATTERS

Markova Yelena Sergeyevna,

School of Foreign Languages at the Higher School of Economics candidate of pedagogical sciences, associate professor, Russian Federation, Moscow

Ruziyeva Nilufar Xafizovna,

Department of English Literary and Translation Studies, Foreign Languages Faculty, Bukhara State University, Uzbekistan

e-mail: n.x.ruziyeva@buxdu.uz

ANNOTATION

Linguistic politeness has occupied a central place in the social study of language; even it has been the subject of intensive debate in sociolinguistics and pragmatics. A lot of linguistic scholars have carried out studies on linguistic politeness in a wide range of cultures. As a result, several theories have been proposed on linguistic politeness and have been established as scholarly concept. The major aim of this paper is to review the literature on linguistic politeness as a technical term. It will present some of the most widely used models of linguistic politeness in literature. It also tries to gloss the basic tenets of different theoretical approaches, the distinctive features of one theory versus another. There are some concepts of politeness that will become the subject of discussion of this article. These concepts are proposed by Robin Lakoff, Penelope Brown and Steven Levinson Geoffrey Leech.

Keywords: politeness principle, Gricean maxims, Brown Levinson's theory of politeness, Lakoff's pragmatic competence, a face-threatening act, Leech's theory of politeness, a universal Model Person, Leech's central model of PP.

We envisage this model as in principle applicable to most if not all speech acts. In this article, however, we focus only on one speech act type REQUEST. Generally speaking, directives are easy to understand in terms of alignment of Speaker's and Hearer's interests, while requests i.e. directives that involve transfer of goods and services from Hearer to Speaker are particularly amenable to such a

conceptualization, and for this reason they seem a good starting point for the construction of our model.

We adopt Searle's (1975: 71) definitions of requests as speech acts meeting the following felicity conditions:

- (a) Preparatory condition: Hearer is able to perform action A.
- (b) Sincerity condition: Speaker wants Hearer to do A.
- (c) Propositional condition: Speaker predicates a future act A of Hearer.
- (d) Essential condition: the utterance counts as an attempt by Speaker to get Hearer to do A.

Since the focus of our research is on strategic use of different request formats, we opt for a broader definition of the speech act Request than is usually given in literature.

Accordingly, REQUEST is not limited to an individual utterance that Speaker uses to express it (e.g. Searle, 1975; Saeed, 1997) but extends to any previous utterance that is used by Speaker introduce to an utterance in which REQUEST is expressly present as well as to any following utterance in which REQUEST is repeated. In Conversation Analysis, the former are referred to as pre-sequences, in our case pre-requests (Atkinson & Drew, 1979; Levinson, 1983), and they often serve to check if the conditions for performing a request obtain.

- 1) "Could you be so kind and do X, please?"
- 2) "Listen, I need your help. It is really an emergency case. Could you please, please do X?"

3) "How long do we know each other? We have been having a great time together. You are a great person. I would like to collaborate/spend the rest of my life with you. Will you X?"

Table 1: Requests ordered by exemplary utterance length.

B: Yes.

A: Could you lend me a few coins?

A's pre-request serves to check if the preparatory conditions obtains, i.e. whether B is able to perform action A. But on our account, we also classify as parts of requests utterances that do not pertain to the felicity conditions of REQUEST but are strategically used by Speaker to express a request or increase the chances of it being granted by Hearer; these include begging, paying Hearer compliments, showing interest in Hearer or courting Hearer (cf. Brown & Levinson, 1987, for the taxonomy of positive politeness strategies). Many of them represent strategies whereby Speaker to present her request { uses a number of utterances or a number of conversational turns. Based on the assumption about length and politeness, we predict one-utterance requests to be less polite than those that take up a number of utterances, e.g. by involving pre-requests as in (3) (cf. Table 1 for the exemplary Request Length 18). We follow Ostman's (1989) work on the "relation between utterance length and politeness and link the amount of politeness of a request to the number of lexical items that this request is comprised of. Thus, among one-utterance requests, their length and amount of politeness will differ depending whether they employ the minimal structure characteristic of direct commands, such as (1) (cf. Table 1 for the exemplary request

length 2: "Do X!" - consisting of 2 lexical items) or contain exponents of speech act indirectness, such as (2) (cf. Table 1 for the exemplary Request Length 9: "Could you be so kind and do X, please?" - consisting of 9 lexical items).

Importantly, to evaluate the amount of politeness in a request we need to look at the overall utterance length (operationalized as a number of lexical items), which may comprise an individual utterance, as in exemplary Request Length 2 and 9, but also a number of individual utterances, as in the exemplary Length 18.

USED LITERATURES

- 1. Brown, R., Levinson S. Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage. Cambridge [Text] / R. Brown. P. 312-318.
- 2. Касымова, Н. Ф. (2011). Асимметрия при переводе интеррогативов с вопросительным словом what (на материале английского, русского и узбекского языков). Вестник Челябинского государственного университета, (11), 70-73
- Xafizovna , R. N. . (2023). The Study of Context: From Static to Dynamic.
 Miasto Przyszłości, 32, 242–246.
- Ruziyeva Nilufar Xafizovna, & Akhmedova Shahnoza Murodilloyevna.
 (2022). THE STUDY OF CULTURE IN CULTURAL STUDIES. Conferencea, 276–278.
- 5. Nafisa K. Cognition and Communication in the Light of the New Paradigm //EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION. 2021. T. 1. №. 2. C. 214-217.
- 6. Safarova, Z. (2023). TARBIYA ROMANLARINING BADIIY ADABIYOTDAGI GENEZISI VA OʻRNI. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz), 40(40).
- 7. Xafizovna, R. N. (2022). Linguistic Politeness Theory Review: Yueguo Gu, Sachiko Ide, Shoshena Blum Kulka, Bruce Frasher and William Nolen, Hornst Arndt and Richard Janney. Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT, 2(5), 145–152.
- 8. Ruziyeva Nilufar Xafizovna, & Xolova Madina Boboqulovna. (2022). Politeness In Literary Works: An Overview. Eurasian Research Bulletin, 7, 200–206.
- 9. Xafizovna, R. N. (2022). On Linguistic Politeness Theory: Robin Lakoff's Theory of Politeness, Brown and Levinson's Theory of Politeness, Geoffrey Leech's Theory of Politeness. Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture, 3(6), 66-74.



- 10. Ruziyeva N. (2020). FACE CONCEPT IN THE CATEGORY OF POLITENESS. European Journal of Humanities and Educational Advancements, 1(4), 15-20.
- 11. Zokirova N. S. Discursive ontology of (literary) translation. innovative development in the global science, Boston, USA. 2022.
- 12. Zokirova, N. (2023). TARJIMADA LINGVOKOGNITIV DUNYO MANZARASI, LINGVISTIK ONG VA LINGVISTIK ANGLASHNING O"ZARO MUNOSABATLARI. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 29(29).
- 13. Xafizovna, R. N. (2022). Discourse Analysis of Politeness Strategies in Literary Work: Speech Acts and Politeness Strategies. Spanish Journal of Innovation and Integrity, 5, 123-133.
- 14. Ruziyeva Nilufar Xafizovna (2021). The category of politeness in different linguocultural traditions. ACADEMICIA: AN INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH JOURNAL 11 (2), 1667-1675
- 15. Fayziyeva Aziza Anvarovna. (2022). CONCEPTUAL METAPHOR UNIVERSALS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK. JournalNX A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal, 8(04), 54–5

January, 2024