Volume: 12, November-2022

http://sjii.indexedresearch.org

## Linguostylistic Parametrs of English and Uzbek Phraseology

### N. F. Qosimova, Ph.D

Associate professor, Bukhara State University, Translation Studies and Linguodidactics Department

#### Abdurakhimova Dinora Fakhriddinovna

Bukhara State University, 2nd year master student in Comparative linguistics, linguistic translation studies

Annotation: Linguostylistic parameters of English and Uzbek phraseology will be discussed in this article. The choice of phraseology for research is explained not only by their widespread use in everyday life, but also by the fact that they are expressive, figurative, and vividly reflect the peculiarities of the spiritual and material life of the people. The article pays special attention to the emergence of toponymic component phraseologies on the basis of extra linguistic factors. It examines the national and cultural features of phraseology, their role in enhancing the methodological color of the work of art and the theoretical aspects of the translation of these units, as well as the views of linguists on the linguistic and cultural nature of phraseology.

**Keywords:** phraseological units, cultures and traditions, linguistic factors.

#### Introduction

In comparison with other branches of linguistics with many centuries of development phraseology can be considered a young child though rather intelligent and shrewd. Its domain is constituted by picturesque and vivid elements termed phraseological units (PUs), which are characterized by a certain transference of meaning. The term "phraseology" was introduced by a prominent Swiss scholar of French origin Charles Bally at the beginning of the twentieth century. The first to raise the question of phraseology as a linguistic subject was Professor Ye. D. Polivanov, a well-known Russian scientist (Polivanov1931). Academician V. V. Vinogradov was the first to work out the classification of Russian phraseological units, which gave rise to extensive investigation of phraseology in other languages (Vinogradov 1974). Since that time much has been done in the field of phraseology. The sole scholarly society for the furtherance of research in phraseology, the European Society Phraseology "Europhras" was founded at the end of the twentieth century to coordinate the investigations of scientists from different countries and even continents. Each year international conferences are held which are devoted to the problems of phraseology. These are organized by members of "Europhras" in different European countries.

#### Materials and methods

One of the most popular linguists V.V.Vinogradov divided phraseological units into 3 main classes in his scientific works.

- 1. Phraseological confusions
- 2. Phraseological compounds
- 3. Phraseological associations

### ISSN: 2792-8268

# Innovation and INTEGRITY

Volume: 12, November-2022

http://sjii.indexedresearch.org

The first group of phraseologies is the units in which the meanings of the components are not related to the meanings of the whole units. For instance,

Heavy father-главный роль в театре

Red tape- бюрократия

Phraseological confusion is a completely different meaning of a phrase. However, unlike phraseological compounds, their meanings are not understood from the meanings of the constituent parts. Based on metaphor, the meaning is clear and unambiguous. The lexical components of phraseological compounds are the most stable expressions. For instance,

- > to look a gift horse in the mouth (to examine a person too critically. To find fault with smth one gained without effort);
- > to ride the hide horse (to behave in a superior, haughtily, over bearing way);

Due to the complexity and multifaceted nature of the phraseological material, in recent years it has been studied using a variety of methods and techniques. Its typical representatives are the leading scientists Charles Balli and A.V. Kunin.

The study of phraseology, the study of this field, led to its formation as an independent linguistic field. He enriched the science of linguistics theoretically and practically. However, it should not be concluded that there are no unexplored problems in the field of phraseology. The term phraseology also served to express different meanings in Turkic studies.

Mirza Karimbek, a 19th-century Turkic scholar, followed the traditions of the time and used the word "phrase" in the meaning of "sentence" in his work, as in other figurative grammars written in Russian. When he says phraseological compound, he means large linguistic units from words.

Azerbaijan linguists B.Chponzoda and F.Ogazoda, in their work "Grammar of the Turkish language", thinking about the sections of linguistics, along with the terms "Semasiology", "Stylistics" were widely used in linguistics at that time. Used the word "idiocy" against the term "idiomatism." Phraseology is one of the youngest branches of Turkic studies, as its systematic study, the study of semantic and grammatical features, functional features of phraseological units began in the 40s and 50s of the twentieth century. Given that phraseological units are functionally close to words, some linguists have considered them to be lexical words, combinations, or lexical units, and have included them in the study of syntax or construction.

As in Russian linguistics, the field of phraseology in Turkic studies continues to be understood in a narrow and broad sense. Phraseologisms in the broadest sense include all fixed combinations (proverbs, sayings, and idiomatic combinations), their no idiomatic fixed phraseological groups, and pairs of words. Their common denominator is stability and language readiness.

#### **Results and discussions**

The comparative characteristic of phraseological units also has a quantitative aspect - the number of equivalents in a particular phraseological unit, their comparative use. Aspect correlation of phraseological units, i.e. the correlation of their component composition and grammatical organization, for English and languages, has only an indirect, structural and semantic character, since for unrelated languages, the direct material identity of lexical components and grammatical structures is not typical. The functional-semantic correlation of phraseological units of different languages means, ideally, the identity of a lot of composition and additional connotations in the aggregate content of the compared phraseological units.

## ISSN: 2792-8268

# Innovation and INTEGRITY

Volume: 12, November-2022

http://sjii.indexedresearch.org

The combination of aspect and functional-semantic identity gives full interlingual phraseological equivalents. For example: "heart of stone . tosh yurak. If only an abstract figurative model unites phraseological units in the languages under consideration, then their aggregate functional-semantic correlation loses its character, since according to such an abstract model, a number of phraseological units with a similar meaning can be formed. When only the abstract figurative model coincides, the functional-semantic correlation of phraseological units is usually incomplete. Interlanguage aspect correlation of phraseological units and their functional-semantic correlation are not directly dependent on each other. Their relationship is subject to the general provision on the asymmetry of the signifying and signified linguistic sign.

Differences in the aggregate phraseological meaning with the aspect identity of the compared phraseological units of the English and Uzbek languages may be the result of multidirectional rethinking. Another reason may be the appearance of additional semantic shades against the background of an identical common meaning. For example: positively colored English phraseological unit keep one's chin up (do not hang your nose, keep a stiff upper lip) can be translated into Uzbek to turn up your nose, which carries a negative connotation (to assume importance, to behave arrogantly). The presence or absence of structural and semantic equivalents in the compared languages can be predicted by some characteristics of the phraseological units of the source language themselves. These characteristics relate to the component composition, syntactic structure, semantic and formal mechanism-phraseological and cumulative stylistic properties of phraseological units.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, the task of the translator is to understand the meaning of the source text and express the same meaning (more precisely, the system of values) by means of a different language. In this case, an interlanguage transformation occurs, i.e., the replacement of one sign system with another, which leads to inevitable semantic losses. The translator must keep them to a minimum, i.e. ensure a greater degree of equivalence between the source text and the translation text, which is impossible without performing various translation transformations.

#### References

- 1. N. F., K. (2021). COGNITIVE APPROACH TO TEACHING TRANSLATION. Interdisciplinary Conference of Young Scholars in Social Sciences, 42-44.
- 2. Islomov, D. S. (2022). On phonetics, phonostylistics and phonetic means. Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT, 2(9), 9–12. Retrieved from https://literature.academicjournal.io/index.php/literature/article/view/462
- 3. Izomovich, Rasulov Zubaydullo, and Ubaydullayeva Dilafruz Fazliddinovna. "INFLUENCE OF ORTHOGRAPHY IN TEACHING ENGLISH AND SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION." Thematics Journal of Applied Sciences 6.1 (2022).
- 4. Anvarovna, Fayziyeva Aziza. "On Features of Discourse and Its Representation in Self-Help Literature." Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT 2, no. 5 (2022): 164-169
- 5. Туйбоева, Ш. (2022). Простая конструкция предложения в узбекской и французской системной лингвистике. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 8(8). извлечено от https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals\_buxdu/article/view/5813.
- 6. Rabiyeva, M. G. (2022). Dysphemism or Euphemism?. Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture, 3(6), 61-65. Retrieved from https://cajlpc.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJLPC/article/view/380
- 7. Narzullayeva, Firuza. "BOSH SO'ZI SOMATIZMLARINING INGLIZ VA O'ZBEK TILLARIDA QO'LLANISHI." ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz) 8.8 (2021

### ISSN: 2792-8268

## Innovation and INTEGRITY

Volume: 12, November-2022

http://sjii.indexedresearch.org

- 8. Nasriddinovna, S. Z. ional Study of Syntactical Relations o pound Sentences in Uzbek Linguistics.
- 9. Shakirov, R. (2021). ЭТИМОЛОГИШЕСКИЕ ДУБЛЕТЫ В СИСТЕМЕ ФРАНЧУЗСКИХ ГЛАГОЛОВ И ИХ ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕ. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 1(1). извлечено от https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals\_buxdu/article/view/2344
- 10. Ruzieva, N. (2021). роль категорий модальности. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 6(2). извлечено от https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals\_buxdu/article/view/1385
- 11. Ruzieva, N. (2021). категория вежливости. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 6(2). извлечено от https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals\_buxdu/article/view/1382
- 12. Babayev, M. (2021). ИЗУЧЕНИЕ ЛОШАДЕЙ С НЕМЕЦКИМ СУФФИКСОМ В ПРОЦЕССЕ ОБУЧЕНИЯ. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 6(6). извлечено от http://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals\_buxdu/article/view/3576
- 13. Haydarova, N. (2022). ПРАГМАТИЧЕСКИЙ ПОДХОД К ОБЩЕНИЮ ВРАЧ-ПАЦИЕНТ. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 8(8). извлечено от http://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals\_buxdu/article/view/5795
- 14. Otabekovna, S. M. (2022). Comparative Analysis of Proverbs and Sayings in English and Uzbek Expressing Youth and Senility. *Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT*, 2(5), 114-119.
- 17. Irgasheva, F. (2022). Представление лингвокультур в переводе и их структурных компонентов (на примере немецкого и узбекского языков). ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 8(8). извлечено от https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals\_buxdu/article/view/4070
- 16. Chorikulovna, M. Y. (2022). Syntactic and semantic analysis of word combinations in the English and Uzbek languages. *Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT*, 2(5), 199-206.
- 17. Kizi, M.M.I. 2021. Numbers and similarities in their use in English and Uzbek Folklore. Middle European Scientific Bulletin. 12, (May 2021), 175-177.
- 18. Djalolov, F. (2021). МАКТАБ ТАЪЛИМИДА ПАСТ ЎЗЛАШТИРИШ САБАБЛАРИ ВА ТЎЛИҚ ЎЗЛАШТИРИШ ТУРЛАРИ. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 1(1). извлечено от https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals\_buxdu/article/view/2872
- 19. Safoyeva, S. (2021). ЛИНГВИСТИКА ОСОБЕННОСТИ ПУБЛИЧНОГО СТИЛЯ. *ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz)*, *5*(5).
- 20. Turayeva, F. (2022). ВАРИАНТЫ ПЕРЕВОДА ЭКОНОМИШЕСКИХ ТЕРМИНОВ С НЕМЕЧКОГО НА УЗБЕКСКИЙ ЯЗЫК. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 10(10). извлечено от https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals\_buxdu/article/view/6212
- 21. Khaydarova, L., & Joanna, I. (2022). TEACHING ENGLISH GRAMMAR THROUGH INTERACTIVE METHODS. *INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENT IN THE GLOBAL SCIENCE*, *1*(3), 174-178.
- 22. Radjabov, R. (2022). ЛЕКСИКА ВИНОГРАДАРСТВА НА ФРАНЦУЗСКОМ И УЗБЕКСКОМ ЯЗЫКАХ ЛИНГВОКУЛЬТУРОЛОГИЧЕСКИЙ АНАЛИЗ И НАУЧНЫЕ ВЗГЛЯДЫ УЧЕНЫХ. ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu.Uz), 8(8). извлечено от https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals\_buxdu/article/view/6033