

ISSN: 2582-4686

ResearchBib Impact Factor: 8.848 / 2023

SJIF 2021-3.261, 2022-2.889, 2023-5.384, 2024-6.875

# MULTIDISCIPLINARY

# JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY





























# MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (2582-4686)

# **VOLUME-4, ISSUE-9, SEPTEMBER**

#### **Editor in Chief**

**Dr. Rajeet Ojha** - Interdisciplinary Research in Basic Sciences, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi -110025, India

#### **Editorial Team**

Sunita Sarawagi - Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India.

<u>Eshkaraev Sadridin Chorievich</u> - associate professor of the Department of Analytical Chemistry of Termiz State University, Doctor of Philosophy Chemical Science, Termez, Uzbekistan. <u>ORCID</u> esadir\_74@rambler.ru

<u>Furkat B. Eshkurbonov</u> - Editor of chemical science, Termiz institute of engineering and technology, doctor of sciences in chemistry, Professor of technology of organic substance and materials on their basis. E-mail: <u>furqateshqurbonov@gmail.com</u>

<u>Shakhlo Sh. Yuldasheva</u> - Editor of pedagogical sciences Uzbekistan state University of World Languages Doctor of Philosophy in pedagogics, Professor of Theory and methodology of teaching and bringing up. <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9797-8635">https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9797-8635</a>

E-mail: shahlondpi@gmail.com

**Dr Manjunatha LH** - Professor, REVA University, INDIA

**Zilola I. Salisheva** - Editor of pedagogical sciences Uzbekistan state University of World Languages Doctor of Philosophy in pedagogical science, Docent of Theory and methodology of teaching and bringing up. <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0002-2000-5135">https://orcid.org/0009-0002-2000-5135</a>

E-mail: salisheva\_z@mail.ru

Asish Bera - Edge Hill University, UK, BITS Pilani, India

**Fozilova F. Mokhigul** - Editor of pedagogical sciences Uzbekistan state University of World Languages Doctor of Philosophy in pedagogical science. <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9464-6480">https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9464-6480</a> E-mail: fozilova1204@gmail.com

<u>Dr Sunildro LS Akoijam</u> - Assistant Professor of Management, North Eastern Hill University, India

<u>Rakhmankulov E. Jasur</u> - Editor of technical sciences Termez institute of Engineering and Technology Doctor of Philosophy in technical science. E-mail: jasurer87@gmail.com

Madan Mohan Singh - Professor of Mathematics, North-Eastern Hill University, Shillong, India Khamra D.Abdullaev - Associate Professor of the Department of Uzbek Language and Literature, Candidate of Philological Sciences, Karakalpak State University named after Berdak, Republic of Uzbekistan. E-mail: <a href="mailto:abdullaevxamro7173@gmail.com">abdullaevxamro7173@gmail.com</a>

**Dr. Anupam Singh** - Associate Professor-CSE, Graphic Era Hill University Dehradun, India **Nusratov.N.Anvar** - Editor of pedagogical sciences, Bukhara State University Doctor of Philosophy in pedagogical sciences.

<u>Dr. Sargam Bahl Walia</u> - School of Management, Graphic Era Hill University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

<u>Abdurasulov A.Abdullajon</u> - Editor of pedagogical sciences, Kokand State Pedagogical Institute Doctor of Philosophy in pedagogical sciences.

Narayan Pradhan - Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science

<u>Nomozov K. Abror</u> - Editor of technical science, Termez Institute of engineering and technology Doctor of Philosophy in technical science. e-mail: <u>abrornomozov055@gmail.com</u>

<u>Ashok Kumar</u> - Kumar Associate Professor E&CE National Institute of Technology. Hamirpur, India.

<u>Misirov Kh. Zafar</u> - Editor of technical science Termez Institute of engineering and technology Doctor of Philosophy in technical science. e-mail: <u>zafarmisirov1986@gmail.com</u>

<u>Anjali Pal</u> - Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur - 721302, India.

<u>Karimova</u> <u>Zevara Xadjibayevna</u> - Editor of medical science, Associate Professor of the Department of General Surgery, Pediatric Surgery and Pediatric Urology, Tashkent Medical Academy, Termiz Branch

Rajnish Joshi - Professor of Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhopal, India

<u>Gulnara S. Agzamova</u> - Editor of medical science, Associate Professor of the Department of Hospital Therapy department, Doctor of science in medicine, Tashkent Medical Academy.

Mukul Kumar - IIT Mumbai (India); Meijo University (Japan); HEG Ltd. (India)

<u>Diloram A. Urunbayeva</u> - Editor of medical science, Associate Professor of the Department of Endocrinology, Doctor of philosophy in medicine, Tashkent Medical Academy.

**<u>Prof.Kuruvilla Joseph</u>** - Indian Institute of Space Science and Technology(IIST)

**Yigitaliyev S.Umidjon** - Editor of philological science, Associate Professor of the Department of Uzbek language, Doctor of philosophy in philological science, Kokand State Pedagogical Institute **Divorov G. Khusan** - Editor of technical sciences, Termez Institute of engineering and technology Doctor of Philosophy in technical sciences.

E-mail: husan\_diyorov88@mail.ru

**Prof. Yogesh C. Sharma** - D.Sc., FRSC, FBRS, FIAPS; FISEES, Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology

<u>Hudaykulova Shakhnoza Suvanovna</u> - senior teacher of the Department of Uzbek and Russian languages at the University of World Economy and Diplomacy, doctor of philosophy in philological sciences (PhD), <u>sh.suvanovna@gmail.com</u>, ORCID number: <u>https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7734-9458</u>

**Masharipova Sultanposhsha Matrasulovna** - Editor of philological science, Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of Primary Education Methodology at the Pedagogical Faculty of Urgench State University

**Mavludakhon B. Gofurova** - Editor of philological sciences, Kokan State Pedagogical Institute is a teacher of the Department of English in preschool and primary education, doctor of philosophy in philological sciences (PhD)

<u>Professor Indra Mani Mishra</u> -Indian Institute of Technology (Indian School of Mines), Dhanbad; Formerly at India

<u>Fayziev A.Nozim</u> - Editor of pedagogical science, Doctor of philosophy in pedagogical science, Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages

Dilmurod Ya. Khojiev - Editor of medical science, Doctor of philosophy in medicine.

**Shaymardanova A. Mokhichekhra** - Editor of technical science, Termiz institute of Engineering and Technology, doctor of philosophy in technical science.

E-mail: mokhichekhrashaymardanova@gmail.com

**Iskandarova E. Dilnozakhon** - Editor of medical sciences, Termiz branch of Tashkent Medical Academy, doctor of philosophy in medical science (PhD)

<u>Kadirova A. Dilfuza</u> - Editor of philological sciences, Kokan State Pedagogical Institute, doctor of philosophy in philological science (PhD)

**Rustam Z. Madiev -** Editor of medical science, doctor of philosophy in medicine, Candidate of Medical Sciences, cardiovascular surgeon of the highest category, associate professor, head of the department of "Surgical diseases and surgery in family medicine" of the Termez branch of the Tashkent Medical Academy

<u>Yulchiyeva Marguba</u> - Editor of technical sciences, Doctor of Philosophy in technical science, Termez institute of engineering and technology. E-mail: margubayulchieva86@gmail.com

**Zukhra M. Allamuratova**- Editor of philological sciences, doctor of philosophy in philological science, Karakalpak State University

<u>Muqaddam Abduvaliyeva</u> - Editor of Technical sciences, Termez institute of engineering and technology, doctor of philosophy in Technical science(PhD) E-mail: muqaddamabduvaliyeva@gmail.com

**Kuday bergenov A. Murat -** Editor of philological sciences, Doctor of Philosophy in philological science, Karakalpak State University

**Genjebay M. Abishov** - Editor of philological sciences, Karakalpak State University, associate professor, doctor of philosophy in philological science(PhD)

<u>Chorieva B. Nigora</u> - Editor of Technical sciences, Termez institute of engineering and technology, doctor of philosophy in Technical science(PhD) E-mail: chorievanigora5@gmail.com

**Jenisbay O. Tanirbergenov** - Editor of philological sciences, Karakalpak State University, docent of Karakalpak language, doctor of philosophy in philological science(PhD)

**Gulyamov B. Yorkin** - Editor of medical sciences, Tashkent Medical Academy's Branch of Termez, doctor of philosophy in medicine (PhD), docent of Traumatalogy and Orthopaedy

**Otamurodov. A. Furkat** - Editor of Medical sciences, Termez branch of Tashkent medical academy, doctor of science in Medicine (DSc)

**Nuritov Nurpullo Rajabovich** - Editor of Medical sciences, Termez branch of Tashkent medical academy, doctor of philosophy in Medicine(PhD)

**Rakhman. O. Mukhamadiev** - Editor of Medical sciences, Head of Ophthalmology Department of Surkhandarya Regional Multidisciplinary Medical Center, Professor of Ophthatmology **Azat. A. Yusupov** - Editor of Pedagogical sciences, Karakalpak State University, doctor of

Philosophy in Pedagogical sciences. E-mail: yusupov.azat82@gmail.com

**Daniyarova. J. Zamira** - Editor of Philologigacal sciences, Nukus Innovation Institute, doctor of Philosophy in Philologigacal sciences

**Gulara. A. Yusupova** - Editor of Pedagogical sciences, Nukus State Pedagogical Institute, doctor of Philosophy in Pedagogical sciences, docent of Theory and methodology of teaching and bringing up.

**Shakhista. M. Buranova** - Editor of Pedagogical sciences, Nukus State Pedagogical Institute, doctor of philosophy in Pedagogics

#### **VOLUME-4, ISSUE-9**

#### ЖУЖЕЛИЦЫ (COLEOPTERA, CARABIDAE) НИЖНЕГО ЗАРАФШАНА(UZBEKISTAN)

L.Kh.Alimova,

**Bukhara State University** 

\*e-mail: liz.a@.mail.ru

Abstract. The taxonomic composition and ecological-faunistic characteristics of the fauna of ground beetles in the lower reaches of the Zarafshan River were analyzed. 43 species of ground beetles belonging to 28 genera, 19 tribes and 9 subfamilies were identified. The dominant species are Calathus ambiguus (20.21%), Machozetus lehmanni (17.44%), Harpalus distinguendus (16.23%), Scarites bucida (7.6%) and Machozetus concinnus (5.35%), while the subdominant - Scarites terricola (4.32%) Megacephala euphratica (3.97%), Amara aenea (2.94%), Amara ovata (2.25%) and Harpulus rubripes (2.07%). The composition of the carabid fauna consists of two ecologically sharply different species complexes: xerophiles (inhabiting desert biotopes) and mesohygrophiles (inhabiting agrcenoses). Syntomus obscuroguttatus, Harpalus affinis, and Scarites subcylindricus were noted for the fauna of Uzbekistan for the first time.

**Keywords.** Zarafshan River, ground beetles, taxonomic composition, similarity coefficient, new records.

**Introduction.** The family Carabidae is one of the largest families of beetles. According to some estimates, the number of ground beetle species in the world fauna exceeds 40000 [Thiele, H.U., 1977]. However, the number of described species increases every year, and about 100 new species of these beetles are discovered every year (Kotze et al., 2011).

Along with the fact that ground beetles occupy an important place in the food chain in nature, they can be indicators of biodiversity [Duelli and Obrist, 1998] and changes in the state of biotopes [Niemela, 2000.]. And in agricultural landscapes, they constitute the main group of the herpetobiont complex and are able to maintain the ecological balance, limiting the number of harmful insects and weeds [Moritz et al., 2017; Khalimov et al., 2020; Ali et al., 2021; Serée et al., 2021,].

Information about the fauna of ground beetles in Uzbekistan, in particular the Zarafshan Range, can be found in the works of A. Dadamirzaev (Dadamirzaev, 1978) and F. Khalimov (Khalimov, 2020). In Uzbekistan, ground beetles, as entomophages of crop pests, have been studied in more detail in cotton agrocenoses (Dadamirzaev, 1978, Bekmetova, 1991) and vegetable crops (Adashkevich and Shukuraliev, 1990, Halimov, 2020).

Although, some information about the beetles of the Bukhara and Karakul oases, located in the lower reaches of the Zarafshan River, is available in the works of R.A. Alimjonov and S.G. Bronshtein (1956), A.G. Davletshina et al. (1979), A. Dadamirzaev (1978), special studies on the study of the carabid fauna of this region were not carried out.

**Material and methods.** The studies were carried out in the period 2020-2022. in the territories of the Bukhara and Karakul oases and adjacent territories. The beetles were collected using soil traps of the Barber–Heydemann type (Barber, 1931; Heydemann, 1955), light traps, an exhauster, and manual collection. As traps, we used glass jars (Karpova, 1992) with a capacity of 0.5 L and a hole diameter of 72 mm (the jars were buried in the soil so that the edges were at the level of the soil) placed

#### **VOLUME-4, ISSUE-9**

in a line of 10 traps at a distance of 5 m from each other. Some of the traps were without fixative, and some were with fixing liquid. A 4% formalin solution was used as a fixative, which was used to fill soil traps by 1/3–1/2 of the volume. Traps were sampled once every 10–12 days. The collected beetles were identified by species, counted and made a collection. To study the species composition of ground beetles, desert biocenoses and agrocenoses (wheat, corn, cotton, potatoes, and alfalfa) were taken.

The degree of dominance was determined according to the Renkonen scale (Renkonen, 1944), where more than 5% are dominant species, from 2% to 5% are subdominant species, 1–2% are few, and less than 1% are rare species.

Statistical calculations of biodiversity were carried out according to the guidance of E.A.Dunaev (1997):

Margalef index - DMg = (S-1) / lnN, where S is the number of identified species, N is the total number of individuals of all identified species, ln is the natural logarithm;

Shannon's index - H'= - $\Sigma$ pilnpi, where pi is the proportion of individuals of the i - the species,  $\Sigma$ -sum, ln-natural logarithm;

Mönchnik index: DMn = S /  $\sqrt{N}$ , where S is the total number of identified species, N is the total number of individuals of all species;

Shannon alignment: E = H' / lnS, where H' is the Shannon index, S is the number of species;

Simpson index:  $D(S\lambda) = \Sigma (ni (ni-1)) / N(N-1)$ , where n is the number of individuals of the i-the species, N is the total number of individuals,  $\Sigma$  is the sum of the indicators for all species;

Berger-Parker index: d = Nmax / N, where Nmax is the number of individuals of the most abundant species, N is the total number of individuals of all species of the sample;

Chekanovsky-Sørensen coefficient: CN = 2jN / (aN + bN), where aN + bN is the total number of individuals in sites A and B, jN is the smallest of the two abundance of species found in both sites;

Jacquard coefficient: Cj = j / (a + b-j), where j is the number of common species in both territories (sites, samples), and b is the number of species in each territory (sites, samples).

The compiled collection of ground beetles is stored in the Entomological Collection of Samarkand University.

**Results and discussion.** During the research, 43 species of ground beetles were identified, belonging to 28 genera, 19 tribes and 9 subfamilies (Table 1).

Table 1

Taxonomic composition of ground beetles of the Lower Zarafshan of Uzbekistan

Subfamily	Tribe	Species	Desert	Agroce-	Share
		-	biotopes	noses	of
					species,
					%
Cicindelinae	Megacephalini	Megacephala euphratica	+	-	3.97
	Cicindelini	Cicindela turkestanica	+	+	0.17
		Cylindera sublacerata	+	-	0.35
Omophroninae	Omophronini	Omophron limbatum	+	-	0.17
		Omophron rotundatum	+	+	0.17

# VOLUME-4, ISSUE-9

Carabinae	<u>Carabini</u>	Calosoma auropunctatum	-	+	0.35
Brachininae	Brachinini	Brachinus explodens	+	-	0.17
Scaritinae	Scaritini	Scarites terricola	+	+	4.32
		Scarites bucida	+	-	7.60
		Scarites subcylindricus	-	+	1.04
		Scarites procerus	+	_	0.17
	Dyschiriini	Dyschirius cylindricus	+	-	1.55
Melaeninae	Cymbionotini	Cymbionotum semelederi	+	-	0.17
Broscinae	Broscini	Broscus asiaticus	-	+	0.86
Trechinae	Bembidiini	Bembidion almum	-	+	0.52
		Bembidion luridicorne	-	+	0.17
		Bembidion quadrimaculatum	-	+	1.04
		Elaphropus sp.	-	+	0.17
	Tachyini	Tachys centriustatus	+	_	0.17
		Tachus sp.		+	0.17
***	Trechini	Trechus quadristriatus	+	-	0.17
	Pogonini	Sirdenus grayii	-	-	0.17
Harpalinae	Chlaeniini	Chlaenius festivus	+	+	0.52
		Chlaenius inderiensis	-	+	0.17
	Harpalini	Acupalpus flaviceps	+	-	1.90
		Harpalus affinis	-	+	1.04
		Harpalus distinguendus	-	+	16.23
		Harpalus griseus	-	+	0.69
		Harpulus rubripes	-	+	2.07
		<u>Harpalus rufipes</u>	-	+	1.55
		Loxoncus procerus	+	-	0.17
		Liochirus cycloderus	+	-	0.52
		Machozetus lehmanni	+	-	17.44

		<b>VOLUME-4, ISSUE-9</b>			
		Machozetus concinnus	+	-	5.35
	Lebiini	Cymindis andreae	+	-	0.86
		Syntomus obscuroguttatus	+	-	0.35
		Singilis flavipes	+	-	0.35
		Lebia festiva	+	-	0.17
	Pterostichini	Poecilus cupreus	<u>-</u>	+	0.86
	Sphodrini	Calathus ambiguus	_	+	20.21
	Zabrini	Amara aenea	-	+	2.94
		Amara ovata	-	+	2.25
		Amara similata	<u>-</u>	+	0.69
9	19	43	23	23	100.0

The number of species is clearly dominated by the subfamily Harpalinae, which includes 21 species and 49% of the total species diversity (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. Share of subfamilies by number of species

The subfamily Trechinae accounts for 19% of the species (8 species). Among the dominant subfamilies are also Scaritinae and Cicindelinae, constituting 12% and 8% of the carabid fauna, respectively. The subfamilies Brachininae, Broscinae, Carabinae, and Melaeninae are the only species in the beetle fauna of the region.

However, if you look at the abundance of individuals, the picture changes slightly. Thus, in terms of the abundance of individuals, the share of the subfamily Harpalinae is still increasing and amounts to 82% of all collected beetles (Fig. 2). On the contrary, the share of the subfamilies Trechinae, Cicindelinae and Omophroninae is significantly reduced. Only Scaritinae retains its position, although some changes are also observed. In general, according to the abundance of individuals, the share of 5 out of 9 subfamilies is less than 1%.

#### **VOLUME-4, ISSUE-9**




Fig. 2. Proportion of subfamilies according to the abundance of individuals (not indicated by proportion figures if they are less than 1%).

If we analyze the fauna of ground beetles by tribes, then the tribe Harpalini has the largest number of representatives - 23.26% (10 species). The following places are occupied by Scaritini, Bembidiini and Lebiini (each 9.3% (4 species) (Fig. 3).




Fig.3. Proportion of tribes in the fauna of ground beetles by the number of species and by the abundance of individuals.

And here the proportion of different tribes in terms of the number of species and the abundance of individuals do not coincide. Thus, in terms of the abundance of individuals, the proportion of the tribe Harpalini increases sharply and amounts to 42.24% of all collected beetles. This is due to the abundance of specimens of the dominant species of this tribe - *Harpalus distinguendus* and *Machozetus lehmanni*. The tribe Sphodrini has a single representative (*Calathus ambiguus*) and makes up 2.33% of the species diversity of the ground beetle fauna. However, due to the high abundance of this species, the tribe Sphodrini accounts for 27.92% of all collected beetles. *Calathus ambiguus* is the most numerous species in agrocenoses and biotopes adjacent to agrocenoses.

#### **VOLUME-4, ISSUE-9**

In the biocenoses of the lower Zarafshan, the dominant species of ground beetles are *Calathus ambiguus* (the degree of dominance is 20.21%), *Machozetus lehmanni* (17.44%), *Harpalus distinguendus* (16.23%), *Scarites bucida* (7.6%) and *Machozetus concinnus* (5.35%), and subdominant - *Scarites terricola* (4.32%) *Megacephala euphratica* (3.97%), *Amara aenea* (2.94%), *Amara ovata* (2.25%) and *Harpulus rubripes* (2.07%).

The territory of the Lower Zarafshan geographical district includes a variety of landscapes, however, the biotopes of this region can be conditionally divided into two biotopes that differ sharply in hydrothermal characteristics: desert and artificial (agrocenoses) associated with the economic activity of the population. Of course, desert biocenoses are also used to some extent by the population for grazing sheep and cattle. But the ecological conditions of these biotopes differ significantly from the conditions of agricultural lands. Based on this, we conducted a comparative analysis of the beetle fauna of these biotopes contrasting in terms of conditions.

In desert biotopes, where sands, sandy soils and salt marshes predominate, a peculiar fauna of ground beetles is formed. It is dominated by species adapted to desert conditions of the genera Megacephala, Scarites, Machozetus and Dyschirius. *Megacephala euphratica*, the only representative of the genus Megacephala in the Palearctic, is widespread on solonchaks. And in the sand dunes, the most numerous species of the genus Scarites. Although many species of this genus are distributed in the tropical zone, more than 10 species are found in Uzbekistan (Kryzhanovsky, 1953). On the desert biotopes of the study area, 4 species of this genus were identified, where the most numerous is the endemic of Central Asia - *Scarites bucida*. If *Scarites terricola* was found both in desert biocenoses and in agrocenoses, then *Scarites subcylindricus* was found only in agrocenoses. Over the years of research, only one specimen of *Scarites procerus eurytus* was caught. However, this species is widespread in the mountainous and foothill regions of Uzbekistan (Khalimov, 2020).

The genus Machozetus is an endemic genus of Central Asia, which includes only two species - *Machozetus lehmanni* and *Machozetus concinnus*. In addition to Uzbekistan, *Machozetus lehmanni* is also recorded in Afghanistan, Iran, Tajikistan and Turkmenistan, and *Machozetus concinnus* in Tajikistan and Turkmenistan. In our studies, both species are dominant species in desert biocenoses.

In general, 23 species of ground beetles from 18 genera were found in the desert biocenoses of the Lower Zarafshan (Table 1).

The dominant species in desert biocenoses are *Machozetus lehmanni* (37.41%), *Scarites bucida* (16.3%), *Machozetus concinnus* (11.48%), *Scarites terricola* (9.26%), *Megacephala euphratica* (8.52%). These five species make up 82.97% of all identified beetles. *Acupalpus flaviceps* (4.07%) and *Dyschirius cylindricus* (3.33%) are noted as subdominant species.

The small number of the species *Cicindela turkestanica*, as well as the distribution of *Chlaenius festivus* in desert biocenoses, is somewhat unexpected. The first species is widespread throughout the territory of Uzbekistan, and *Chlaenius festivus*, like all species of the genus Chlaenius, is adapted in hygrophilous habitats near water bodies. Apparently, individuals of this species flew into the world from neighboring agrocenoses.

Zoophages predominate in the trophic structure of the beetle fauna of desert biocenoses (17 species, 77.3%). Mixophytophages include 3 species (13.6%), and phytophages are represented by 2 species (9.1%).

In agrocenoses, 23 species of ground beetles from 13 genera were identified. The most representative are the genera Harpalus (five species, 21.8%), Bembidion and Amara (three species, 13.0% each).

#### **VOLUME-4, ISSUE-9**

The species composition of ground beetles in agrocenoses differs significantly from that in desert biocenoses. The genus Harpalus, both in terms of the number of species and the number of individuals, dominates in agrocenoses, while no species of this genus have been recorded in desert biocenoses. The same situation is observed for the genera Bembidion and Amara.

In general, in agrocenoses, the dominant species are *Calathus ambiguus* (39.8%), *Harpalus distinguendus* (21.77%) and *Amara aenea* (5.78%), and the subdominant species are *Scarites terricola* (4.76%), *Amara ovata* (4.42%), *Harpulus rubripes* (4.08%), *Harpalus rufipes* (3.06%), *Harpalus affinis* (2.04%), *Bembidion quadrimaculatum* (2.04%), *Scarites subcylindricus* (2, 04%).

In agrocenoses, the proportion of subdominant and small number species is somewhat higher compared to desert biocenoses. The trophic structure of ground beetles in agrocenoses consists of zoophages (65.2%) and mixophytophages (34.8%).

A comparative analysis of the ecological diversity of the ground beetle fauna of these biotopes is shown in Table 4.

Comparative analysis of the ecological diversity of the fauna of ground beetles of these biotopes is shown in Table 2.

Table 2.

Comparative analysis of the fauna of ground beetles of agrocenoses and desert biocenoses of the Lower Zarafshan

Indices of ecological diversity	Agrocenoses	Desert biocenoses	
Number of species	23	22	
The number of species common to both biocenoses	4		
Species richness	indices:		
Margalef Index	3,87	3,75	
Shannon Index	2,09	2,06	
Menhinick Index:	1,43	1,34	
Evenness inc	lex:		
Shannon alignment	0,67	0,86	
Measures of dom	ainance:		
Simpson Index	0,216	0,196	
Berger Parker Index	0,4	0,37	
Similarity fac	tors:		
Jaccard coefficient	0,098		
Chekanovsky-Sørensen coefficient	0,078		

#### **VOLUME-4, ISSUE-9**

As the data obtained show, the indicators of species richness, evenness, and dominance measures for the two types of biocenoses are quite close. The existing slight difference in evenness indices and dominance measures is explained by a smaller number of dominant species in agrocenoses, as well as their high degree of dominance.

However, it should be noted that the similarity coefficients of these biocenoses have a very low value (Jaccard coefficient-0.098, Chekanovsky-Sorensen coefficient-0.078). This shows that the beetle fauna of the compared biotopes is completely different, since the values of the coefficients are close to zero. Only 4 species of ground beetles (Cicindela turkestanica, Omophron rotundatum, Scarites terricola and Chlaenius festivus) were recorded in both biotopes.

**Conclusion.** Thus, the fauna of the ground beetles of the Lower Zarafshan includes 43 species belonging to 28 genera, 19 tribes, and 9 subfamilies. The composition of the carabid fauna consists of two ecologically sharply different species complexes: xerophiles (inhabiting desert biotopes) and mesohygrophiles (inhabiting agreenoses). Of the identified species, Syntomus obscuroguttatus, Harpalus affinis and Scarites subcylindricus were first noted for the fauna of Uzbekistan.

#### References

- 1. Adashkevich B.P., Shukuraliyev B.T. Vrediteli kapusty i ikh yentomofagi v Uzbekistane [Cabbage pests and their entomophages in Uzbekistan]. Biologicheskij metod borby s vreditelyami ovoshchnyh kultur [Biological method of pest control of vegetable crops]: Agropromizdat, Moskva, 1990. pp. 106–122. [in Russian]
- 2. Ali K.A., Willenborg C.J. The biology of seed discrimination and its role in shaping the foraging ecology of carabids: A review. Ecol Evol. 2021. 11(20): 13702-13722.
- 3. Alimdjanov, R. A., & Bronshteyn, T. G. Bespozvonochnye jivotnye Zarafshanskoj doliny [Invertebrate animals of the Zarafshan valley]. Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the UzSSR. 1956. Samarkand (in Russian).
- 4. Barber, H. S. Traps for cave-inhabiting insects. Journal of the Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society, 1931. 46(2), 259–266.
- Bekmetova, Z. K. Rol' hischnykh zhuzhelits (Coleoptera, Carabidae) v hlopkovo-5. liutsernovykh sevooboratakh severo-vostochnykh rajonov khlopkosejanija of carnivorous (Coleoptera, [Role ground beetles Carabidae) in cotton-alfalfa crop rotations of north-eastern growing VIZR, cotton regions]. Leningrad, 1991. (in Russian).
- 6. Dadamirzaev, A. K faune i ekologii zhuzhelits (Coleoptera, Carabidae) Tashkentskoj oblasti [To the fauna and ecology of ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) of the Tashkent region]. In: Ecology of vertebrates and invertebrates in Uzbekistan. 1978. Fan, Tashkent (in Russian).
- 7. Davletshina A.G., Avanesova G.A., Mansurov A.K. Entomofauna Yugo-Zapadnogo Kyzylkuma [Entomofauna of South-Western Kyzylkum]. Tashkent, "Fan" UzSSR, 1979, p. 128. (in Russian).
- 8. Duelli P. and Obrist M.K. In search of the best correlates for local organismal biodiversity in cultivated areas. Biodiversity and Conservation, 1998. 7: 297–309.
- 9. Dunaev, E. A. Metody ekologo-entomologicheskikh issledovanij [Methods of ecological and entomological research]. MosgorSYUN, Moskow 1997. (in Russian).
- 10. Halimov F. Seasonal dynamics of dominant species of soil predators (Coleoptera: Carabidae, Staphylinidae) in agrolandscapes and their potential gluttony. Travaux du Muséum National

#### **VOLUME-4, ISSUE-9**

d'Histoire Naturelle "Grigore Antipa", 2020. 63(2): 175–187. https://doi.org/10.3897/travaux.63.e54116

- 11. Heydemann, B. Carabiden der Kulturfelder als ekologische Indikatoren. In: Berber die 7 Wander Sammlung Deutscher Entomologen. 1955. Berlin. Pp. 172–185.
- 12. Karpova, V. E. Effektivnost otlova zhuzhelits (Coleoptera, Carabidae) lovushkami Barbera raznogo tipa [The efficiency of trapping ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) by various types of Barber traps]. Biological Sciences, 1992. 5, 84–88 (in Russian).
- 13. Khalimov, F. The ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) of the Karatepa and Chakilkalyan mountains (west part of Zarafshan Mountains Range, Uzbekistan). Biosystems Diversity, 2020. 28(3), 265–271. doi:10.15421/012035
- 14. Khalimov F., Abdullayev E., Zokirova D. Izuchenie potencial'noj prozhorlivosti pochvennyh hishchnikov (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae, Carabidae) v laboratornyh usloviyah [Study of potential gluttony of soil predators (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae, Carabidae) in laboratory conditions]. Vestnik NUUz, 2020. 3/1, p. 139-144.
- 15. Kotze, J., Brandmayr, P., Casale, A., Dauffy-Richard, E., Dekoninck, W., Koivula, M., Lovei, B., Mossakowski, D., Noordijk, J., Paarmann, W., Pizzoloto, R., Saska, P., Schwerk, A., Serrano, J., Szyszko, J., Palomares, A., Turin, H., Venn, S., Vermeulen, R., & Zetto Brandmayr, T. Forty years of carabid beetle research in Europe from taxonomy, biology, ecology and population studies to bioindication, habitat assessment and conservation. Zookeys, 2011. 100, 55–148.
- 16. Moritz S. Fusser, Sonja C. Pfister, Martin H. Entling, Jens Schirmel. Effects of field margin type and landscape composition on predatory carabids and slugs in wheat fields. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. 2017. 247:182–188.
- 17. Niemela J. Biodiversity monitoring for decision-making. Annales Zoologici Fennici. 2000. 37: 307–317.
- 18. Renkonen O. Die Carabiden und Staphylinidenbestände eines Seeufers in SW-Finnland // Annales entomologici Fennici. 1944. Bd.9. No.10. S.23–31.
- 19. Serée L., Gardarin A., Crouzet O., Barbottin A., Valantin-Morison A., Chiron F. Exploring multitrophic interactions in oilseed rape fields reveals the prevailing role of Carabidae. Ecology and Evolution, 2021. 11:15377–15388.
- 20. Thiele, H.U., Carabid Beetles in Their Environments: A Study on Habitat Selection by Adaptation in Physiology and Behavior. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1977. pp. 1–369.
- 21. Alimova L. Бухоро ва Қоракўл оазиси визилдок кўнғизларининг (Coleoptera, Carabidae) таксономик таркиби //ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz). 2023. Т. 38. №. 38.
- 22. Khalimov F. Z., Alimova L. K., Zokirova D. F. Taxonomic and Ecological Analysis of the Fauna of Ground Beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) of the Lower Zarafshan (Uzbekistan) //Bulletin of Pure & Applied Sciences-Zoology.  $-2023. N_{\odot}$ . 1.
- 23. Alimova L. K. et al. Diversity and features of the fauna of herpetobiont beetles (Carabidae, Tenebrionidae, Elateridae, Scarabaeidae) of the Lower Zeravshan, Uzbekistan //Biosystems Diversity. -2024. -T. 32. -N1. -C. 73-82.
- 24. Гафарова С. М., Гуламов М. И. Современная физико-географическая и экологическая характеристика города Бухары //Universum: химия и биология. -2021. -№. 12-1 (90). С. 29-33.
- 25. Гафарова С. М., Алимова Л. Х. Биологические и экологические свойства марены красильной (Rubia tinctorum) //Вопросы науки и образования. -2018. №. 10 (22). C. 23-25.
- 26. Гафарова С. М., Алимова Л. Х. Биологические и экологические свойства марены красильной (Rubia tinctorum) //Вопросы науки и образования. 2018. №. 10 (22). С. 23-25.
- 27. Khalimov F. The ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) of the Karatepa and Chakilkalyan mountains (west part of Zarafshan Mountains Range, Uzbekistan) //Biosystems Diversity. -2020. -T. 28. -N<sub>2</sub>. 3. -C. 265-271.

#### OUTLINE VOLUME-4, ISSUE-9, SEPTEMBER

1	Using Convolutional Neural Networks to Analyze and Detect Key Points of	5-15
	Objects in Image. Dmytro Gurin , Vladyslav Yevsieiev , Svitlana Maksymova	
	, Ahmad Alkhalaileh	
2	MODERN APPROACHES OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT IN	<b>16-21</b>
	TOURISM. Adilova Zulfiya Djavdatovna, Mansurov Jakhongir	
	Ravshanovich	
3	PROSPECTS OF TOURISM DEVELOPMENT IN THE REPUBLIC OF	22-29
	KARAKALPAKSTAN. Adilova Zulfiya Djavdatovna, Kusekeev Bayram	
	Kallibekovich	
4	ECONOMETRIC MODELING OF THE VOLUME OF SERVICES	30-37
	PROVIDED IN TASHKENT REGION. Urunov Ravchanbek Sadullayevich	
5	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF BANKING SYSTEM PROBLEMS. Urunov	38-46
	Ravchanbek Sadullayevich	
6	Improving the practice of ensuring the solvency of commercial banks	47-50
	To'rayeva Zilola	
7	"The Impact of Financial Policies on Gender-Responsive Budgeting"	51-55
	Rahmanov Mexridin Sindarovich	
8	"Statistical analysis and forecasting of business activity in the regions of the	56-62
	Republic of Uzbekistan". Rahmanov Mexridin Sindarovich	
9	DANCE AND TECHNOLOGY: CHOREOGRAPHY IN THE DIGITAL	63-66
	AGE. DOULOV ULUGBEK HAYITBAYEVICH	
10	O'ZBEK MILLIY O'YINLARINING BOLA PSIXIK TARBIYASIGA	<b>67-70</b>
	TA'SIRI. Ergasheva Malohat Yo'ldosh qizi	
11	Использование искусственного интеллекта в преподавании физики на	71-73
	основе экспериментов. Г.Б. Низаматдинова, Б.Р. Жоллыбеков, М.	
	Шарибаев	
12	The role of strategic CSR in hotels on sustainable tourism and achievement of	<b>74-78</b>
	SDG goals. Indira Ermekbaeva Pulatbek qizi	
13	INCLUSIVE EDUCATION AND DIVERSITY IN THE CLASSROOM	<b>79-81</b>
	Xudoyberdiyeva Odina Marubjon qizi	
14	Analysis of Studies on the Mechanical Deformation of Textile Materials:	82-85
	Enhancing Durability and Improving Recovery Properties. Jakhongir	
	Soloxiddinov ', Husanhon Bobojanov , Shokirjon Abdulazizov	
15	Методы повышения коррозионной стойкости. Патхидинова Умида	86-94
	Собитжоновна	
16	Human Operator Identification in a Collaborative Robot Workspace within	95-105
	the Industry 5.0 Concept	
	Vladyslav Yevsieiev , Amer Abu-Jassar , Svitlana Maksymova , Dmytro Gurin	
17	THE METHODOLOGY OF CREATING A REFLECTIVE VIDEO TRAINING	106-111
	ON THE SCIENCE OF "MOTHER LANGUAGE TEACHING	
	METHODOLOGY'' IN HIGHER EDUCATION. Qorayev Abduvafo	
	Fazliddinovich	

		440 :
18	Research of Existing Methods of Representing a Collaborative Robot-	112-120
	Manipulator Environment within the Framework of Cyber-Physical	
	Production Systems	
	Vladyslav Yevsieiev, Ahmad Alkhalaileh , Svitlana Maksymova , Dmytro	
	Gurin	
19	МОДИФИКАТОРЫ ДЛЯ ЧУГУНА И ТИПЫ МОДИФИКАТОРОВ	121-123
	Тожибоев Мухаммаджон Мухитдин ўғли	
20	ИНТЕГРАЦИЯ ВИРТУАЛЬНЫХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ И	124-129
	ДИДАКТИЧЕСКИХ СРЕДСТВ В БИОЛОГИЧЕСКОЕ ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ:	
	РАЗВИТИЕ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКИХ КОМПЕТЕНЦИЙ СТУДЕНТОВ	
	МЕДИЦИНСКИХ ВУЗОВ	
	Шигакова Люция Анваровна	
21	MAKTAB OʻQUVCHILARIDA KASBIY LAYOQATNI	130-133
	SHAKLLANISHNING PSIXOLOGIK XUSUSIYATLARI	
	Ibragimova Zilola	
22	TECHNOLOGICAL QUALITY INDICATORS OF GRAIN OF THE	134-138
	VARIETIES STUDIED AT THE COMPETITIVE VARIETY TEST	104 100
	NURSERY. Kh.H.Mardanov, O.U.Abdunazarov	
23	METHODOLOGY FOR IMPROVING TEACHING OF THE SUBJECTS	139-145
25	OF "INFORMATION AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES" ON THE	137-143
	BASE OF SOFTWARE TOOLS. Nazarov Bahodir Abdusamatovich	
24	Innovatsion ta'lim texnologiyalari va ularning bo'lajak ingliz tili	146-149
4	o'qituvchilarining tadqiqotchilik kompetensiyalariga ta'siri	140-147
	Nabixonova Masturaxon Ibrohimxon qizi	
25	<u>-                                      </u>	150-155
25		150-155
	КОМПЛЕКС ДАВОЛАШДА МУЛЬТИВИТАМИНЛАРНИ КИРИТИШ	
26	Пардаева М. Б. Шокирова Ф.А	156 150
26	Совершенствовании профессиональных компетенций при применении	150-159
	информационно-коммуникативных технологий в образовании	
2=	Абдуллаева Н.А.	100 10
27	Tashkent traditional crafts: historical and specific territorial features	160-167
	Davlatova Saodat Tilovberdievna	
28	БИОЭКОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ СВОЙСТВА И ЗНАЧЕНИЕ ПАВЛОВНИИ	168-171
	(PAULOWNIA) В УСЛОВИЯХ ГОРОДА БУХАРЫ.	
	Гафарова Саида Мухамеджоновна	
29	НАРОДНЫЕ ПОДВИЖНЫЕ ИГРЫ В ВОСПИТАНИИ ЗДОРОВОГО	172-175
	ПОКОЛЕНИЯ. Жабборов Бахтиёр	
30	жужелицы (COLEOPTERA, CARABIDAE) НИЖНЕГО	176-184
	ЗАРАФШАНА(UZBEKISTAN). L.Kh.Alimova	
	OUTLINE	