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#### Abstract

The so-called functional approach turned out to be very efficient in the study of various issues arising in the theory of approximate integration and partial differential equations and related branches of analysis. The essence of this approach (if we confine ourselves to the example of a boundary value problem for a differential equation) is that the differential equation with the boundary conditions is implemented as an operator acting in a specially selected functional space; the required information is obtained from the properties of this operator. S.L. Sobolev developed an algorithm for constructing cubature formulas, which he called formulas with a regular boundary layer. He proved the asymptotic optimality of these formulas and the upper-bound estimate of the norm of the error functional in space $U_{2}^{m}(\Omega)$, setting the principal term. The purpose of this study is to obtain a lower estimate (i.e., a lower bound) for any error functional of lattice cubature formulas for spaces $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$ and determine the asymptotical optimality of cubature formulas with a regular in the sense of Sobolev boundary layer in space $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$.


## INTRODUCTION

S.L. Sobolev in [1, 2, 3] developed an algorithm for constructing cubature formulas, which he called formulas with a regular boundary layer in space $U_{2}^{m}(\Omega)$. The same result was obtained in spaces $H_{2}^{\mu}(\Omega)$ in [4, 5]; in [6], the validity of similar results for spaces $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$ was stated. When estimating the norm of the error functional of such formulas, the researchers used the explicit form of the extremal function of periodic functional $\ell_{\infty}(x)=1-h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h H \gamma)$ in these spaces and the Hilbert property of such spaces. In the case of Banach spaces, the study of the behavior of such formulas is a very difficult task. In [7], the best approximation of the integral over the period of periodic functions of several variables was considered using a finite sum - a linear combination of function values at points of a given regular lattice. Similar results were obtained in the S.L. Sobolev space (see [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]).

1) Notation and preliminary information.
$E_{n}$ is the $n$-dimensional real Euclidean space of points $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right), z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right), \ldots$
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$ are the vectors with integer coordinates $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots(i=1,2, \ldots n), \quad|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}$.
The iner product of $n$-dimensional vectors $x$ and $y$ is denoted by

$$
x \cdot y=x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}+\ldots+x_{n} y_{n}
$$

$C$ denotes the space of continuous functions with the norm
$\left\|f(x)\left|C \|=\max _{x}\right| f(x) \mid\right.$
$F$ is the Fourier transform operator,
$F[f(x)](\xi)=\int_{E_{n}} f(x) e^{-2 \pi i x \xi} d x=\int_{E_{n}} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) e^{-2 \pi i\left(x_{1} \xi_{1}+\ldots+x_{n} \xi_{n}\right)} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{n}$.
$F^{-1}[f(x)](\xi)=\int_{E_{n}} f(x) e^{-2 \pi i x \xi} d x$, where $i=\sqrt{-1}$.
For absolutely integrable functions $f(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$, the convolution is defined as:

$$
f(x) * \varphi(x)=\int_{E_{n}} f(x-y) \varphi(y) d y
$$

In what follows, we use the basic concepts of the theory of generalized functions [15].
The space $S$ is taken as the space of basic functions, consisting of infinitely differentiable functions decreasing to infinity with all derivatives faster than any negative power of $|x|=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}$.

Spaces of generalized function over $S$, as usual, are denoted by $S^{\prime}$ [15]. The action of the generalized function $\ell(x)$ on the main function $f(x)$ is denoted by $<\ell(x), f(x)>$.

Let $H$ be the matrix of order $n \times n, \operatorname{det} H=1$. Ordinary function $f(x)$ defined in $E_{n}$ is called a periodic function with the leading matrix of periods $H\left(h^{\uparrow(1)}, \ldots h^{\uparrow(n)}\right)$ (H-periodic), if for any $\beta \in Z^{n}$

$$
f(x+H \beta)=f(x)
$$

where each period $h^{\uparrow(k)}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$ is a column vector:

$$
h^{\uparrow}(k)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\uparrow \\
h_{1}^{(k)} \\
\vdots \\
\uparrow \\
h_{n}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Consider the space of points $E_{n}$; we identify all points differing by vectors $H \beta, \beta \in Z^{n}$. $Z^{n}$ is the set of all vectors with integer coordinates. The resulting manifold of equivalent points is an $n$-dimensional torus $\theta$. We call this torus the fundamental domain for periodic functions. With several cuts, such a torus can be turned into a simply connected region, and in different ways. One of the ways leads to a parallelepiped. Any domain mapped uniquely to the entire torus we call a fundamental domain in $E_{n}$. Denoting the characteristic function of the points of domains $\Omega_{0}$ by $\varepsilon_{\Omega_{0}}(x)$, we write the necessary and sufficient conditions for $\Omega_{0}$ to be the fundamental domain in $E_{n}$ in the following form:

$$
\sum_{\beta \in Z^{n}} \varepsilon_{\Omega_{0}}(x+H \beta)=1
$$

Thus, a connection between matrix $H$ and domain $\Omega_{0}$, is, generally speaking, multi-valued.
Here, from fundamental domains defined by matrix $H$, we consider only a parallelepiped.
If $u(x)$ is a generalized or ordinary $H$-periodic function, and $\varphi(x) \in \tilde{C}^{\infty}$, is $H$-periodic function, then

$$
<u(x), \varphi(x)>\stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{\Omega_{0}} u(x) \varphi(x) d x
$$

2) The spaces $\tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$.

Let $\mu(x)$ be a continuous line, whose growth is not higher than the power law. The space $\tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ is defined as the space of $H$-periodic generalized functions with the norm
$\left\|f(x) \mid \tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma} \mu\left(H_{\gamma}^{-1}\right) \hat{f}(\gamma) e^{-2 \pi i\left(\gamma, H_{x}^{-1}\right)}\right|^{p} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$
for $1 \leq p<\infty$
$\left\|f(x) \mid \tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right\|=\sup _{\gamma}\left\{|\hat{f}(\gamma)| \mu\left(H_{\gamma}^{-1}\right)\right\}$
for $p=\infty$.
Obviously, the space $\tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ is isometrically isomorphic to space $L_{p}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$.

## 3) Weight functions.

Let $1 \leq p<\infty$. Denote by $B(n, p)$ (see [16]) the class of functions $\mu(\xi)=\mu\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in C^{\infty}$ such that for some constant $m=m(\mu)$

$$
\mu_{p}^{p}(\mu(\xi+n) / \mu(\xi)) \leq \eta\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{m / 2}
$$

is true for any $n \in E_{n}$ and the same is true for $\mu^{-1}(\xi)$. It follows from the definition that functions $\mu(\xi), \mu^{-1}(\xi)$ are multipliers in the space $S$, i.e., $\mu(\xi) \cdot \varphi(\xi) \in S$ once $\varphi(\xi) \in S$ since $\mu(\xi) \in C^{\infty}$ and $\mu^{-1}(\xi), \mu(\xi) \leq\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{m / 2}$, which follows from $\mu_{p}^{p} \in L_{\infty}$.
4) The space $H_{p}^{\mu}\left(E_{n}\right)$.

We say that the generalized function $u(x) \in S^{\prime}$ belongs to space $H_{p}^{\mu}\left(E_{n}\right)$ if

$$
v(x)=F^{-1}\{\mu(\xi) F[u(x)](\xi)\}(x) \in L_{p}\left(E_{n}\right)
$$

Introducing the norm

$$
\left\|u(x)\left|H_{p}^{\mu}\left(E_{n}\right)\|=\| v(x)\right| L_{p}\left(E_{n}\right)\right\|, u(x) \in H_{p}^{\mu}\left(E_{n}\right)
$$

we get a space isometrically isomorphic to space $L_{p}\left(E_{n}\right)$.
5) Space $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain with a sufficiently good boundary $\partial \Omega$ in $E_{n}$. Denote the closure of the set $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in norm $\left\|\cdot \mid H_{p}^{\mu}\left(E_{n}\right)\right\|$ by $\stackrel{0}{H}_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$ and introduce the space

$$
H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)=H_{p}^{\mu}\left(E_{n}\right) /{ }_{H}^{0}{ }_{p}^{\mu}\left(E_{n} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)
$$

with the norm

$$
\left\|u(x)\left|H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)\|=\inf \| u^{c}(x)\right| H_{p}^{\mu}\left(E_{n}\right)\right\|, \quad u(x) \in H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)
$$

where the lower bound is taken over all extensions of the element $u(x) \in H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$ up to the element $u^{c}(x) \in H_{p}^{\mu}\left(E_{n}\right)$. Then $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$ becomes a Banach space.

## STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

## 1) Cubature formulas.

Cubature formulas are formulas of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x \approx \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} C_{\lambda} f\left(x^{(\lambda)}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Omega$ is a bounded domain with a fairly good boundary $\partial \Omega, C_{\lambda}$ are the coefficients (or weights), $x^{(\lambda)}$ are the nodes, $N$ is the number of nodes.

Here we consider cubature formulas with nodes located on the lattice $\left\{q_{0}+A \gamma ; \gamma \in Z^{n}\right\}$, where $q_{0}$ is the fixed vector and $\gamma$ runs through all $Z^{n}$ - the set of integer vectors, $A$ denotes matrix $\operatorname{det} A \neq 0$.

To the cubature formula (1), we assign the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(f)=\int_{\Omega} f(x) d x-\sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} C_{\lambda} f\left(x^{(\lambda)}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the so-called error functional.
This functional corresponds to the generalized function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(x)=\varepsilon_{\Omega}(x)-\sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} C_{\lambda} \delta\left(x-x^{(\lambda)}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{\Omega}(x)$ is the characteristic function of domain $\Omega, \delta\left(x-x^{(\lambda)}\right)$ is the delta function concentrated at point $x^{(\lambda)}$.
2) Cubature formulas in the space of periodic functions $\tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$.

It is known that the optimal formula in these spaces has the following form:

$$
\int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x) d x \approx \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \lambda_{i}<N_{i} \\ i=1,2, . ., n}} C_{\lambda} f(h \lambda)
$$

where $\Omega_{0}$ is the unit cube, $h>0$, is the small parameter, $N=h^{-n}$, i.e. $N=\frac{m e s \Omega}{h^{n}}, C_{\lambda}=C_{0}$ are constant. Their expressions are determined, but not given here. Note that the formula is called optimal when the norm of the error functional is the least:

$$
\inf _{C_{\lambda}}\left\|\ell(x) \mid \tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right\|
$$

The norm of the error functional of the optimal cubature formula has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\ell(x) \mid \tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu *}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\frac{1}{\mu(0)}-C_{0} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also known that the formula of rectangles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}} f(x) d x \approx h^{n} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \lambda_{i}<N_{i} \\ i=1,2, \ldots, n}} f(h \lambda) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is asymptotically optimal, i.e. the ratio of the norm of the cubature formula of rectangles to the norm of the optimal cubature formula tends to 1 as $h \rightarrow 0$.

The norm of the error functional of the cubature formula of rectangles has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\ell_{r e c}(x) \mid \tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu *}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are interested in the extremal function of the error functional of the cubature formula of rectangles in the space $\tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$, i.e. the function on which the maximum value of the error functional is reached. The extremal function of the error functional of the cubature formula of rectangles $\ell_{\text {rec }}(x)$ has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\infty}(x)=\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)} *\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q-1} \operatorname{sign} \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function is $h$-periodic in each variable. The following function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q-1} \operatorname{sign} \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also $h$-periodic in each variable ( $h E$, where $E$ is the identity matrix) or $H=h E$-periodic function.
In [16], an upper bound was obtained for the norm of the error functional with regular in the sense of S.L. Sobolev boundary layer in spaces $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$.

The main task of this study is to obtain a lower estimate (i.e., a lower bound) for any error functional of lattice cubature formulas for spaces $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m e s \Omega)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}[1+o(1)] \leq\left\|\ell(x) \mid \tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu *}(\Omega)\right\| \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|\ell_{\text {opt }}(x)\left|H_{p}^{\mu *}(\Omega)\|\leq\| \ell(x)\right| H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)\right\|$ it suffices to show a lower bound for the functional of the optimal cubature formula, i.e. for $\ell_{\text {opt }}(x)$. A function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q-1} \cdot \operatorname{sign} \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an extremal function of the functional

$$
<\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}, f(x)>_{\Omega}, \quad f(x) \in \tilde{L}_{p}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)
$$

This functional takes zero values in the subspace of constants of space $\tilde{L}_{p}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ - the space of periodic functions summable with power $p$ over the cube. Therefore, it reaches its maximum value in subspace $\tilde{L}_{p}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$, i.e. on functions $\hat{f}[0]$ with zero coefficients.
Therefore, $u(x)$ has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \hat{u}[\gamma] e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{u}[\gamma]=\int_{\Omega_{0}} u(x) e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)} d x, \hat{u}[0]=0$.
The function $u_{\infty}(x)$, (like $h$-periodic one), can be represented as a Fourier series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\infty}(x)=\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{\hat{u}[\gamma] \cdot e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}, \hat{u}_{\infty}[0]=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u_{\infty}(x)$ is a continuous function.

## LOWER BOUND FOR THE NORM OF THE ERROR FUNCTIONAL OF LATTICE CUBATURE FORMULAS IN $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$

In [6] and [16], an upper bound was obtained for the norm of the error functional with regular in the sense of Sobolev boundary layer in spaces $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$, i.e. the inverse inequality to inequality (9) for the error functional of cubature formulas $\ell_{\text {r.b.l }}(x)$ with a boundary layer regular in the sense of Sobolev. In this article, the authors obtain a lower bound for an arbitrary error functional of lattice cubature formulas in spaces $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 1. Let $1<p<\infty, \quad \mu(\xi) \in B_{n, p}^{*}$ and $\mu(-\xi)=\mu(\xi)$ then for any error functional of lattice cubature formulas in spaces $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$ the following estimate (see. [6, 16]) is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\ell(x) \mid H_{p}^{\mu *}(\Omega)\right\| \geq(m e s \Omega)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}[1+o(1)] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is based on three lemmas. Since the norm of the error functional of the optimal lattice cubature formula satisfies the following inequality

$$
\left\|\ell_{o p t}(x)\left|H_{p}^{\mu *}(\Omega)\|\leq\| \ell(x)\right| H_{p}^{\mu^{*}}(\Omega)\right\|
$$

then it is suffice to prove the theorem for the error functional of the optimal cubature formula.
Let us build a function that will allow us to get a lower bound. For simplicity, without loss of generality, we assume that $\mu(0)=1$.

To do this, consider the following function

$$
\stackrel{0}{u}(x)=\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \hat{u}[\gamma] e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}-C(h)=u(x)-C(h)
$$

where $C(h)=\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{\hat{u}[\gamma]}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}$.
We construct the following function $\vartheta(x)=v(x) *\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ u\end{array}(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{\Omega^{\prime}}(x)\right]$,
where $\Omega^{\prime}$ is the domain of the sets of all cubes with edges of length h that intersect with $\bar{\Omega}$. Let us pose another condition on domain $\Omega$ - the quantity $O(h)$ is the measure of the boundary layer of the thickness divisible by $h$. This condition is also posed when obtaining an upper bound for the norm of the error functional with a regular boundary layer.

Let us estimate norm $\vartheta(x)$ in $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\vartheta(x) \mid H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)\right\|=\left\{\int_{E_{n}}\left|F^{-1}[\mu(\xi) \cdot F[\vartheta(x)]]\right|^{p} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =\left\{\int_{E_{n}}\left|F^{-1}\left[\mu(\xi) \cdot F\left[v(x) *\left[0(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{\Omega^{\prime}}(x)\right]\right]\right]\right|^{p} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =\left\{\int_{E_{n}} \left\lvert\, F^{-1}\left[\left.\mu(\xi) \cdot \frac{1}{\mu(\xi)} F\left[u(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{\Omega^{\prime}}(x)\right]\right|^{p} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right.\right. \\
& =\left\{\int_{E_{n}}\left|\stackrel{0}{u}(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{\Omega^{\prime}}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}=\left\{\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}|0 u(x)|^{p} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =\left\{\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}|u(x)-C(h)|^{p} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left\{\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}|u(x)|^{p} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}+|C(h)| \cdot\left(\text { mes } \Omega^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =\left\{\left.\left.\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}| | \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q-1} \cdot \operatorname{sign} \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}+|C(h)| \cdot\left(\text { mes } \Omega^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1+O(h)) \\
& =\left\{\sum_{\beta \in B_{\Omega_{h, \beta}}} \int_{\gamma \neq 0}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}+|C(h)| \cdot\left(\text { mes } \Omega^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1+O(h)) \\
& =\left\{\sum_{\beta \in B} h^{n} \int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}+|C(h)| \cdot\left(m e s \Omega^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1+O(h)) \\
& =\left\{\sum_{\beta \in B} h^{n}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}+|C(h)| \cdot\left(\text { mes } \Omega^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1+O(h))  \tag{14}\\
& =\left(m e s \Omega^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1+O(h))\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}+|C(h)| \cdot\left(\text { mes } \Omega^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1+O(h)) \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Here $B$ is the set of such $\beta$ for which all cubes $\Omega_{h, \beta}$ intersect with domain $\bar{\Omega}$ :
$\Omega_{h, \beta} \cap \bar{\Omega}=\varnothing$ and $\Omega_{h, \beta}=\left\{x: h \beta_{k} \leq x_{k}<h\left(\beta_{k}+1\right)\right\}$.
The following lemma is true

Lemma 1. The following equality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
-C(h)=\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Lemma 1, we use the following lemmas.
First, we consider Lemma 2.
Lemma 2. The following equality is true

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x) * \stackrel{0}{u}(x)=\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{\hat{u}[\gamma]}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)} e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}-C(h) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(x) \in S$ then using Parseval's equality and bearing in mind that $F^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{\mu(\xi)} \delta(\xi-h \gamma)\right]=\frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& <v(x) * u^{0}(x), \varphi(x)>=<F\left[v(x) *{ }^{0}(x)\right](\xi), F[\varphi(x)](\xi)> \\
& =<F[v(x)](\xi) * F\left[u^{0}(x)\right](\xi), F[\varphi(x)](\xi)> \\
= & <\frac{1}{\mu(\xi)} \cdot\left[\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \hat{u}(\gamma) F\left[e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}\right]-F[C(h)]\right], F[\varphi(x)](\xi)> \\
= & <\frac{1}{\mu(\xi)} \cdot \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \hat{u}(\gamma) \delta(\xi-h \gamma)-\frac{1}{\mu(\xi)} \cdot C(h) \delta(\xi), F[\varphi(x)](\xi)> \\
= & <\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{1}{\mu(\xi)} \cdot \hat{u}(\gamma) \delta(\xi-h \gamma)-\frac{C(h)}{\mu(\xi)} \cdot \delta(\xi), F[\varphi(x)](\xi)> \\
= & <\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{\hat{u}(\gamma)}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \xi\right)} \cdot e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}-C(h), \varphi(x)>, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

this proves Lemma 2.
We introduce notation

$$
u_{\infty}(x)=\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{\hat{u}[\gamma] e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}=v(x) * u(x)
$$

and

$$
\stackrel{0}{u}_{\infty}(x)=\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{\hat{u}[\gamma] e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}-C(h)
$$

Functions $u_{\infty}(x)$ and $\stackrel{0}{u}_{\infty}(x)$ are extremal functions for the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h \gamma) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we prove the following lemma
Lemma 3. The following equality holds for the functional $1-h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h \gamma)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1-\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} h^{n} \delta(x-h \gamma)\right] * v(x)=\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in S$. Then, using Parseval's equality, we have, firstly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <F\left[\sum_{\gamma} \delta\left(\frac{x}{h}-\gamma\right)\right](\xi), F[\varphi(x)](\xi)>=<\sum_{\gamma} \delta\left(\frac{x}{h}-\gamma\right), \varphi(x)> \\
= & h^{n}<\sum_{\gamma} \delta(y-\gamma), \varphi(h y)>=<h^{n} F\left[\sum_{\gamma} \delta(y-\gamma)\right](\xi), F[\varphi(h y)](\xi)> \\
= & h^{n}<\sum_{\gamma} \delta(y-\gamma), F[\varphi(h y)](\xi)>.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
F[\varphi(h y)](\xi)=\int_{E_{n}} \varphi(h y) e^{2 \pi i \xi y} d y=h^{-n} \int_{E_{n}} \varphi(z) e^{2 \pi i \xi z h^{-1}} d z=h^{-n} F[\varphi(z)]\left(h^{-1} \xi\right)
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <F\left[\sum_{\gamma} \delta\left(\frac{x}{h}-\gamma\right)\right](\xi), F[\varphi(x)](\xi)>=h^{n}<\sum_{\gamma} \delta(y-\gamma), F[\varphi(x)]\left(h^{-1} y\right)> \\
& =h^{n}<\sum_{\gamma} \delta\left(\frac{z}{h}-\gamma\right), F[\varphi(x)](z) h^{-n}>=<\sum_{\gamma} \delta\left(\frac{z}{h}-\gamma\right), F[\varphi(x)](z)>
\end{aligned}
$$

From this equality follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left[\sum_{\gamma} \delta\left(\frac{x}{h}-\gamma\right)\right](\xi)=\sum_{\gamma} \delta\left(\frac{\xi}{h}-\gamma\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
<F\left[1-\sum_{\gamma} h^{n} \delta(x-h \gamma)\right](\xi), F[\varphi(x)](\xi)>=<1-h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h \gamma), \varphi(x)> \\
=<1-\sum_{\gamma} \delta\left(\frac{x}{h}-\gamma\right), \varphi(x)>=h^{n}<1-\sum_{\gamma} \delta(y-\gamma), \varphi(h y)> \\
=h^{n}<F\left[1-\sum_{\gamma} \delta(y-\gamma)\right](\xi), F[\varphi(h y)](\xi)>=h^{n}<\delta(\xi)-\sum_{\gamma} \delta(\xi-\gamma), F[\varphi(h y)](\xi)> \\
=h^{n}<\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \delta(\xi-\gamma), F[\varphi(h y)](\xi)>=h^{n}<\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \delta(\xi-\gamma), h^{-n} F[\varphi(z)]\left(h^{-1} \xi\right)> \\
=<\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \delta(\xi-\gamma), F[\varphi(z)]\left(h^{-1} \xi\right)>=h^{n}<\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \delta(x-h \gamma), F[\varphi(z)](x)> \\
=<\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \delta\left(x-h^{-1} \gamma\right), h^{-n} F[\varphi(z)](x)>.
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left[1-\sum_{\gamma} h^{n} \delta(x-h \gamma)\right](\xi)=\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \delta\left(x-h^{-1} \gamma\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
&< {\left[1-\sum_{\gamma} h^{n} \delta(x-h \gamma)\right] * v(x), \varphi(x)>} \\
&=<F\left[1-\sum_{\gamma} h^{n} \delta(x-h \gamma)\right](\xi) \cdot F[v(x)](\xi), F[\varphi(x)](\xi)> \\
&=<\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \delta\left(\xi-h^{-1} \gamma\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\mu(\xi)}, F[\varphi(x)](\xi)>=<\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}, \varphi(x)>. \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

This equality implies the proof of Lemma 3.
Since functions $u_{\infty}(x)$ and $u_{\infty}(x)$ are extremal functions for the functional $1-h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h \gamma)$, then using Lemma 3 we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
<1-h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h \gamma), u_{\infty}(x)>_{\Omega_{0}}=<1-\sum_{\gamma} h^{n} \delta(x-h \gamma), v(x) * u(x)>_{\Omega_{0}} \\
=<\left[1-\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} h^{n} \delta(x-h \gamma)\right] * v(x), u(x)>_{\Omega_{0}}=<\left[\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right], u(x)>_{\Omega_{0}} \\
=<\left[\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right],\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q-1} \operatorname{sign} \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}>\Omega_{0}=\iint_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i h^{-1}(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x . \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equality (23) proves that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|1-\left.h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h \gamma)\left|\tilde{H}_{p}^{\mu *}\left(\Omega_{0}\right) \|^{q}=\int_{\Omega_{0}}\right| \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right. \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us prove another equality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& <1-h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h \gamma), \stackrel{0}{u}_{\infty}(x)>_{\Omega_{0}}=<1, \stackrel{0}{u}_{\infty}(x)>_{\Omega_{0}}-<h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h \gamma), \stackrel{0}{u}_{\infty}(x)>_{\Omega_{0}} \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{0}}^{0}{ }_{u}^{0}(x) d x=-C(h) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have taken into account that ${ }^{0}{ }_{\infty}(h \gamma)=0$ and $\int_{\Omega_{0}} u_{\infty}(x) d x=0$.
With equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
<1-h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h \gamma), \stackrel{0}{u}_{\infty}(x)>_{\Omega_{0}}=<1-h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h \gamma), u_{\infty}(x)>_{\Omega_{0}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
-C(h)=\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu(h \gamma)}\right|^{q} d x
$$

Lemmas 2 and 3 imply the proof of Lemma 1.

From (14) and (15) it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left\|\vartheta(x) \mid H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)\right\|=(\text { mes } \Omega)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1+O(h))\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& +(\text { mes } \Omega)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1+O(h)) \int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x \\
& =(\text { mes } \Omega)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1+o(h))\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(1+\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)  \tag{27}\\
& =(\text { mes } \Omega)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(1+o(1 .)\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Here we mean that $\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}=o(1), \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Next, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\ell(x), \vartheta(x)>=(\text { mes } \Omega)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1+o(1)) \int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We modify $\vartheta(x)$ as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vartheta(x)=v(x) * \vartheta(x)=v(x) *\left[0 \quad u(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{\Omega^{\prime}}(x)\right] \\
& =v(x) *\left[\stackrel{0}{u}(x) \cdot\left[\varepsilon_{\Omega^{\prime}}(x)+\varepsilon_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}(x)-\varepsilon_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}(x)\right]\right] \\
& =v(x) *\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
u
\end{array}(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{E_{n}}(x)\right]-v(x) *\left[0 \begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\left.u(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}(x)\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
& =v(x) \cdot{ }_{u}^{u}(x)-v(x) *\left[{ }^{0}(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}(x)\right] \\
& =u_{\infty}(x)-v(x) *\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
u
\end{array}(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}(x)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\ell(x), \vartheta(x)>=<\ell(x), \stackrel{0}{u}_{\infty}(x)>-<\ell(x), v(x) *\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
u \\
\left.(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}(x)\right] \ggg \ggg>
\end{array}\right. \\
& =<\varepsilon_{\Omega}(x)-\sum_{\beta \in B^{\prime}} \stackrel{0}{C}_{\beta} \delta(x-h \beta), \stackrel{0}{u}_{\infty}(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{\Omega^{\prime}}(x)>-<\ell(x), v(x) *\left[\stackrel{0}{u}(x) \cdot \varepsilon_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}(x)\right]>.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since ${ }^{0}{ }_{\infty}(h \beta)=0$, then the first term is

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\varepsilon_{\Omega}(x)-\sum_{\beta \in B^{\prime}} \stackrel{0}{C}_{\beta} \delta(x-h \beta), \stackrel{0}{u}_{\infty}(x)>=\int_{\Omega}^{0} 0_{\infty}(x)=-C(h) \cdot \operatorname{mes} \Omega=\operatorname{mes} \Omega \int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that the second term is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\ell_{o n m}(x) * v(x), \stackrel{0}{u}(x) \varepsilon_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}(x)>=o(-C(h)) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, firstly

$$
\begin{gather*}
<\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x),{ }_{u}^{0} u(x) \varepsilon_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}(x)>=\int_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}\left[\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right][u(x)-C(h)] d x \\
=\int_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}\left[\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right] u(x) d x-C(h) \int_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}\left[\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right] d x \\
=\sum_{\beta^{\prime} \in R / B} \int_{\Omega_{h, \beta^{\prime}}}\left[\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right] u(x) d x-C(h) \sum_{\beta^{\prime} \in R / B} \int_{h, \beta^{\prime}}\left[\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right] d x \\
\leq \sum_{\beta^{\prime} \in R / B}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{h, \beta}}\left|\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{h, \beta}}|u(x)|^{p} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}+C(h) \sum_{\beta^{\prime} \in R / B} h^{\frac{n}{p}}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{h, \beta}}\left|\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} . \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Because of the $h$-periodicity of $u(x)$, all
$\left\{\int_{\Omega_{h, \beta}}|u(x)|^{p} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$ are equal to each other, and equal to
$\left\{h^{n} \int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$
So, from (31) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\ell_{\text {opt }}(x) * v(x), \stackrel{0}{u}(x) \varepsilon_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}(x)>\leq\left\{h^{n} \int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \cdot \sum_{\beta^{\prime} \in R / B}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{h, \beta^{\prime}}}\left|\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}+|C(h)| h^{\frac{n}{p}} \sum_{\beta^{\prime} \in R / B}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{h, \beta}}\left|\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \left\{h_{\Omega_{0}}^{n}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\{\int_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}\left|\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}+|C(h)| h^{\frac{n}{p}}\left\{\int_{E_{n} / \Omega^{\prime}}\left|\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =h^{\frac{n}{p}}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\{\int_{E_{n}}\left|\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}+|c(h)| h^{\frac{n}{p}}\left\{\int_{E_{n}}\left|\ell_{o p t}(x) * v(x)\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq h^{\frac{n}{p}}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\{\int_{E_{n}}\left|\ell_{p . n . c}(x) * v(x)\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}+|c(h)| h^{\frac{n}{p}}\left\{\int_{E_{n}}\left|\ell_{p . n . c}(x) * v(x)\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq h^{\frac{n}{p}}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\text { mes } \Omega)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left\{\iint_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}(1+o(1))  \tag{32}\\
& +|C(h)| h^{\frac{n}{p}}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}(\text { mes } \Omega)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left\{\left.\int\left|\sum_{\Omega_{0}}\right| \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}(1+o(1)) \\
& =h^{\frac{m}{p}}(\text { mes } \Omega)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}+h^{\frac{m}{p}}|C(h)|(\text { mes } \Omega)^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{e^{2 \pi i(\gamma, x)}}{\mu\left(h^{-1} \gamma\right)}\right|^{q} d x \\
& =h^{\frac{m}{p}}(m e s \Omega)^{\frac{1}{q}}|C(h)|+h^{\frac{m}{p}}(\text { mes } \Omega)^{\frac{1}{q}}|C(h)|^{2}=h^{\frac{m}{p}}|C(h)|(1+|C(h)|)(m e s \Omega)^{\frac{1}{q}}=o(-|C(h)|) .
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 follows from (29), (30), (31), and (32).
Note that the following theorem was proved in [17] for a cubature formula with a regular boundary layer.
Theorem 2. If $1<p<\infty, \mu(\xi) \subset B(n, p)$, then in space $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$, the norm of the error functional of a cubature formula with the regular in the sense of S.L.Sobolev boundary layer satisfies the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\ell(x) \mid H_{p}^{\mu *}(\Omega)\right\| \leq(\operatorname{mes} \Omega)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left\{\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left|\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \frac{\exp \left(2 \pi i h^{-1} H^{-1} x\right)}{\mu\left(\gamma H^{-1}\right)}\right|^{q} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}+O\left(h^{m+1}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$. Here $H$ is matrix $n \times n,|H|=1, \Omega_{0}$ is the fundamental domain defined by matrix $H$.
From the proved Theorem 1 and the results given in [5, 17], in particular, from Theorem 2, follows
Theorem 3. If $1<p<\infty, \quad \mu(\xi) \in B_{n, p}^{*}$ and $\mu(-\xi)=\mu(\xi)$, then the cubature formula with the regular in the sense of S.L.Sobolev boundary layer is asymptotically optimal in space $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$.

## CONCLUSION

To estimate the norm of the error functional of a cubature formula with the regular in the sense of Sobolev boundary layer in space $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$, the authors used the explicit form of the extremal functions $u_{\infty}(x)$ and $u_{\infty}^{0}(x)$ of the periodic functional $1-h^{n} \sum_{\gamma} \delta(x-h \gamma)$ in this space and the Hilbert property of such spaces. In the case of Banach spaces, the study of the behavior of such formulas is a very difficult task.

In [17], an upper bound was obtained for the norm of the error functional with the regular in the sense of Sobolev boundary layer in space $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$, i.e., the inverse inequality to the inequality for the error functional of the cubature formulas $\ell_{p . n . c}(x)$ with the regular in the sense of Sobolev boundary layer.

In this paper, the authors obtained a lower bound for an arbitrary error functional of lattice cubature formulas in spaces $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$. Since the norm of the error functional of the optimal lattice cubature formula satisfies inequality $\left\|\ell_{o p t}(x)\left|H_{p}^{\mu *}(\Omega)\|\leq\| \ell(x)\right| H_{p}^{\mu *}(\Omega)\right\|$, it suffices to prove the theorem for the error functional of the optimal cubature formula. The developed function $v(x)$ allowed the authors to obtain the lower bound.

Since, by virtue of (1), the authors obtained the upper and lower bounds for the norm of the error functional with the regular in the sense of Sobolev boundary layer in space $H_{p}^{\mu}(\Omega)$, this implies the asymptotic optimality of the cubature formula with the regular in the sense of Sobolev boundary layer in this space.
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