





## "INTEGRATION OF PRAGMALINGUISTICS, FUNCTIONAL TRANSLATION STUDIES AND LANGUAGE TEACHING PROCESSES"

Computers with the ability to learn without being explicitly programed

International Scientific Conference

February 22-23, 2023

adapting itself to new



Section 2: Literary criticism and society.

Section 3: Problems of comparative typology and translation studies, modern problems of philology.

Section 4: Integration of communicative technologies in language learning.





- 7. Umurova, K. (2022). Chet til talaffuzini o'rgatishning mohiyati. *Центр научных публикаций (buxdu. uz)*, 17(17).
- 8. www.wikepedia.com

## LINGUISTIC FEATURES OF POLITICAL DISCOURSE

Djalilova Z.B.
PhD, Bukhara State University
Ibotova M.K. master student
Bukhara State University

Annotatsiya. Ushbu tezisda siyosiy nutqning xususiyatlari, xususan, ingliz tilidagi siyosiy nutqlarning lingvistik jihatlari mushohada qilinadi. Siyosiy nutqlar koʻpincha ma'lum bir madaniy va ijtimoiy kontekstda shaklllanadi va turli lingvistik vositalardan foydalanadi, chunki ma'ruzachining maqsadlaridan biri jamoatchilikni ishontirishdir. Ushbu tadqiqot, birinchi navbatda, ba'zi mualliflarning fikrlarini taqdim etish orqali ingliz tilidagi siyosiy nutqning asosiy xususiyatlarini ta'kidlashni maqsad qilgan.

Kalit soʻzlar: siyosiy nutq, siyosiy til, ritorika

Annotation. This thesis analyses the characteristics of political discourse, in particular, the linguistic features of political speeches in English. Political speeches are often formed in a specific cultural and social context and use a variety of linguistic means, being one of the speaker's goals is to convince the public. This study, firstly, intends to emphasize the main features of political discourse in English by presenting the views of some authors.

**Key words:** political discourse, political language, rhetoric

**Аннотация.** В диссертации анализируются особенности политического дискурса, в частности языковые особенности политических речей на английском языке. Политические выступления часто формируются в конкретном культурном и социальном контексте и используют разнообразные языковые средства, являясь одной из целей оратора - убедить публику. Данное исследование, во-первых, призвано подчеркнуть основные черты политического дискурса на английском языке путем представления взглядов некоторых авторов.

Ключевые слова: политический дискурс, политический язык, риторика.

The study of political languages dates back to ancient Greek and Roman times. Over the years the field has been continuously developed. At the end of the 17th century, Thomas Hobbes introduced modern political philosophy, which is considered to be the basis of today's political science discipline. Later, the concept

of politics was associated with language and introduced into the study of "political language" by many pioneers.<sup>58</sup> Many scholars, such as Lasswell, have argued that political language is considered a language of influence as it intends to influence people. Thus, Van Dijk stated that the language of politics is the language of power.<sup>59</sup> According to Schaffner and Chilton, the development of the field of political discourse is regarded as a complex human activity, so a deep study of political discourse is needed. Scholars further emphasize the importance of learning political language, along with other important factors such as culture and audience that can influence political language.<sup>60</sup> Some scholars say that it is extremely difficult to define the concept since the range of meanings of political discourse is wide, but there are also scholars who believe that it is related to everything that is said publicly in relation to politics. Here we see that political discourse is not just a communication; it incorporates together clear goals and specific participants, functioning as power.

Feldman and Landshire argue that the most common term referring to communication in the political sphere is the term "political discourse". They define it as the way language is used in public communications found in newspapers, television, radio stations, congressional debates and election speeches, etc. The language in political discourse usually has great power since its aim is to evoke public reaction.<sup>61</sup> Thus, the political discourse of mass media has every possibility to control the views and attitudes of its audience.

Political speech is usually characterized as a complex, powerful and ambiguous type of language due to its richness in cultural and ideological components. As in any other field, political language has many terms, jargon and slogans, the meaning of which can vary from country to country. It is used to achieve specific political goals. Also, a very important feature of political speech is its tendency to use rhetorical language. According to Charteris-Black, the effectiveness in political speech is often the result of a combination of rhetorical strategies. Therefore, it is as interesting to observe the interaction of the various strategies as it is to consider each strategy individually.<sup>62</sup> Jones and Wareing argue that the ability to convey the message that the speaker and the listener want the same thing plays an important role in the process of establishing an ideology.<sup>63</sup> To achieve a sense of unity between the audience and the speaker, politicians often use symbols to strengthen national unity.<sup>64</sup>

A metaphor is a linguistic sign that gives a concrete label to an abstract idea. It can be possible because of the regarded similarity between objects and concepts with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Claeys, G. (2013). Encyclopedia of Modern Political Thought (set). Page 776

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Van Dijk, T. A. (2006). Discourse and Manipulation. Discourse & Society. Page 362

<sup>60</sup> Chilton P. & Schaffner C. (2002). Politics as Talk and Text: Analytical Approaches to Political Discourse. Page 21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Ofer Feldman and Christ'I De Ladtsheer (1998). *Politically Speaking: A Worldwide Examination of Language Used in the Public Sphere.* Page 5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Charteris-Black J. (2005). Politicians and Rhetoric: The Persuasive Power of Metaphor. Page 11

<sup>63</sup> Jones J, & Wareing S. (1999). Language and Politics. In L. Thomas (ed.), Language, Society and Power. Page 34

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Alan R. Ball & B. Guy Peters (2000). Modern Politics and Government. Page 81

respect to the specific characteristics that a person wants to convey. Lakoff and Johnson consider human conceptual systems to be metaphorical. A metaphor is not just a linguistic tool. In fact, they permeate perception, thought, and behavior. Common metaphors of politics come from sports and war fields. At the same time, a political campaign can be viewed as a military action, but a military action takes the form of an argument. In Western societies, the two concepts of "conflict" and "war" are understood to be closely related despite the fact that they generally refer to different types of problems. 66

Thus, this thesis presents the features of political discourse and the brief overview of these features in English. It also identifies implicit statements in the political language and find examples of linguistic strategies. Taking into consideration the definitions of political discourse given by a variety of authors, we can say that political discourse is the unit of all speech acts.

## References

- 1. Alan R. Ball & B. Guy Peters (2000). *Modern Politics and Government*. Chatham House. 322 pp
- 2. Beard A. (2000) The Language of Politics. London: Routledge
- 3. Claeys G. (2013). *Encyclopedia of Modern Political Thought* (set). Washington: CQ Press. <a href="https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452234168">https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452234168</a>
- 4. Charteris-Black J. (2005). *Politicians and Rhetoric: The Persuasive Power of Metaphor*. Basingstoke: Palgrave-Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230501706
- 5. Chilton P. & Schaffner C. (2002). *Politics as Talk and Text: Analytical Approaches to Political Discourse*. 246 pp. Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1075/dapsac.4">https://doi.org/10.1075/dapsac.4</a>
- 6. Jones J, & Wareing S. (1999). *Language and Politics*. In L.Thomas (ed.), *Language, Society and Power*. London: Routledge.
- 7. Lakoff G. & Johnson M. (1980) *Metaphors we live by*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press
- 8. Lasswell H. (1949). Style in the Language of Politics.
- 9. Ofer Feldman and Christ'I De Ladtsheer (1998). *Politically Speaking: A Worldwide Examination of Language Used in the Public Sphere*. Westport, CT: Praeger. 1998. x + 212 pp
- 10. Teun A. van Dijk (2006). *Discourse and Manipulation. Discourse & Society*, 17(3), 359–383. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926506060250">https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926506060250</a>
- 11. Djalilova, Z. B. (2020). FLORA IN EDMUND SPENSER'S POETRY. Theoretical & Applied Science, (4), 371-375. <a href="https://www.elibrary.ru/page\_404.asp?qx=https%3A%2F%2Fwww%2Eelibrary%2Eru%2Fitem%2Easp%3Fid%3D42831520">https://www.elibrary.ru/page\_404.asp?qx=https%3A%2F%2Fwww%2Eelibrary%2Eru%2Fitem%2Easp%3Fid%3D42831520</a>.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Lakoff G. & Johnson M. (1980) Metaphors we live by. Page 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Lakoff G. & Johnson M. (1980) Metaphors we live by. Page 5

- 12. Bakhodirovna, D. Z. (2021). Description of Human Features and Feelings through Flora in English Poetry. CENTRAL ASIAN JOURNAL OF LITERATURE, PHILOSOPHY AND CULTURE, 2(10), 6-9. <a href="https://cajlpc.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJLPC/article/view/209">https://cajlpc.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJLPC/article/view/209</a>.
- 13. Rasulov, Z. I. The problem of language economy from the perspective of language

  evolution.

  https://uniwork.buxdu.uz/resurs/14162\_1\_335A013FB811809E768BB3EC8D3472

  9184AA276D.pdf
- 14. Баходировна, З. Д. . (2023). Выражение Модели "Эмоция Растение" В Английской И Узбекской Поэзии . Miasto Przyszłości, 32, 147–150. Retrieved from <a href="http://miastoprzyszlosci.com.pl/index.php/mp/article/view/1117">http://miastoprzyszlosci.com.pl/index.php/mp/article/view/1117</a>
- 15. Djalilova, Z. (2022).ОПИСАНИЕ ЧЕЛОВЕЧЕСКОГО ОБРАЗА АНГЛИЙСКОЙ ПОСТРЕДСТВОМ **ЦВЕТОВ** поэзии. **ШЕНТР** В НАУЧНЫХ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ (buxdu. Uz), 26. 26. https://journal.buxdu.uz/index.php/journals\_buxdu/article/view/8567

## THE USE OF PERIPHRASES IN JOURNALISTIC TEXTS

Kobilova Aziza Baxriddinovna Phd, Bukhara state university Burieva Gulnoza master student, Bukhara state university

**Abstract.** This article is devoted to the use of periphrasis as a stylistic device in different journalistic texts. The article analyzes typology of periphrases.

**Keywords:** periphrasis, figurative, metaphoric, metonymic, logical, euphemistic, language, **Аннотация.** Данная статья посвящена использованию перифраза как стилистического приема в различных публицистических текстах. В статье анализируется типология перифраз.

**Ключевые слова:** перифраз, образный, метафорический, метонимический, логический, эвфемистический, язык, публицистический.

Periphrasis is a stylistic device that uses longer phrases or words instead of shorter ones to make sentences or speech more expressive. According to A.G.Gurochkina periphrasis is word of thoughts [7, 15]. In other words, it is an expression of what people think or renaming a particular object when it is not an exact name. For example, "heat-loving bacteria – known as thermopiles – can become dormant spores when they are exposed to cold" or "The proteins that make mucus have evolved in at least 15 independent instances in mammals, possibly by co-opting existing proteins into becoming mucus-producers. (New Scientist, September 3-9, 2022). It is clear with these examples that "heat-loving bacteria" means "thermopiles" and "mucus-producers" means "mammals".

					muloqotning	
Xodjayeva D.	I., Muro	odova N.A. Ge	neral ove	rview about t	oponyms	
				_	ashma soʻzlarnin	_
112	I., Esh				so`zlarining pı	
Tursunov M. publicistic tex Tursunov M.	M., Jalil ets on the M., Ibot	ov B.H. Analy internet platf	ysis of ne form cical units	w lexical unit	of his worldview s' emergence inoncept "hospital	
Tursunov M. bilan bogʻliql Tursunov M.	M., Hus ik jihat M., Zu	s <b>enova N.G'</b> . I lari	Frazeolog 	gik birliklarni ological units	ng lingvomadan expressing feel	ings and
Djalilova Z.B	3., Ibotov	a M.K. Lingu	istic feat	ures of politic	cual communicate al discourse alistic texts	
Kobilova A.B	., Akhm	edova M. Per	iphrases ı	used in the po	litical field	
•	•			_	a" tushunchasi v	_
Ro'ziyev Y.B.	ган эка	ан шаклинині	г мазмун	и ва қўллани	ши	
	_		-	•	use anguage	
Nazarova N.A	4. Antroj	ponimlarning	lingvoku	lturologik tal	nlili	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Navruzova N	<i>X</i> . Nom	inativ birliklaı	rning kon	notativ aspek	ti	
Bobojonova I Ubaydullayev	<b>N.B.</b> Pro va <b>M.O'</b> .	ototype theory Phraselogical	in cognit l picture	ive linguistics of the world	ing lisoniy omillsas an object of l	inguistic
Ro'ziyev.M. Rasulova N.A Sayfullayev H Yadgarova Z.	Oʻzbek v <b>A</b> . Tilshu B.N. Frar .T. Nutq	va nemis tillar inoslikda "liso isuz tilida ko'd zanjirini hosi	da fe'lnin niy shaxs chirma nu l qilinishi	g majhul nisb " tushunchas itq va uning it ida segment v	patining ifodalan i qoʻllanilishi foda usullari a supersegment	ishi
Aminova Z.R Rakhmatova	E. Expres	sion of connot	tative mea	aning in word	semantics	 ire