



# BUXORO DAVLAT UNIVERSITETI ILMIY AXBOROTI

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS OF  
BUKHARA STATE UNIVERSITY

НАУЧНЫЙ ВЕСТНИК БУХАРСКОГО  
ГОСУДАРСТВЕННОГО УНИВЕРСИТЕТА



4/2021



E-ISSN 2181-1466



ISSN 2181-6875



@buxdu\_uz



@buxdu1



@buxdu1



[www.buxdu.uz](http://www.buxdu.uz)

**PUBLISHED SINCE 2000**  
(Online since 2020)

**PUBLISHED SIX TIMES A YEAR**

**2021/4(86)**

**CHAIRMAN OF THE EDITORIAL BOARD:**

**Khamidov O.Kh.**

Doctor of Economics, Professor

**EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:**

**Kakhkhorov O.S.**

Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economics,  
Associate Professor

**INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL BOARD:**

**Kuzmichev N.D. (Russia)**

Doctor of Physics and Mathematics, Professor

**Danova M. (Bulgaria)**

Doctor of Philology, Professor

**Margianti SE.(Indonesia)**

Doctor of Economics, Professor

**Wünsch Th. (Germany)**

History of E.Europe Dr. of phil. habil, Professor

**Minin V.V. (Russia)**

Doctor of Chemical Sciences

**Tashkaraev R.A. (Kazakhstan)**

Doctor of Technical Sciences

**Muminov M.E. (Malaysia)**

Candidate of Physics and Mathematics

**Srivastava P.K. (India)**

American and English Literature PhD in English

**NATIONAL EDITORIAL BOARD:**

**Adizov B.R.**

Doctor of Pedagogical sciences, Professor  
(Deputy Editor-in-Chief)

**Abuzalova M.K.**

Doctor of Philological sciences, Professor

**Amonov M.R.**

Doctor of Technical sciences, Professor

**Barotov Sh.R.**

Doctor of Psychological sciences, Professor

**Bakoyeva M.K.**

Doctor of Philological sciences

**Djurayev D.R.**

Doctor of Physics and Mathematics, Professor

**Durdiyev D.K.**

Doctor of Physics and Mathematics, Professor

**Olimov Sh.Sh.**

Doctor of Pedagogical sciences, Professor

**Kakhkhorov S.K.**

Doctor of Pedagogical sciences, Professor

**Umarov B.B.**

Doctor of Chemical sciences, Professor

**Urayeva D.S.**

Doctor of Philological sciences, Professor

**DEPUTY EDITORS-IN-CHIEF:**

**Navruz-zoda B.N.**

Doctor of Economics, Professor

**Turayev H.H.**

Doctor of Historical sciences, Professor

**SCIENTIFIC REPORTS OF  
BUKHARA STATE  
UNIVERSITY**

\*\*\*

**BUXORO DAVLAT  
UNIVERSITETI ILMIY  
AXBOROTI**

\*\*\*

**НАУЧНЫЙ ВЕСТНИК  
БУХАРСКОГО  
ГОСУДАРСТВЕННОГО  
УНИВЕРСИТЕТА**

*The journal is published in the Bukhara  
Regional Department of Press and  
Information of the Press and Information  
Agency of Uzbekistan on August 24, 2020  
With registered certificate № 1103*

*The journal "Scientific reports of Bukhara  
state university" is included in the list of  
scientific publications recommended to  
publish the main scientific results of  
doctoral dissertations of the Higher  
Attestation Commission under the  
Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of  
Uzbekistan on philology and physical and  
mathematical sciences.*

*The journal is intended for professors  
and teachers of higher educational  
institutions, senior researchers, students,  
scientific staff of scientific research  
institutions, teachers of academic  
lyceums, professional colleges, as well as  
researchers in general secondary  
education and various fields.*

**Founder: BUKHARA STATE  
UNIVERSITY**

**Executive secretary:**  
Rasulova S.S.

**Editors:** Rasulova S.S.  
Sobirova Z.R.

**Department technician:**  
Dilova G.B.

## CONTENTS

<b>EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES</b>		
Odamov U.O., Kamilov M.M., Niyazov Sh.K., Kihyun Song	Research of the efficiency of the solar battery operations in real exploitation conditions.....	2
Nadirova Z.P.	Intuition and synergetics in the world of mathematics...	18
Husenov B.	Generalization of the hardy class for $A(z)$ -analytic functions.....	29
<b>LINGUISTICS</b>		
Mirzaeva M.	The study of German language phraseological units....	47
Khamidova M.	Problems of translation of French and Uzbek deopoetonyms.....	57
Otaqulov N.B.	Subcolloquial mesurative phraseological units in the culture of the peoples of the world.....	67
Bai Jie	A contrastive study of war metaphor in Russian and Chinese news headlines of new media in the context of conceptual metaphors.....	76
Kakhkhorova G.Sh.	The skills of Uzbek writers using particles in English translations.....	87
<b>LITERARY CRITICISM</b>		
Mizrabova J.	Problems of classification and translation of pun.....	97
Abdullayeva N.I.	Real life description in characterising students' personage and students' period in the world literature....	108
Wang XiaoYu	Mythical thinking and rational spirit - an interpretation of Lu Xun's "Old tales retold".....	118
<b>"NAVOIY GULSHANI"</b>		
Akhmedova Sh.N.	Genre of literary portrait in the works of Alisher Navai	127
<b>PHILOSOPHY, LAW AND POLITICAL SCIENCES</b>		
Sadibakosev Kh.	Application and implementation of modern approaches and views in international relations of the republic of Uzbekistan.....	131
Begbutayeva F.	Persons with disabilities in the life of a society: a historical-political analysis.....	141
<b>ECONOMICS</b>		
Binoy Joy Kattadiyil, Islamov B.A.	Cross-border group insolvency, a study of Nortel Case.....	150
Navruz-zoda Sh.	Innovative organizational forms of youthtourism development in post pandemic conditions.....	162

**GENERALIZATION OF THE HARDY CLASS FOR  
 $A(z)$ -ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS**

**Husenov Behzod**

*basic doctoral (PhD) student, BSU*

[husenovbehzod@mail.ru](mailto:husenovbehzod@mail.ru)

**Abstract:**

**Introduction.** Quoting from a well-known American mathematician Lipman Bers [1] "It would be tempting to rewrite history and to claim that quasiconformal transformations have been discovered in connection with gas-dynamical problems. As a matter of fact, however, the concept of quasiconformality was arrived at by Grotzsch [2] and Ahlfors [3] from the point of view of function theory". The present work is devoted to the theory of analytic solutions of the Beltrami equation

$$f_z(z) = A(z)f_{\bar{z}}(z), \quad (1)$$

which directly related to the quasi-conformal mappings. The function  $A(z)$  is, in general, assumed to be measurable with  $|A(z)| \leq C < 1$  almost everywhere in the domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  under consideration. Solutions of equation (1) are often referred to as  $A(z)$ -analytic functions in the literature.

**Research methods.** The solutions of equation (1), as well as quasi-conformal homeomorphisms in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  have been studied in sufficient details. The purpose of this paper is to study  $A(z)$ -analytic functions in a particular case, when the function  $A(z)$  is anti-holomorphic in a considered domain [19]. As we can see below, in this special case the solution of (1) possesses many properties of analytic functions, has an integral in the norm is a function of the Hardy class and this class is generalized.

**Results and discussions.** The aim of this paper is to investigate  $A(z)$ -analytic functions in special case when the function  $A(z)$  is an anti-analytic function in a domain. Also, in paper introduces some classes for  $A(z)$ -analytic functions. Nevanlinna's theorem for  $A(z)$ -analytic functions is proved and its results are given.

Examples of functions belonging to these classes in different cases are given. The theorems of Riesz and Smirnov for  $A(z)$ -analytic functions are proved.

**Conclusion.** The theory of boundary properties made considerable advances in the first third of the 20th century, owing to the work of several scientists; it resumed its rapid advance in the second half of that century, accompanied by the appearance of new ideas and methods, novel directions and objects of study. Its development is closely connected with various fields of mathematical analysis and mathematics in general, first and foremost with probability theory, the theory of harmonic functions, the theory of conformal mapping, boundary value problems of analytic function

theory. The theory of boundary properties of analytic functions is closely connected with various fields of application of mathematics by way of boundary value problems. The theory of boundary properties of analytical functions, which grew out of the works of the Moscow Mathematical School (V.V. Golubev, N.N. Luzin, I.I. Privalov), was developed in the further works of I.I. Privalov, as well as in the works of A.Ya. Khinchin, A.I. Plesner, G.M. Fikhtengolts, V.I. Smirnov, M.V. Keldysh, M.A. Lavrentiev and other Russian scientists.

We will extend this class by constructing a Hardy class for the class of  $A(z)$ -analytical functions. In general, we extend the theory of classical functions. Not everything goes exactly without an analog. In such cases, calculations are carried out in other ways.

**Keywords:** Beltrami equations,  $A(z)$ -analytic function, lemniscate, Hardy class, Hardy space, analog theorems of Nevanlinna's, Riesz and Smirnov.

**Introduction.** The solutions of equation (1), as well as quasi-conformal homeomorphisms in the complex plane  $\square$  have been studied in sufficient details. Here we confine ourselves by giving the references [1,3-13] and formulating the following three theorems:

**Theorem 1** [3]. For any measurable on the complex plane  $\square$  function  $A(z)$ :  $\|A(z)\|_\infty < 1$  there exists unique homeomorphic solution  $\chi(z)$  of the equation (1) which fixes the points 0, 1 and  $\infty$ .

Note that if the function  $|A(z)| \leq C < 1$  is defined only in the domain  $D \subset \square$ , then it can be extended to the whole  $\square$  by setting  $A(z) \equiv 0$  outside  $D$ , so Theorem 1 holds for any domain  $D \subset \square$ .

**Theorem 2** [5,6]. The set of all generalized solutions of equation (1) is exhausted by the formula  $f(z) = F[\chi(z)]$ , where  $\chi(z)$  is a homeomorphic solution from Theorem 1, and  $\Phi(\zeta)$  is a holomorphic function  $\Phi = f \circ \chi^{-1}$  also has isolated singular points of the same types.

From Theorem 2 implies that the  $A(z)$ -analytic function  $f(z)$  carries out internal mapping, i. e. it mapping an open set to an open set. It follows that the maximum principle holds for such functions: for any bounded domain  $D \subset \square$  the maximum of modulus is reaches only on the boundary, i. e.  $|f(z)| < \max_{z \in \partial D} |f(z)|$ ,  $z \in D$ . If the function is not zero, then the minimum principle also holds, i. e.  $|f(z)| > \min_{z \in \partial D} |f(z)|$ ,  $z \in D$ .

**Theorem 3** [8]. If a function  $A(z)$  belongs to the class of  $m$ -smooth functions ( $A(z) \in C^m(D)$ ), then every solution  $f(z)$  of the equation (1) also belongs to, at least, the class, i. e.  $f(z) \in C^m(D)$ .

The considered case of  $A(z)$ -analytic functions was initiated with a number of their applications in mechanics, geology and medicine, particularly, in the problems of tomography:  $X$ -ray, seismic, etc. They are associated with the Radon problem of recovery of functions from the given properties on the hyperplanes. In a series of papers A. L. Buhgeym and S. G. Kazantsev [14] Radon problem is interpreted by boundary problems for the infinite-dimensional analogue of the equation  $f_z - Af_z = 0$ , where  $f(z)$  is complex argument function of  $z$ , with values in some Banach space  $X$  and  $A$  is a linear continuous operator  $A: X \rightarrow X, \|A\| < 1$  [7].

**Materials and methods.** Let  $A(z)$  is anti-analytic,  $\frac{\partial A}{\partial z} = 0$  in  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|A(z)| \leq C < 1, z \in D$ . We put

$$D_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \overline{A(z)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}},$$

$$\overline{D}_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - A(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Then according to (1) the class of  $A(z)$ -analytic functions  $f(z) \in O_A(D)$ , characterized by the fact that  $\overline{D}_A f = 0$  [19]. Since, anti-analytic function is infinitely smooth, then from Theorem 3 implies that  $O_A(D) \subset C^\infty(D)$ .

**Theorem 4.** ([analogue of Cauchy's theorem 16]). If  $f(z) \in O_A(D) \cap C^\infty(D)$ , where  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a domain with rectifiable boundary  $\partial D$ , then

$$\int_{\partial D} f(z)(dz + A(z)d\bar{z}) = 0.$$

Now we assume that the domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is convex and  $\zeta \in D$  its fixed point. We consider the function

$$K(\zeta; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \cdot \frac{1}{z - \zeta + \int_{\gamma(\zeta; z)} \overline{A(\tau)} d\tau}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\gamma(\zeta; z)$  is a smooth curve which connects the points  $\zeta; z \in D$ . Since the domain is simply connected and the function  $\overline{A(z)}$  is a holomorphic, then the integral  $I(z) = \int_{\gamma(\zeta; z)} \overline{A(\tau)} d\tau$  does not depend on of integration; it coincides with a primitive, i. e.  $I'(z) = \overline{A(z)}$ .

The function

$$\psi(z; \zeta) = z - \zeta + \overline{\int_{r(\zeta; z)} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau} = z - \zeta + \bar{I}(z)$$

is  $A(z)$ -analytic in  $D$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} [z - \zeta + \bar{I}(z)] = \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z} I(z)} = \bar{A}(z) = \overline{A(z)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} [z - \zeta + \bar{I}(z)],$$

i. e.  $\psi(z; \zeta) \in O_A(D)$ . Also, by fixed point  $\zeta = a$ ,

$$d\psi(z; a) = d \left( z - a + \overline{\int_{r(a; z)} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau} \right) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} dz + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z} = dz + A(z) d\bar{z}.$$

According to Theorem 2, the function  $\psi(z; a) \in O_A(D)$  carries out an internal mapping. In particular, the set

$$L(a; r) = \left\{ \left| \psi(z; a) - a + \overline{\int_{r(a; z)} \bar{A}(\tau) d\tau} \right| < r \right\}$$

is open in  $D$ . For sufficiently small  $r > 0$  it compactly belongs to  $D$  and contains the point  $a$ . This set is called  $A(z)$ -lemniscate with center  $a$  and denoted by  $L(a; r)$ . According to the maximum principle the lemniscate  $L(a; r)$  is simply connected and to the minimum principle it is connected.

Now we will show some concepts from the classical theory of functions with real variables. Let  $E$ - be a measurable set,  $f(x)$  be a function summable on  $E$ , and  $E_1, \dots, E_j, \dots$  be a finite or countable set of measurable subsets of  $E$  that do not have common points in pairs. Then

$$\int_E f(x) dx = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_j} f(x) dx.$$

**Theorem 5.** (Lebesgue, see [15]) If  $\{f_n(x)\}$ - is a sequence of summable functions converging on  $E$ , and almost everywhere on  $E$  (i. e., everywhere, with the possible exception of points of the set of measure zero), inequalities

$$|f_n(x)| \leq \varphi(x), \quad (3)$$

are fulfilled, where  $\varphi(x)$  is a summable function (this will be, for example, in the case when the sequence of  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $E$ ), then the function  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  is summable on  $E$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n(x) = \int_E f(x). \quad (*)$$

**Lemma 1.** (Fatou, see [15]) If the conditions (3) are met for the  $f_n(x)$  function instead of the conditions, then instead of equality (\*), only inequality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n(x) \geq \int_E f(x). \quad (**)$$

can be stated.

**Theorem 6.** (Egorov, see [15]) For each sequence of measurable  $\{f_n(x)\}$ , functions that converges on the set of  $E$  positive measure and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a perfect set of  $P \subset E$  whose measure exceeds  $m(E) - \varepsilon$  and on which this sequence converges uniformly.

**Results. Definition of classes  $N_A$  and  $H_A^p$ .** Let  $\ln^+ a$  denote  $\ln a$ , if  $a \geq 1$  and 0, if  $a < 1$ . Obviously,  $|\ln a| = 2\ln^+ a - \ln a$ ,  $\ln^+ ab \leq \ln^+ a + \ln^+ b$  and  $e^{\ln^+ a} < 1 + a$ .

Let  $A(z)$  is anti-analytic,  $\frac{\partial A}{\partial z} = 0$  in  $D$ . We introduce some class definitions in the  $L(a; r) \subset D$  lemniscate for  $A(z)$ -analytic functions, where the  $A(z)$ -analytical function  $f(z) \in O_A(D)$  is given.

**Definition 1.**  $f(z)$  a function is called belonging to the Hardy class if the function satisfies the following inequality in the lemniscate  $L(a; r)$ :

$$z \in \partial L(a; \rho), 0 < \rho < r, p > 0, H_A^p(f) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| < \infty. \quad (4)$$

The Hardy class in the domain of  $D$   $A(z)$ -analytic functions is denoted as  $H_A^p(D)$ . We introduce the norm in this class as follows:

The Hardy space  $H_A^p$  for  $A(z)$ -analytic functions under  $0 < p \leq 1$  – is a class of functions that is a finite norm in the lemniscate  $L(a; r)$ :

$$\|f\|_{H_A^p} = \sup_{0 < \rho < r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{\partial L(a;\rho)} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| < \infty,$$

in the case of  $p > 1$  the limited norm is expressed as follows:

$$\|f\|_{H_A^p} = \left( \sup_{0 < \rho < r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{\partial L(a;\rho)} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

We denote by  $H_A^\infty$  the space,  $A(z)$ -analytic functions and bounded in the lemniscate  $L(a; r)$ . For  $f \in H_A^\infty$  the norm condition is expressed as follows:

$$\|f\|_{H_A^\infty} = \sup_{|\psi(z;a)| < r} |f(z)| < \infty.$$

Further, with  $0 < q < p$  the expressions are obvious:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{\partial L(a;\rho)} |f(z)|^q |d\psi(z;a)| < 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{\partial L(a;\rho)} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)|,$$



it follows from this that if  $f(z)$  belongs to class  $H_A^p$  then it also belongs to class  $H_A^q$ , that is,  $H_A^p \subset H_A^q$  at  $0 < q < p$ . Therefore, for  $1 < q < p < \infty$ , it has  $H_A^\infty \subset H_A^p \subset H_A^q \subset H_A^1$ .

**Definition 2.** A function  $f(z)$  is called belonging to the class  $N_A$ , if it satisfies the following inequality in the lemniscate  $L(a; r)$ :

$$N_A(f) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} \ln^+ |f(z)| |d\psi(z;a)| < \infty \quad (5)$$

The limits (4) and (5) are finite or infinite for each function of  $f(z)$ , since the integrals  $\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)|$  and  $\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} \ln^+ |f(z)| |d\psi(z;a)|$  – are non-decreasing functions of  $\rho$ .

The letter  $B_A$  will denote a class of functions,  $A(z)$  – analytic and limited in the lemniscate  $L(a; r)$ .

Obviously, if the  $f(z)$  function belongs to the class  $N_A$  (or  $H_A^p$ ), then its product on any bounded  $A(z)$  – analytic function also belongs to the class  $N_A$  (or  $H_A^p$ ).

Given that the geometric mean  $e^{\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{L(a;\rho)} \ln(1+|f(z)|) |d\psi(z;a)|}$  is no greater than the arithmetic mean  $\left( \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{L(a;\rho)} (1+|f(z)|^p) |d\psi(z;a)| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  for any  $p > 0$ , we conclude that the class  $H_A^p$  is contained in the class  $N_A$ .

Finally, the relationship between the classes under consideration is expressed as follows:

$$p > q, \quad B_A \subset H_A^p \subset H_A^q \subset N_A. \quad (6)$$

The following condition

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} \ln |f(z)| |d\psi(z;a)| < \infty \quad (7)$$

is sufficient for the decomposition

$$f(z) = b(z)F(z), \quad (8)$$

to take place, where  $b(z)$  – is a function of the Blaschke, and  $F(z)$  has no zero in the lemniscate  $L(a; r)$ . Since

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} \ln |f(z)| |d\psi(z;a)| < \lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} \ln^+ |f(z)| |d\psi(z;a)|$$

(compare (7) and (2)), then for each  $f(z)$  function of class  $N_A$  there is a decomposition of (8). Moreover, there are equalities

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} \ln|F(z)||d\psi(z;a)| = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} \ln^+|f(z)||d\psi(z;a)|,$$

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |F(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)|,$$

i. e.  $f(z)$  and  $F(z)$  belong to the same class.

Indeed, the first equality is a consequence of the property of the Blaschke function:  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} \ln|b(z)||d\psi(z;a)| = 0$ . To prove the second, we will conduct the following reasoning.

Since  $f(z) \leq F(z)$ , we will prove equality only in the case of (5); otherwise, the equality is trivial.

Let  $b_n(z) = \psi^n(z;a) \prod_{k=1}^n r \cdot \frac{|\psi(\alpha_k;a)|}{\psi(\alpha_k;a)} \frac{\psi(\alpha_k;a) - \psi(z;a)}{r^2 - \overline{\psi(\alpha_k;a)}\psi(z;a)}$ , where  $\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_\lambda$ ,  $\psi(\alpha_1;a), \psi(\alpha_2;a), \dots, \psi(\alpha_n;a), \dots$  - is  $A(z)$ -lemniscate a sequence of zeros  $f(z)$ , numbered in ascending order of their modulus; let  $F_n(z) = \frac{f_n(z)}{b_n(z)}$ .

Obviously,  $\{F_n(z)\}$  converges uniformly to  $F(z)$  in the lemniscate  $L(a;r)$ .

For an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $n$ , we find a  $\rho_n < r$  such that  $|b_n(z)| > 1 - \varepsilon$  at  $\rho > \rho_n$  or what is the same,  $|F_n(z)| < \frac{|f(z)|}{1 - \varepsilon}$ . Thus,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |F_n(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon)^p} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)|$$

for all  $n$ , from where, for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and a fixed  $\rho$  we get:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |F(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)|. \quad (9)$$

Hence, (9) and since  $|f(z)| < |F(z)|$ , then equality

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |F(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| = \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)|,$$

holds.

Inequality (4) can be replaced by the following equivalent condition:

$$\overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |\ln|f(z)||d\psi(z;a)| < \infty. \quad (10)$$

It is obvious that

$$\overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} \ln^+ |f(z)| d\psi(z;a) \leq \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow R} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |\ln |f(z)|| d\psi(z;a)$$

i.e. (10) implies (4).

On the other hand, if condition (4) is met, then

$$\overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow r} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |\ln |f(z)|| d\psi(z;a) = \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow R} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |\ln |F(z)|| d\psi(z;a),$$

since  $|\ln |b(z)|| = -\ln |b(z)|$  and  $|\ln |f(z)|| - |\ln |F(z)|| \leq -\ln |b(z)|$ . But the  $\ln |F(z)| - A(z)$ -function is harmonic in the  $L(a;r)$ , lemniscate, so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |\ln |F(z)|| d\psi(z;a) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} 2\ln^+ |F(z)| d\psi(z;a) - \\ - \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} \ln |F(z)| d\psi(z;a) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} 2\ln^+ |F(z)| d\psi(z;a) - \ln |F(0)| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, the conditions (4) and (10) are equivalent.

Since  $\left| \ln \frac{a}{b} \right| \leq |\ln a| + |\ln b|$ , we conclude that the relation of two functions of the

class  $N_A$  will belong to the class  $N_A$ , if only it is an  $A(z)$ -analytic function in the lemniscate  $L(a;r)$ . In particular, the relation of two bounded  $A(z)$ -functions belongs to the class  $N_A$ , if it is an  $A(z)$ -analytic function.

**R. Nevanlinn's theorem and its consequences.** Class  $N_A$  was introduced by A. Ostrovsky and the brothers F. and R. Nevanlinna. The following theorem belongs to R. Nevanlinna:

**Theorem 7.** (analogue of Nevanlinn's theorem) The class  $N_A$  coincides with the class of analytic functions in the unit circle, which are the relation of two bounded functions.

By virtue of (10), it is sufficient to show that each  $f(z)$  function of class  $N_A$  can be represented as a ratio of two bounded functions. Let  $f(z) = b(z)F(z)$ . Since condition (2) guarantees the existence of a positive harmonic majorant for the  $A(z)$ -subharmonic function  $\ln^+ |F(z)|$ , which is obviously a majorant for the  $\ln |F(z)|$ , then there is a representation of the  $\ln |F(z)|$  in the form of the difference of two positive  $A(z)$ -harmonic functions

$$\ln |F(z)| = u_2(z) - u_1(z). \quad (11)$$

Let  $v_1(z)$  and  $v_2(z)$  be harmonic functions conjugated to  $-u_1(z)$  and  $-u_2(z)$  respectively. Then  $e^{-u_1(z)+iv_1(z)} = f_0(z)$  and  $e^{-u_2(z)+iv_2(z)} = f_2(z)$  are bounded functions and  $F(z) = \frac{f_0(z)}{f_2(z)} e^{i\lambda}$ , where  $\lambda$  is a real number.

Assuming  $f_1(z) = b(z)f_0(z)e^{i\alpha}$ , we get:  $f(z) = b(z)F(z) = \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}$ , where  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  are bounded functions.

**Result 1.** The  $f(z)$  function of class  $N_A$  has finite angular boundary values almost everywhere on the  $L(a; r)$  lemniscate.

In the future, we will denote the angular boundary value at the point  $\zeta$  ( $|\psi(\zeta; a)| = R, 0 < r < R$ ) by  $f(\zeta)$ .

If  $f(\zeta)$  is the angular boundary value of a function  $f(z)$  of class  $N_A$ , then the function  $\ln|f(\zeta)|$  is summable, since by virtue of P. Fatou lemma

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(\zeta;a)=R} |\ln|f(\zeta)|| d\psi(\zeta; a) \leq \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow R} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |\ln|f(z)|| d\psi(z; a). \quad (12)$$

If the  $f(z)$  belongs to the  $H_A^p$  class, then the function  $|f(\zeta)|^p$  is summable, since by virtue of P. Fatou lemma

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(\zeta;a)=R} |f(\zeta)|^p |d\psi(\zeta; a)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z; a)|. \quad (13)$$

We will show below that one summability of the  $|f(\zeta)|^p$  and  $\ln^+|f(\zeta)|^p$  functions is not enough for the  $f(z)$  function analytic in the lemniscate to belong to the class  $N_A$ .

**Examples of functions of classes  $N_A$  and  $H_A^p$ .** 1. Conditions (4) and (5) make it possible to estimate the growth of the  $M_f(\rho) = \max_{\zeta \in L(a; \rho)} |f(\zeta)|$   $A(z)$ -analytic function. It is convenient to use this assessment as a necessary condition for the  $f(z)$   $A(z)$ -analytic function to belong to a particular class.

Let  $f(z)$  belong to the class  $N_A$ . Representing the  $A(z)$ -harmonic function of the  $\ln|F(z)|$  by the Poisson integral:

$$\ln|F(\zeta)| = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{|\psi(\xi;a)=r} \ln|F(\xi)| \frac{r^2 - |\psi(z; a)|^2}{|\psi(\xi; z)|^2} |d\psi(\xi; a)|, \quad \xi \in \partial L(a; r), \quad \rho < r < R,$$

we'll find it:

$$\ln|F(\xi)| \leq \frac{r + \rho}{r - \rho} \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{|\psi(\xi;a)=r} \ln^+|F(\xi)| |d\psi(\xi; a)|,$$

from where, passing to the limit at  $r \rightarrow R$ , we get:

$$\ln|f(\xi)| < \ln|F(\xi)| \leq \frac{R + \rho}{R - \rho} N_A(f),$$

i. e.

$$M_f(\rho) \leq e^{\frac{2N_A(f)}{R-\rho}}, \quad (14)$$

If  $f(z)$  belongs to the class of  $H_A^p$ , then representing the  $A(z)$ -analytical function  $[F(z)]^p$  in the unit circle by the Poisson integral, we find:

$$[F(z)]^p = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{|\xi;a|=r} [F(\xi)]^p \frac{r^2 - |\psi(z;a)|^2}{|\psi(\xi;z)|^2} |d\psi(\xi;a)|, \quad \rho < r < R,$$

from where

$$|F(z)|^p \leq \frac{r + \rho}{r - \rho} \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{|\xi;a|=r} [F(\xi)]^p |d\psi(\xi;a)|,$$

$$\text{or } |f(z)|^p \leq |F(z)|^p \leq \frac{R + \rho}{R - \rho} H_A^p(f), \text{ i. e.}$$

$$M_f(\rho) \leq \left\{ \frac{H_A^p(f)}{R - \rho} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (15),$$

In the future, we will still need an estimate of the average value of the module  $\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |f(z)| |d\psi(z;a)|$  for the  $A(z)$ -analytic functions of the  $f(z)$  class  $H_A^p$  at  $p < 1$ .

Using the inequality

$$|F(z)|^p \leq \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{|\xi;a|=r} |F(\xi)|^p \frac{r^2 - |\psi(z;a)|^2}{|\psi(\xi;z)|^2} |d\psi(\xi;a)|, \quad \rho < r < R,$$

we get:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |F(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{|\xi;a|=r} |F(\xi)|^p \frac{r^2 - |\psi(z;a)|^2}{|\psi(\xi;z)|^2} |d\psi(\xi;a)| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Next, we divide the subintegral expression into two factors: the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{|\xi;a|=r} |F(\xi)|^p \frac{r^2 - |\psi(z;a)|^2}{|\psi(\xi;z)|^2} |d\psi(\xi;a)|$$

and the same integral to the power  $\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)$ , we majorize the second factor by the

value  $\left(\frac{r + \rho}{r - \rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{p} - 1} [H_A^p(f)]^{\frac{1}{p} - 1}$  and finally, we rearrange the integration orders.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |F(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| \leq \left(\frac{r + \rho}{r - \rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{p} - 1} [H_A^p(f)]^{\frac{1}{p} - 1}. \quad (16)$$

2. An example of an unlimited function that belongs to all the class of  $H_A^p$ ,  $p > 0$ . Let us consider an unbounded,  $A(z)$ -analytical function

$f(z) = \ln \frac{1}{R - \psi(z; a)}$  in the lemniscate  $L(a; \rho)$ . Since

$$\left| \ln \frac{1}{R - \psi(z; a)} \right| \leq |\ln |R - \rho e^{i\varphi}| + |\arg(R - \rho e^{i\varphi})| < |\ln |R - \rho e^{i\varphi}| + \pi,$$

where  $\psi(z; a) = \rho e^{i\varphi}$

and

$$|a + b|^p \leq 2^p |a|^p + 2^p |b|^p,$$

we evaluate the integral using the inequality, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{\partial L(a; \rho)} \left| \ln \frac{1}{R - \psi(z; a)} \right|^p |d\psi(z; a)| < 2^p \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{\partial L(a; \rho)} |\ln |R - \psi(z; a)||^p |d\psi(z; a)| + (2\pi\rho)^p.$$

Further,

$$e > |1 - \psi(z; a)| = |1 - \rho e^{i\varphi}| \geq 2\sqrt{\rho} \sin \frac{|\varphi|}{2} > \frac{2\sqrt{\rho}|\varphi|}{\pi} \geq \frac{2}{\pi} |\varphi|,$$

for  $\rho > \frac{1}{2}$ , hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\ln |R - \rho e^{i\varphi}||^p d\varphi \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |\ln |R - \rho e^{i\varphi}||^p d\varphi \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \left( \ln^+ \frac{1}{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} |\varphi|} \right)^p d\varphi,$$

i. e.

$$H_A^p(f) \leq 2^p + (2\pi)^p + \frac{2^p}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \left( \ln^+ \frac{1}{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} |\varphi|} \right)^p d\varphi < \infty.$$

3. An example of a  $A(z)$ -analytic function of the class  $H_A^p$  that does not belong to the class  $H_A^q$  at  $q > p$ . Such a  $A(z)$ -analytical function will be

$$f(z) = (R - \psi(z; a))^{-\frac{1}{p}} (-\psi(z; a) \ln(R - \psi(z; a)))^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p}}, \quad 0 < \rho < R, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

First of all,  $\psi(z; a) = \rho$  assuming that

$$f(\rho) = (R - \rho)^{-\frac{1}{p}} (-\rho \ln(R - \rho))^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p}} > \frac{c}{(R - \rho)^{\frac{1}{q}}},$$

whatever the  $c$  is at  $q > p$  and  $\rho$ , which is sufficiently close to  $R$ , because

$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow R} \frac{(-\rho \ln(R - \rho))^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{p}}}{(R - \rho)^{\frac{1}{p-q}}} = +\infty$ . Therefore,  $f(z)$  cannot belong to the class of  $H_A^q$  at  $q > p$ .

Now take that  $\psi(z; a) = \rho e^{i\varphi}$ , we estimate the integral

$$I(\rho) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\varphi}{|R - \rho e^{i\varphi}| |\ln(R - \rho e^{i\varphi})|^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

for all  $\rho$  sufficiently close to  $R$ . Let  $R - \rho \geq \cos \alpha$ , where  $0 < \alpha < e^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$ . Then

$$R - \rho \geq \cos \alpha > \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} > 1 - \alpha$$

and at  $0 \leq \varphi \leq \alpha$  the inequality

$$|R - \rho e^{i\varphi}| = \sqrt{(R - \rho)^2 + 4(R - \rho) \sin^2 \frac{\varphi}{2}} < \alpha \sqrt{2} < e^{-(1+\varepsilon)}$$

is valid on the other hand,

$$|R - \rho e^{i\varphi}| > \frac{2}{\pi} \varphi \sqrt{R - \rho} \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \varphi \sqrt{\cos \alpha}.$$

Using the fact that the real function  $x \left( \ln \frac{1}{x} \right)^{1+\varepsilon}$  increases instead of with  $x$  in the interval  $(0; e^{-(1+\varepsilon)})$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\varphi}{|R - \rho e^{i\varphi}| |\ln(R - \rho e^{i\varphi})|^{1+\varepsilon}} &< \int_0^\pi \frac{d\varphi}{|R - \rho e^{i\varphi}| |\ln |R - \rho e^{i\varphi}||^{1+\varepsilon}} < \\ &< \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\cos \alpha}} \int_0^{\frac{2\alpha \cos \alpha}{\pi}} \frac{d\varphi}{x \left( \ln \frac{1}{x} \right)^{1+\varepsilon}} = \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{\cos \alpha}} \left( \ln \frac{1}{\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{\cos \alpha}} \right)^{-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, noting that for  $R - \rho \geq \cos \alpha$  and  $\alpha \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $|R - \rho e^{i\varphi}| > \frac{2\varphi}{\pi} \sqrt{\cos \alpha}$  and  $|\arg(R - \rho e^{i\varphi})| \geq \arctg(\cos \alpha) = \beta$ , we find:

$$\int_\alpha^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d\varphi}{|R - \rho e^{i\varphi}| |\ln(R - \rho e^{i\varphi})|^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{\pi \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha \right)}{2\varphi \beta^{1+\varepsilon} \sqrt{\cos \alpha}}.$$

Finally, if  $\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \varphi \leq \pi$ , we have:

$$|R - \rho e^{i\varphi}| > \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 \alpha},$$

and hence

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d\varphi}{|R - \rho e^{i\varphi}| |\ln(R - \rho e^{i\varphi})|^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{\pi}{2\varphi \left( \ln \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 \alpha} \right)^{1+\varepsilon} \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 \alpha}}.$$

Thus,  $I(\rho) \leq c(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  does not depend on  $\rho$ .

Note now that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)=\rho} |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| = \frac{I(\rho)}{\rho^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

and, consequently,  $\alpha$  is a function of the class  $H_A^p$ .

4. An example of a  $A(z)$ -analytic function of the class  $N_A$ , that does not belong to the class  $H_A^p$ , whatever  $p > 0$  is. Such a  $A(z)$ -analytic function will be

$$f(z) = e^{\frac{R+\psi(z;a)}{R-\psi(z;a)}}, \quad 0 < \rho < R. \quad \text{Since} \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{R+\psi(z;a)}{R-\psi(z;a)} \right) = \frac{R^2 - \rho^2}{R^2 + \rho^2 - 2R\rho \cos \varphi} \geq 0,$$

$\psi(z;a) = \rho e^{i\varphi}$ , then  $e^{\frac{R+\psi(z;a)}{R-\psi(z;a)}}$  is a bounded function and, consequently,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{R+\psi(z;a)}{R-\psi(z;a)}}} \text{ of class } N_A. \quad f(\rho) = e^{\frac{R+\rho}{R-\rho}} > c \left( \frac{1}{R-\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

whatever the  $c$  and  $p$  are for  $\rho$ , close to  $R$ , for  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow R} e^{\frac{R+\rho}{R-\rho}} (R-\rho)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \infty$ . Thus,  $f(z)$  cannot belong to the  $H_A^p$

class. Meanwhile, its angular boundary values of  $f(\zeta) = e^{\frac{i c \operatorname{tg} \frac{\varphi}{2}}{2}}$ , where  $\zeta \in \partial L(a;R)$ , at  $\varphi \neq 0$  will be a modulo-bounded function.

5. An example of a  $A(z)$ -analytic function that is regular everywhere except for the point  $z = \zeta$ , where  $\zeta \in \partial L(a;R)$ , which does not belong to the class  $N_A$ . Such

a function will be  $f(z) = e^{\left( \frac{R+\psi(z;a)}{R-\psi(z;a)} \right)^3}$ ,  $\psi(z;a) = \rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < R$  assuming that for

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow R} e^{\left( \frac{R+\rho}{R-\rho} \right)^3} \frac{c}{R-\rho} = \infty, \text{ whatever the } c. \text{ Therefore, } f(z) \text{ cannot belong to the class}$$

$N_A$ . Meanwhile, its angular boundary values  $f(\zeta) = e^{-\frac{i c \operatorname{tg}^2 \frac{\varphi}{2}}{2}}$  is a function bounded modulo.

**The theorem of F. Riess and V. I. Smirnov's theorem.** For the classes of  $H_A^p$ , we give an analog of the theorem of F. Riess:

**Theorem 8.** (analogue of Riess's theorem) If the  $f(z)$   $A(z)$ -analytic function belongs to the class  $H_A^p$ , then whatever is the subset  $M$  of the positive measure on the boundaries of the lemniscate  $\partial L(a;R)$ :

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow R} \int_M |f(z)|^p |d\psi(z;a)| = \int_M |f(\zeta)|^p |d\psi(\zeta;a)| \quad (17)$$



and

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow R} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |f(z) - f(\zeta)|^p |d\psi(\zeta;a)| = 0. \quad (18)$$

where  $\zeta \in M$ ,  $0 < \rho < R$  – radius.

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem is reduced to the proof of the relation (18) for a function of the class  $H_A^2$ .

So, let  $\gamma(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \psi^n(z;a)$  be a function from the class  $H_A^2$ . Then for all  $0 < \rho < R$ , Parseval equality

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |\gamma(z)|^2 |d\psi(z;a)| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^{2n} \quad (19)$$

takes place and by virtue of (4), the series

$$H_A^p(\gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \quad (20)$$

converges.

Let  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , then the  $A(z)$  – analytic function  $\gamma(z) - \gamma(\lambda z)$  obviously belongs to the class  $H_A^2$  and, consequently, there is a Parseval equality

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |\gamma(z) - \gamma(\lambda z)|^2 |d\psi(z;a)| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \rho^{2n} (1 - \lambda^n)^2, \quad (21)$$

passing to the limit by  $\rho \rightarrow R$  on the basis of the Fatou equality, we get:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z;a)|=\rho} |\gamma(z) - \gamma(\lambda z)|^2 |d\psi(z;a)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 (1 - \lambda^n)^2. \quad (22)$$

And since the sum of the series in the right part (22) tends to zero at  $\lambda \rightarrow 1$ , the left part also tends to zero, i. e. the ratio (19) is valid for the  $H_A^2$  function.

**Remark 1.** As can be seen from the equation (20), in order for the  $\gamma(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \psi^n(z;a)$  function to belong to the class  $H_A^2$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2$  converges.

Using equality (4) and denoting

$$\gamma(z) = (f(z))^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad (23)$$

we can represent the function  $f(z)$  of the  $H_A^p$  class as

$$f(z) = b(z) (\gamma(z))^{\frac{2}{p}}, \quad (24)$$

where  $\gamma(z)$  is a function of the  $H_A^2$  class.

Let's introduce abbreviated notation:  $b(z) = b$ ,  $b(\zeta) = b_\rho$ ,  $\gamma(\zeta) = \gamma_\rho$ .

We prove the relation (17).

Let's represent the integral

$$\int_M (|f(z)|^p - |f(\zeta)|^p) |d\psi(\zeta; a)|$$

in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (|f(z)|^p - |f(\zeta)|^p) |d\psi(\zeta; a)| &= \int_M (|b|^p |\gamma|^2 - |b_\rho|^p |\gamma_\rho|^2) |d\psi(\zeta; a)| = \\ &= \int_M (|b|^p - |b_\rho|^p) |\gamma|^2 |d\psi(\zeta; a)| + \int_M |b_\rho|^p (|\gamma|^2 - |\gamma_\rho|^2) |d\psi(\zeta; a)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $||b|^p - |b_\rho|^p| < 1$ , then by Lebesgue's theorem

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \int_M (|b|^p - |b_\rho|^p) |\gamma|^2 |d\psi(\zeta; a)| = \int_M (|b|^p - |b_\rho|^p) |\gamma|^2 |d\psi(\zeta; a)| = 0.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M |b|^p (|\gamma|^2 - |\gamma_\rho|^2) |d\psi(\zeta; a)| \right| &\leq \int_M ||\gamma|^2 - |\gamma_\rho|^2| |d\psi(\zeta; a)| \leq \int_M |\gamma^2 - \gamma_\rho^2| |d\psi(\zeta; a)| \leq \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int_M |\gamma^2 - \gamma_\rho^2| (d\psi(\zeta; a)) \int_M |\gamma^2 + \gamma_\rho^2| (d\psi(\zeta; a))} \leq 2 \sqrt{2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2} \sqrt{\int_M |\gamma^2 - \gamma_\rho^2| (d\psi(\zeta; a))}. \end{aligned}$$

and applying the relation (18) already proved for  $\gamma(z)$ , we get:

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow r} \int_M |b_\rho|^p (|\gamma|^2 - |\gamma_\rho|^2) |d\psi(\zeta; a)| = 0.$$

The relation (17) is proved.

**Result 2.** Whatever  $\varepsilon > 0$  is, there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that as soon as  $m(M) < \eta$  ( $M$  is a set on the boundary of  $\partial L(a; R)$ ), for all sufficiently large  $\rho$ , there is an inequality

$$\int_M |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^p |d\psi(\zeta; a)| < \varepsilon.$$

Indeed,

$$\int_M |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^p |d\psi(\zeta; a)| \leq 2^p \int_M |f(\zeta)|^p |d\psi(\zeta; a)| + 2^p \int_M |f(z)|^p |d\psi(\zeta; a)|,$$

and by virtue of the summability of  $|f(\zeta)|^p$ , we can choose  $\eta$  so small that

$$\int_M |f(\zeta)|^p |d\psi(\zeta; a)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3 \cdot 2^p},$$

then by virtue of (17) we choose  $\rho$  so that

$$\left| \int_M (|f(\zeta)|^p - |f(z)|^p) |d\psi(\zeta; a)| \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3 \cdot 2^p},$$

i. e.

$$\int_M |f(\zeta)|^p |d\psi(\zeta; a)| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3 \cdot 2^p}$$

and our statement holds.

Now it is not difficult to prove the relation (18) in the general case.

Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary positive number. According to D. F. Egorov's theorem, we find on the boundary of  $\partial L(a; R)$  such a perfect set of  $P$ , on which  $f(z)$  uniformly converges to  $f(\zeta)$  and  $m(P) > 2\pi - \eta$ ; then

$$\overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow R} \frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(\zeta; a)|=\rho} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^p |d\psi(\zeta; a)| = \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow R} \int_{C(P)} |f(\zeta) - f(z)|^p |d\psi(\zeta; a)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

since  $m(C(P)) < \eta$ . And due to the arbitrariness of the  $\varepsilon$ , the relation (18) is proved.

It is easy to prove the following theorem of V. I. Smirnov:

**Theorem 9.** (analogue of Smirnov theorem)  $A(z)$  – analytical function of  $f(z)$  and has a positive real part, belongs to the class  $H_A^p$ , where  $p$  is any positive number less than 1.

**Proof.** In fact, if  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \arg F(z) < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then from the ratio

$$F^p(z) = |F(z)|^p e^{ip \arg F(z)}$$

we get:

$$|F(z)|^p \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}[F^p(z)]}{\cos \frac{\pi p}{2}},$$

where  $0 < p < 1$  is any number.

From the last inequality follows:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\rho} \int_{|\psi(z; a)|=\rho} |F(z)|^p \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}[F^p(0)]}{\cos \frac{\pi p}{2}},$$

which proves that the  $f(z)$  function belongs to the class of  $H_A^p$ .

**Discussions.** The simplest properties of the Hardy class  $H^p(D)$ , where  $D$  is a domain in  $\square$  with a rectifiable boundary, are assumed to be known; in particular, the facts that functions of the Hardy class  $H^1(D)$  can be represented in terms of their boundary values on  $\partial D$  by the Cauchy formula, and that under automorphisms of the unit disk the Hardy class  $H^1$  transforms into itself [17].

Now let's compare the Hardy class in the theory of functions with one variable and with several variables. Let the bounded domain  $D \subset \square^n$  have a smooth boundary  $\partial D$ . Then according to the definition, the Hardy classes  $H^p(D)$  consist of the such functions  $f(z)$  holomorphic in  $D$  for which

$$\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial D} |f(\zeta - \varepsilon \nu_\zeta)|^p d\sigma_\zeta < \infty,$$

where  $\nu_\zeta$  is the (unit) vector of the exterior normal to  $\partial D$  at the point  $\zeta$  and  $d\sigma$  is the area element – Lebesgue measure,  $0 < p < \infty$ .

For  $f(z) \in H^1(D)$  we need weak convergence of  $f|_{\partial D_m}$  (where  $D_m$  is some sequence of domains  $\overline{D_m} \subset D_{m+1} \subset D$  approximating  $D$ ) to the boundary values of  $f(z)$  on  $\partial D$  in the sense that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial D_m} f v = \int_{\partial D} f v$$

for every exterior differential form  $v \in C^{2n-1}(\overline{D} \setminus D_1)$ . This fact follows from stronger assertions if  $D$  is a Lyapunov domain. But we need not have  $\partial D \in C^{1,\lambda}$  if  $D$  has piecewise smooth boundary, is such that every section of it by the complex line passing through the origin of coordinates is simply connected and there also exists a homeomorphism  $\psi \in C^1$  of  $D$  by each complex line of given family of lines on the section of the ball by the same line. Let  $D_m$  be the inverse image of the ball with radius  $1 - \frac{1}{m+1}$  under the mapping  $\psi$ , where  $m=1,2,\dots$ . Then we can define the Hardy classes by  $H^p(D)$  replacing requirement limit by

$$\sup_m \int_{\partial D_m} |f(\zeta)|^p d\sigma_\zeta < \infty.$$

**Conclusion.** The theory of  $H^p$  spaces has its origins in discoveries made forty or fifty years ago by such mathematicians as G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, I.I. Privalov, F. and M. Riesz, V. Smirnov and G. Szego. Most of this early work is concerned with the properties of individual functions of class  $H^p$  and is classical in spirit. In recent years, the development of functional analysis has stimulated new interest in the  $H^p$  classes as linear spaces. This point of view has suggested a variety of natural problems and has provided new methods of attack, leading to important advances in the theory [18].

Integral representations of holomorphic functions play an important part in the classical theory of functions of one complex variable and in multidimensional complex analysis (in the later case, alongside with integration over the whole boundary  $\partial D$  of a domain  $D$  we frequently encounter integration over the Shilov boundary  $S = S(D)$ ). They solve the classical problem of recovering at the points of a domain  $D$  a holomorphic function that is sufficiently well-behaved when approaching the boundary  $\partial D$ , from its values on  $\partial D$  or on  $S$ . Alongside with this classical problem, it is possible and natural to consider the following one: to recover the holomorphic function in  $D$  from its values on some set  $M \subset \partial D$  not containing  $S$ . Of course,  $M$  is to be set of the class of holomorphic functions under consideration (for example, for the functions continuous in  $\overline{D}$  or belonging to the Hardy class  $H^p(D)$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ).

#### REFERENCES

1. Bers L. *Mathematical aspects of subsonic and transonic gas dynamics*, Wiley, New York, 1958.

## EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES

2. Grötsch H. Ueber die Verzerrung bei schlichten nichtkonformen Abbildungen und ueber eine damit zusammenhängende Erweiterung des Picardschen Satzes, *Ber. Verh. Saechs Akad. Wiss.*, 80(1928), 503–507.
3. Ahlfors L. *Lectures on quasiconformal mappings*, Princeton, N.J., Van Nostrand, 1966.
4. Belinskiy P.P. *General properties of quasiconformal mappings*, Moscow, Nauka, 1974, (in Russian).
5. Bojarskiy B. Homeomorphic solutions of Beltrami systems, *Doklady Akademii Nauk USSR*, 102(1955), no. 4, 661–664 (in Russian).
6. Bojarski B. Generalized solutions of a system of differential equations of the first order of the elliptic type with discontinuous coefficients, *USSR Sbornik Mathematics*, 43(85) (1957), 451–503.
7. Jabborov N.M., Imomnazarov Kh.Kh. Some initial-boundary value problems in the mechanics of two-speed media, Tashkent, The National University Uzbekistan, 2012 (in Russian).
8. Vekua I.N. *Generalized analytical functions*, Moscow, Nauka, 1988 (in Russian).
9. Gutlyanskiy V., Ryazanov V., Srebro U., Yakubov E. The Beltrami equation: a geometric approach, *J. Math. Sci.*, 175(2011), 413–449.
10. Volkovisskiy L.I. *Quasiconformal mappings*, L'viv, 1954 (in Russian).
11. Bers L. An outline of the theory of pseudoanalytic functions, *Bull AMS*, 62(1956), №. 4, 291–331.
12. Lavrentiev M.A., Shabat B.V. *Methods of complex variable functions theory*, Moscow, Fizmatgiz, 1958 (in Russian).
13. S. L. Krushkal, R. Kyunau, *Quasiconformal mappings — new methods and applications*, Moscow, Nauka, 1984 (in Russian).
14. Bukhgeym A.L. Inversion formulas in inverse problems. Addition to the book of M.M. Lavrentiev and L.Ya. Savelyev "Linear operators and ill-posed problems" Moscow, Nauka, 1991, (in Russian).
15. Privalov I.I. *Boundary properties of analytical functions*, Moscow, State Publishing House of Technical and Theoretical Literature, 1950, (in Russian).
16. Duren P.L. *Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1970.
17. Aizenberg L. *Carleman's Formulas in Complex Analysis*, Novosibirsk "Nauka" department of Siberia, 1990.
18. Koosis P. *Introduction to  $H^p$  Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
19. Sadullayev A., Jabborov N.M. On a class of  $A$ -analytic functions. *J. Sib. Fed. Univ. Math. Phys.*, Volume 9. Issue 3. 2016, 374–383 p.