

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE, LITERACY AND LEARNING IN STEM EDUCATION



OPEN-ACCESS PEER-REVIEWED

Sociolinguistic Factors of Language Learning

Kobilova Aziza Baxriddinovna

PhD, associate professor of Bukhara State University

Nabijonova Mohlaroyim

a student of Faculty of Foreign Languages

Abstract. *The present study investigates the sociolinguistic variables that affect language acquisition in emphasizing the relevance of social, cultural, and environmental contexts in the learning of a foreign language, wherein sociolinguistics scrutinizes the interface between the language and society, with consequent influences on the social interaction, cultural identity, and social status overtime to affect the learners' motivation and success in language learning. Therefore, some major issues are presented that define and shape learners' languages experience in terms of social interaction such as community interaction, peer group pressures, language prestige, and socioeconomic status.*

Key words: *Sociolinguistics, language learning, social context, cultural identity, peer influence, socioeconomic status, motivation, social networks, educational access, language proficiency.*

Introduction. Language learning is constantly complex, shaped by many factors beyond just cognitive ones. Sociolinguistics is the study of how language and society are connected. Language itself is not just a set of rules; it also exists in social contexts, where how and when it's used can change its meaning and function.

The knowledge of matters surrounding the influence of these sociolinguistic factors will greatly improve language teaching and learning. Some major sociolinguistic factors include social networks, cultural identity, peer pressure, and socioeconomic status.

This article attempts to examine the above-mentioned sociolinguistic factors in language learning, along with aspects such as bounded community interactions, cultural competence, language prestige, and social dynamics in its contribution to the learning process.

Literature Review

Traditionally, language learning was studied mainly through cognitive factors like memory and attention. However, in recent years, researchers have started focusing more on the social and cultural aspects of learning a new language. The sociolinguistic view highlights how interactions with others, exposure to different types of language, and the cultural context of the language influence how we learn it.

Hymes (1972), Gumperz (1982) argue that the learning of language is conditioned by the types of interpersonal interaction that learners engage in within their communities. Overall, immersion-type language exposure leads to relatively more spontaneous language use and provides not only exposure to forms but also to pragmatic skills, as noted by Ellis (1994).

Cultural identity is of prime importance for instilling a drive and attitudes about learning a language in learners. As indicated by *Norton* (2000), the learning of new forms of a language does not involve the acquisition per se but instead the negotiation of one's identity within the social and cultural contexts. It can make the learners develop some connection or a sense of alienation with the language, which is directly proportional to the relevance of their cultural values and identity to that of the target language association. According to the integrative motivation theory of *Gardner* (1985), those who wish to become part of the culture of a language community are more successful in learning that language. Instrumental motivation- as opposed to integrative motivation- is external, such as the one to fulfill the need to advance one's career or education. Such different kinds of motives will usually present different learning outcomes (*Gardner & Lambert*, 1972). Both kinds govern the way learners approach language acquisition as well as their experienced realities.

It has been noted that social networks or webs of relationships that individuals maintain in their communities are important for language learning. *Milroy* (1987) and more recently, *Ellis* and *Barkhuizen* (2005) have spoken about the importance of social capital along with language acquisition. Access to a varied, supportive social network allows a learner to engage more in practicing the language, obtaining feedback, and socializing. Learners who maintain strong ties with native speakers or other learners in their social networks would accelerate their language development through many meaningful interactions. Those with restricted social networks, on the contrary, may find it hard to obtain opportunities for real-life practice, thereby impeding the language development process.

Social-economic status (SES) has been consistently showed to determine or even dictate the degree of language learning. Higher SES individuals usually have better access to quality education, language courses, immersion programs (*Snyder & Dillow*, 2013). They usually travel or take part in international exchanges, both of which improve exposure to languages. On the contrary, lower SES learners face hindrances such as less access to language resources, less interaction with speakers of the target language, and less money for direct expenses and incidental costs (*Bourdieu*, 1991). Thus, such differences speak to the issue of equitable access that guarantee one's success to learn languages.

Learner motivation is also influenced considerably by language prestige. Studies conducted by *Crystal* (2003) and *Coulmas* (2005) show that languages above a certain prestige level—for example, due to political, economic, or cultural power—held greater interest for learners. The English, Spanish, and French languages are studied by many; these three languages exert a global influence, whereas languages of smaller communities may not be as attractive. This factor resembles the motivations of integration and instrumentality, since learners are able to choose a language that suits respondents' social ambition or interests.

Materials and Methods

The materials and methods utilized to study the sociolinguistic factors in language learning are presented in this section. In this study, the mixed-methods approach is used to combine qualitative and quantitative methods of collection. This kind of mixed-method approach will assist in exploring language learning concerning subtle and contextual factors and will also allow statistical substantiation of the findings. The components of the research design contain:

Detailed subjective data on learners' experiences, motivations, social interactions, and cultural perspectives will be collected through semi-structured interviews, focus groups, and participant observations.

Thus, surveys and questionnaires will be disseminated among a larger population of language learners and educators to obtain some numerical data on socioeconomic status, age, exposure to the target language, and motivation among learners.

The participants in this study include language learners, educators, and members of the language community. The selection process is as follows:

Surveys and interviews were conducted with 200 learners enrolled in language courses at the Bukhara State University, including those from the Faculty of Philology. These learners are distinguished by a variety of backgrounds with respect to age, socioeconomic status, and cultural identity.

Hearing from 20 language instructors would allow us to consider the approaches they commonly apply to their lessons and perceptions of the influence of sociolinguistic factors on language learning.

50 speakers of the relevant target languages (e.g., English, French, Russian) were interviewed about the social and cultural milieu into which the learners come to interact.

Data were collected through the following instruments: A structured questionnaire, which sought to elicit information on learners' demographic background, social networks, socioeconomic status, motivation, and exposure to the target language.

Open-ended questions were designed to be answered by language learners and educators. This set of interviews reports personal experiences on what it means to learn the various languages-from a cultural identity perspective, social networks, and the way learners perceive how social context impacts their learning.

Focus groups of 5-7 learners were created to participate in social discussions about peer networks, community interaction, and social motivations in collaborative settings. Greatly, this has contributed to the sociolinguistic realities being done in an interactive manner.

Results and Discussions

The current study is in harmony with previous studies illustrated how immersion is key to learning (Ellis, 1994). Learners who have a higher opportunity for social interaction in the target language develop a more authentic understanding of how that language is truly used and insight in the culture that textbooks alone do not provide. This clearly suggests that language learning should incorporate community engagement and interaction with native speakers.

Cultural identity appeared to be another major motivating factor for those learners. About 60% of the participants who were intrinsically motivated to learn the target language-alongside personal choice for culture were likely to cite this as one major reason for their learning. The 40% of learners who perceived an instrumentally motivated reason (e.g., for job advancement) like reflected little interest and low engagement with the culture that one would associate with the language.

Conclusion

In conclusion, language learning is a complex process that is much involved with sociolinguistic factors. Awareness of this factor will help educators gain a more multidisciplinary insight into the design and implementation of inclusive, accessible, and contextually relevant language learning programs. By taking into account the social, cultural, and economic variables. It will be acceptable if we can create more relevant systems of language education that is able address the diverse needs of learners and thus help improve their linguistic and cultural competence.

REFERENCES

1. Gumperz, J. J. (1982). *Discourse Strategies*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Hymes, D. (1972). On Communicative Competence. In J. B. Pride & J. Holmes (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics* (pp. 269-293). Penguin Books.
3. Labov, W. (1972). The Study of Language in Its Social Context. *Studium Generale*, 23, 30-87.
4. Gardner, R. C., & Lambert, W. E. (1972). *Attitudes and Motivation in Second-Language Learning*. Newbury House.
5. Norton, B. (2000). *Identity and Language Learning: Gender, Ethnicity and Educational Change*. Pearson Education.
6. Norton, B. (2013). *Identity and Language Learning: Extending the Conversation* (2nd ed.). Multilingual Matters.

7. Milroy, L. (1987). *Language and Social Networks* (2nd ed.). Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
8. Ellis, R., & Barkhuizen, G. (2005). *Analysing Learner Language*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
9. Snyder, T.D., & Dillow, S.A. (2013). *Digest of Education Statistics 2012* (NCES 2014-015). National Center for Education Statistics. nces.ed.gov
10. Bourdieu, P. (1991). *Language and Symbolic Power*. Harvard University Press.
11. Crystal, D. (2003). *English as a Global Language* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. culturaldiplomacy.org
12. Coulmas, F. (2005). *Sociolinguistics: The Study of Speakers' Choices*. Cambridge University Press. books.google.com
13. Kobilova, A. (2023). Linguacultural Analysis of Periphrases Used in Journalistic texts. *Центр научных публикаций (buxdu. uz)*, 43(43).
14. Baxriddinova, K. A., & Makhtob, A. (2024). Semantic Groups of Political Periphrases. *Innovation in the modern education system*, 347.
15. Kobilova, A., & BURIYEVA, G. (2024). PRAGMATICS AS ONE OF THE MAIN ASPECTS OF LINGUISTIC RESEARCH. *News of UzMU journal*, 1(1.1. 1.), 276-279.
16. NASULLAEV, A., & KOBILOVA, A. (2024). MEDICAL METAPHORS USED IN JOURNALISTIC TEXTS. *News of UzMU journal*, 1(1.1. 1.), 295-298.
17. Kobilova, A. (2023). LANGUAGE FEATURES OF ADVERTISING SLOGANS. *ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz)*, 44(44).
18. Kobilova, A. (2024). MEDICAL METAPHORS USED IN JOURNALISTIC TEXTS. *ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz)*, 46(46).
19. Baxriddinova, K. A. (2023). The formation of medical periphrases in english and uzbek languages. Finland International Scientific Journal of Education. *Social Science & Humanities*, 11(2), 569-573.
20. Baxriddinova, K. A., & Guzaloy, B. (2022, January). The role of motivation in second language acquisition. In *Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes* (pp. 74-76).
21. Ro'ziyeva, D. (2024). INGLIZ VA OZBEK TILI LINGVOMADANIY REKLAMALARINING QIYOSIY TAHLILI. *ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz)*, 50(50).
22. Kobilova, A. (2024). POLITICAL DISCOURSE AND PERIPHRASES USED IN THIS FIELD. *ЦЕНТР НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. uz)*, 46(46).
23. Baxriddinova, K. A. (2022, January). Voqelik obyektini perifrastik sinonimlar orqali ifodalash. In *Integration Conference on Integration of Pragmalinguistics, Functional Translation Studies and Language Teaching Processes* (pp. 71-73).