

**O‘ZBEKISTON RESPUBLIKASI FANLAR AKADEMIYASI
MINTAQAVIY BO‘LIMI
XORAZM MA‘MUN AKADEMIYASI**

XORAZM MA‘MUN AKADEMIYASI AXBOROTNOMASI

Axborotnoma OAK Rayosatining 2016-yil 29-dekabrdagi 223/4-son qarori bilan biologiya, qishloq xo‘jaligi, tarix, iqtisodiyot, filologiya va arxitektura fanlari bo‘yicha doktorlik dissertatsiyalari asosiy ilmiy natijalarini chop etish tavsiya etilgan ilmiy nashrlar ro‘yxatiga kiritilgan

**2025-2/4
Xorazm Ma‘mun akademiyasi axborotnomasi
2006 yildan boshlab chop qilinadi**

Xiva-2025

| | |
|--|-----|
| Nasirdinova O.D. Ortirma nisbat shakllarining lingvistik ilmiy matnlarda qo'llanish darajasi | 219 |
| Nazimova H. Xalq o'g'zaki ijodida "dev" va "yalmog'iz" obrazlarining genezisiga doir nazariy qarashlar talqini | 223 |
| Niyozova Sh.T. The place of contrastive linguistics in the paradigm of linguistic sciences | 226 |
| Nizomova T.J. Sud diskursi tadqiqi va uning lingvistik xususiyatlari | 230 |
| Otaqulova S.F. Faxriya genezesi va nazariy asoslari | 232 |
| O'ngboyev R.M. "Yusufbek" dostonidagi antroponimlarning lug'aviy-ma'noviy xususiyatlari | 235 |
| Qilichova E.X. Fizikaga oid terminlarning leksik-semantik xususiyatlari: ko'p ma'nolilik, sinonimiya va leksik o'zgarishlar misolida | 239 |
| Qobilova N.S., Fayzullayeva N.S. Til evolyutsiyasi va tejamlilik tamoyili | 242 |
| Qobilova N.S., Fayzullayeva N.S. Poeziyada fonetik tejamlilikning ifodalanishi | 245 |
| Qodirova G.M. Umumta'lim maktablarida jadid she'riyatining o'qitilishi | 249 |
| Qosimjonova F. Iboralarning grammatik tabiatini aniqlashda kognitiv lingvistika va qiyosiy lingvistikaning ahamiyati | 252 |
| Qo'ldasheva Sh.A. Ko'pmadaniyatlilik sharoitida tilda intellektuallashuv va demokratlashuv tendentsiyalari | 256 |
| Qurbonaliyeva M.S. To'fon haqida afsonalar tahlili | 258 |
| Radjabova D.R. Badiiy tarjimaning funksional pragmatik xususiyatlari | 262 |
| Raximova U.S., Turamuratova S.B. Ingliz va o'zbek tillarida jargon so'zlarning sotsiolingvistik xususiyatlari | 265 |
| Ruzimbaeva N.T. The language of forensic science: precision and clarity in legal documentation | 268 |
| Sabirova N.E. Xorazm baxshichilik san'ati: an'anaviylik va ijrochilik | 271 |
| Safarova Z.Q. Shaxs ma'naviyatini ifodalovchi lingvokulturologik birliklarning talqini | 275 |
| Saidov M.O. Rus, o'zbek va ingliz maqollarida paremiologiyaning semantik birligi | 277 |
| Saidova M.U., Shamsiyeva S.R. Nasriy asar namunalarini yaratishda badiiy tasvir vositalaridan samarali foydalanish | 279 |
| Saidova Z.Kh. Importance of understanding key features of psychological aspects of language | 283 |
| Salimova S. Xitoy tilidagi harbiy terminlarni standartlashtirish masalalari | 286 |
| Satimboyeva O.A. Tilshunoslar tadqiqotlarida interferensiya nazariyasi, fonetik interferensiya | 289 |
| Shamsiyeva M.B. Tabiat elementlari tavsiflangan peyzaj matnlarining lingvokognitiv tahlili | 292 |
| Sharipova S.A. Zamonaviy tilshunoslikda neologizmlarning xususiyatlari va funksiyalarini kompleks o'rganish | 295 |
| Shodikulova A.Z. Antonim va giponim vositasida shakllanadigan metonimik kogeziya | 298 |
| Shokirov Sh.I. Ingliz va o'zbek tillarida ko'rish sezgisini ifodalovchi frazeologik birliklarning simmetrik va asimmetrik xususiyati | 301 |
| Soatova Yu. Bobur lirik asarlarida gender xususiyatlarni ko'rsata oluvchi obrazlarning komponent tahlili | 303 |
| Soyipov S. "Boburnoma" asari tilida qo'llanilgan ayrim forscha sifat so'z turkumiga oid so'zlarning leksik-semantik hamda grammatik tahlili | 307 |
| Subxonova N.M. Realism and personal development in defoe's robinson crusoe: the socio-spiritual role of diligence and self-cultivation | 310 |
| Suleymanova N.M., Ravshanova R.F., Soleyeva S.S. Jamiyatda so'zning ahamiyati | 313 |
| Suyunova D.R. Shoyim Bo'tayev qissalarida badiiy konflikt | 315 |
| Tursunov M.M., Azimova Z.O'. Fentezi janriga oid asarlarning o'ziga xos xususiyatlari | 318 |
| Tursunov M.M., Turayeva R.R. Analysis of linguo-cultural features of some english and uzbek phraseological units | 321 |

13. Steinbeck, J. (1962). The Nobel Prize in Literature 1962. Retrieved March 29, 2019, from: <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/literature/1962/steinbeck/25229-john-steinbeck-banquet-speech-1962>
14. Steinbeck, J. (1994). Of mice and men. London: Penguin Books.
15. Steinbeck, J. (2002). East of Eden. New York: Viking Press.
16. Steinbeck, J., & DeMott, R. (2000). The grapes of wrath. London: Penguin.
17. The University of Michigan. (2017). Homeric metaphor. Retrieved May 28, 2018.
18. Dixit, R. (1977) 'Patterns of Deviation in Selected Poems of E. E. Cummings.' Unpublished M.A. dissertation. Lancaster University.
19. Leech, G. N. (1969) A Linguistic Guide to English Poetry. London: Longman.
20. Short, M. (2000) 'Graphological Deviation, Style Variation and Point of View in Marabou Stork Nightmares by Irvine Welsh.' Journal of Literary Studies/Tydskrif vir Literatuur Wetenskap 15 (3/4): 305 - 323.

UDC 372.016:811.111*40+373

IMPORTANCE OF UNDERSTANDING KEY FEATURES OF PSYCHOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF LANGUAGE

Z.Kh. Saidova, teacher, PhD, Bukhara state university, Bukhara

Annotatsiya. Insonning tili odatda inson aqli qanday ishlashini, dunyoga qanday qarashini va boshqa odamlar bilan qanday munosabatda bo'lishini tushunish vositasi sifatida qaraladi. Ko'pgina tilshunoslar, psixologlar va faylasuflar bu hodisalar haqida uzoq vaqtdan beri qizg'in bahs-munozaralar olib borishgan. Ushbu maqola til va fikr o'rtasidagi yanada rivojlangan munosabatlarni tushuntirishga qaratilgan bo'lib, unda tilning imkoniyatlari shuningdek, bunday imkoniyatlarning chegaralarini ham taklif qiladi.

Kalit so'zlar: psixologik jihatlar, o'z-o'zini anglash, umumiy kontekst, keng atama, ramzlar, fikrlarni yetkazish.

Аннотация. Язык человека обычно рассматривается как средство, с помощью которого можно понять, как работает человеческий разум, как он смотрит на мир и как взаимодействует с другими людьми. Многие лингвисты, психологи и философы уже давно ведут жаркие споры по поводу этого феномена. В этой статье предпринята попытка объяснить более сложную взаимосвязь между языком и мышлением, в которой, по мнению автора, язык действительно говорит человеку о том, что он способен делать с помощью своего разума, но также указывает на пределы таких возможностей.

Ключевые слова: психологические аспекты, самоактуализация, общий контекст, широкий термин, символы и паттерны, передача идей.

Abstract. One's language is usually seen as a means through which one can understand how the human mind works, how he views the world, and how he interacts with other people. Many linguists, psychologists, and philosophers have long had heated debates on this phenomena. This article seeks to explain the more advanced relationship between language and thought where the author believes a language does tell one what one is capable of doing with one's mind, but also suggests the limits of such capabilities.

Key words: psychological aspects, self-actualization, general context, a broad term, symbols and patterns, communicating ideas.

One of the most compelling arguments in the study of language and psychology is the relationship between language and thought. The Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis posits that the language we speak influences the way we think and perceive the world. This concept suggests that our linguistic framework shapes our understanding of reality. For example, different languages have distinct ways of categorizing time, space, and color, which can lead to variations in cognitive processing among speakers of those languages.

Research has shown that bilingual individuals often experience a shift in perspective depending on the language they are using. This phenomenon illustrates that language is not merely a tool for communication; it actively shapes cognitive processes. Thus, understanding this relationship can enhance our appreciation of linguistic diversity and the cognitive flexibility it can foster.

One may say language is the codes or symbols and patterns used for communicating ideas. This includes spoken, written, and signed modes of communication. Thinking, in a general context, is a broad term that includes concepts like reasoning, decision making, cognitive ability, etc. The fusion of these two concepts is very intriguing.

The Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis. One such theory dealing with the correlation between language and thought processes is from the early 20th century and can be addressed as Sapir Whorf Hypothesis. Edward Sapir and Benjamin Lee Whorf, two linguists, proposed it. The hypothesis states that the speakers of different languages tend to view the world differently and even think differently because of their culture. In simpler terms, the use of a particular language impacts the thought process of the people speaking it. People speaking languages that have many words for snow like certain Inuit languages tend to see and categorize snow differently from those speaking languages that have one term for snow. This idea of linguistic relativity proposes that language has an ability to shape the way we think.

Cognitive growth, especially in youngsters, demonstrates the connection between language and thought. According to cognitive researcher Jean Piaget, infants initially generate concepts before learning language to convey those thoughts. This suggests that language develops as a function of cognitive growth. Vygotsky argued, however, that language is an essential instrument for thought and plays a significant role in cognitive development.

According to Vygotsky's theory, children absorb and organize their concepts through language use and social interaction. This suggests that language is an essential part of the cognitive process itself and not just a mirror of cognition. The reciprocal relationship between language and thought is demonstrated by the way that the capacity to express ideas through language improves reasoning and problem-solving abilities.

Intercultural Viewpoints. Cross-cultural research sheds further light on the relationship between language and cognition. Languages from different civilizations emphasize particular ideas and ideals. For instance, languages that prioritize individualism may promote independence and self-actualization, whereas languages that prioritize collectivism may promote a sense of community and interdependence among its speakers. According to research, bilingual people's mental processes can vary based on the language they are utilizing. For example, because of the cultural meanings and subtleties that are ingrained in both languages, a Spanish speaker may approach a situation differently when thinking in English as opposed to Spanish.

The principle of verbalization is among the strongest justifications for the notion that language shapes cognition. People frequently clarify and improve their ideas when they express them verbally. This technique, called "thinking aloud," exemplifies how language functions as a cognitive tool that helps people arrange their ideas and do more intricate reasoning. Abstract thought requires language. Engaging in higher-order thinking and conceptualizing complicated ideas are made possible by the use of metaphors, similes, and other figurative language. The absence of language would severely restrict our ability to think abstractly.

Language serves more than one purpose. It is both a medium of communication and an intricate psychological gesture which shows reasoning, emotion, and culture. This paper will look into the psychological components of language including the use of language in cultivating concepts, its effects on the individual self, and how it affects the language user's social behavior. It will also look into the how the use of language affects the society.

Language and Ideas. Among the most exciting topics of interest in language and psychology is the interdependence of language and thought. The Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis suggests that the form of language we use determines the way we think, and how we view things within the context of our world. This idea explains that the linguistic structure within a society dictates how members of that society perceive the world. For instance, the speakers of a language may process differently because that language has unique parameters in the classification of time, space, and color.

According to research, depending on the language they are using, bilingual people frequently experience a change in viewpoint. This event demonstrates how language actively impacts cognitive

processes and is not only a tool for communication. Our appreciation of linguistic diversity and the cognitive flexibility it can promote can therefore be improved by comprehending this relationship.

Identity and Language. One of the most important aspects of culture and personal identity is language. It is a sign of belonging to a group and can affect how people see themselves and are seen by others. Dialects or special language traits, for example, can be used to indicate community membership. Slang, regional accents, and even business jargon are examples of the ways in which language and identity are intertwined.

Furthermore, language can have a significant psychological impact on identity. When speaking their original tongue, people may feel proud, but if they are under pressure to adopt a dominant language, they may also feel marginalized. This dynamic emphasizes the value of linguistic variety and the necessity of inclusive language usage that honors personal identities.

Social Dynamics and Language. Social dynamics and interactions are significantly shaped by language as well. Communication style has an impact on dispute resolution, group cohesiveness, and power dynamics. For instance, whereas exclusionary language can cause feelings of alienation, inclusive language can help people feel more connected to one another.

Furthermore, persuasive communication is an example of how language's psychological components are evident. A message's effectiveness can be greatly impacted by the language, tone, and style used. Gaining an understanding of the psychological underpinnings of language can improve our capacity for moral and successful communication in a variety of settings, including politics and education.

In summary, there are many facets and complexities to the relationship between language and mind. Although language is a reflection of our mental processes, it also has a significant impact on how we think and how we think. The complex relationship between these two concepts is highlighted by the Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis, theories of cognitive development, cross-cultural research, and the use of language as a tool for cognition. It becomes more and more evident as we investigate this link that language is an essential component of our thoughts, perceptions, and understandings of the world, not just a tool for communication. Comprehending this relationship can enhance our understanding of language and the human mind, opening the door for additional study and investigation in the domains of cognitive science, psychology, and linguistics.

Language's psychological components are complex and intricately linked to our identities, social connections, and cognitive processes. We may learn a great deal about the human condition by examining the characteristics of language from a psychological perspective. This knowledge not only deepens our appreciation of language diversity but also gives us the means to communicate more intelligently and sympathetically. We may promote a more accepting and compassionate culture that recognizes the power of words as we continue to investigate the complex relationship between language and psychology.

REFERENCES:

1. David W. Carroll. Psychology of Language. Cengage Learning Press. Fifth edition. 2007. P-512.
2. Dörnyei, Z. The Psychology of Second Language Acquisition. Oxford University Press. 2009. P - 356.
3. Rebecca L. Oxford. Teaching and researching language learning strategies. 2013. P - 371.
4. Claire Kramsch. Language and Culture. 2014. P - 71.
5. Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. How Languages Are Learned. Oxford University Press. 2013. P-256.
6. Khudoyberdievna, S. Z. (2021). English phraseology and its integration with terminology. *Academia: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(2), 1618-1622. <https://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:aca&volume=11&issue=2&article=265>.
7. Khudoyberdievna, S. Z. (2022). Modern Methods of Translating Phraseological Units. *Eurasian Research Bulletin*, 4, 153-158.
8. Khudoyberdievna, S. Z. (2022). Modern Methods of Translating Phraseological Units. *Eurasian Research Bulletin*, 4, 153-158.
9. Saidova, Z. (2021). Advantages and disadvantages of modular object-oriented dynamic learning environment (moodle) in the system of education. *Центр научных публикаций (buxdu. uz)*, 8(8).